

## Businesses

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name	Organisation and role
33404	Yes	There needs to be more parking at the warf and water,drink able water.for yachtes,walkers ,fisherman.	Yes	water and better tiolets available ,BBQ area .etc	robin	pinewood - Boss
33399	Yes		Yes		karen	shunyata retreat/day sap - owner operaor

## Residents

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
33584	Yes		Yes		Jo
33583	Yes		Yes	<p>I do support parking restrictions but the proposals are impractical.</p> <p>First, the three-hour parking spots are just silly. Who would use them? How would it be enforced? the three hour spots would not be useful for locals and serve no practical purpose.</p> <p>Park and ride is a good idea, but again, how would it be enforced?</p> <p>What locals want is for freedom campers to be prevented from taking up parking for ferry commuters.</p> <p>thank you for taking account of my ideas.</p>	Allan
33582		<p>the 180min parking down at wharf is also questionable. You can just do the bare minimum over at the market within 3hrs on Sat, but NOONE else would go for just 3 hrs over to city. with all the bus delays</p> <p>WE LOVE The commuting options from here, we love the respectful bunch of regulars who using the ferry, but I don't agree to support the ones who only come here for fun and leave no space for regular cars and leave their rubbish beside rubbish bins, graffiti the beautiful old wharf etc.</p>		<p>We live in DH and use ferry daily. I really feel strongly that the upper carpart(domaine and all in fact) should have a NO FREEDOM camping sign...Do you know, before covid, that at some mornings we have had every night freedom campers at this carpark? The most I counted at 6am one morning was 18!!!</p> <p>yes I know covid might change it, but with the brand new flash toilet block this might change again when flights are back to normal. AND when the toilets stink a bit, these travellers have used the back bushes for their business, which then was detected by our dogs. it is revolting, and we have to live with this for years now. I am glad these travellers are gone for this winter. YES They even stayed in winter, well, last night we still had 1 van down there. either kiwi or one of 10000 tourists still in country</p>	Franziska

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
				HOW on earth will you reinforce the park and ride options? I really support this part but can't imagine how this will be reinforced and not having camper vans on those parks at 6am	
33581	No	The more car parks you create the more cars you will attract, it won't hurt to let people walk a wee bit			Walter
33574	Yes	This still won't prevent cars parking on yellow road lines. Possibly some thought could be given to a CAR PARK FULL indicator board / sign being installed on the downward road opposite the Store. If there was 'something' that would indicate the wharf parking situation BEFORE you drove down there...that would certainly alleviate congestion. Many people run late for Ferry trips; travel down the road; find the Wharf parking full, then park on the yellow lines as there is insufficient time to travel to The Stoddart Point parking area. Otherwise they will miss the ferry	Yes	This area is a MAJOR problem. It needs a major rethink. Disappointingly you haven't addressed FREEDOM CAMPERS who are a huge influence in this area during the Summer Months. This will get worse as the World gets through Covid-19 at some future date. The NEW TOILETS will act like a MAGNET to the FREEDOM CAMPER Brigade. Some Summer mornings Campervans are in most Stoddart Point Parks. I don't think the current changes will alter this. More Parks need to be created; possibly 20 or more could be made on the flat land to the left of the 10 unrestricted parks. A one way road could lead to this area just opposite the Toilets and you would exit this additional area next to the 'brick' family sculpture. It should be remembered that Stoddart Point is a popular weekend recreation spot; many families picnic on the grass. Often all parks are taken. This is another indication that additional parking is required.	Brian
33568	Yes	I think it is good to put a time restriction on some of the parking places at the wharf for those people who are only parking for a short time i.e to go to Lyttelton and back, and who are unable to walk up the hill to park at Stoddart Point.	Yes	I do support them generally but it would have been good to have stronger restrictions preventing freedom camping vans parking during the summer months when the car park is sometimes full of them and there are no places left for residents to park.	Margaret
33567	Yes		Yes	I think these changes will be a big improvement. I'm very concerned, however, about the ease with which 'freedom camping' can completely swamp the unrestricted parking. 12 camper vehicles per day is common during Jan-early March and will result in local residents still being forced to park on the grass or on the access road itself. I appreciate that there are approx 8 more parking spaces in this plan than currently exist, but I wonder if another 3 ParknRide spaces could be created at the SE end of the parks next to the 2 unrestricted spaces in the plan.	sally
33563	No	4x 180 parks are enough  More unrestricted required  Who will monitor this?  Is there a charge for the 180 parks?  180 time is not enough - maybe make it 1/2 day. Should have a dedicated space for scooters/bikes	No	What is the point of the 6am-6pm park and ride?  Is there a charge for the park and ride?  If there is a park and ride, the times should be 6am - 10pm to align with the last ferry.  Too many park and rides - maybe only 6.  How will this be monitored?	Kath
33562	No	Please leave as is!!  P180 minutes restrictions won't work. Being retired you required much more time to get into the city, to do shopping and get back again. No restrictions please.	No	* Park and ride spaces - timing doesn't work. People who work will not necessarily make it back before 6pm.  * Also: Campervans, motorhomes will be parked here during summer (-free camping).	W

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
				* Best to state that for example 16 park and ride spaces are not available for campervans/motorhomes, or better still give maybe only 5 spaces away for free camping. (I believe that we as a community should allow some spaces for free camping)	
33529	Yes	<p>I am a long term resident of Diamond Harbour, and have discussed your proposal with several other residents frequently using the ferry parking. As a result, I would like to offer the following feedback:</p> <p>I welcome parking restrictions at the wharf to encourage cars parked all day to be left at Stoddart Point. However, I think that it would be confusing to have varied time limits, and that it would be better to set all parking restrictions at the ferry terminal at a 4-6 hour maximum. Whilst 3 hours may often be sufficient for a trip to Lyttelton, it is insufficient time to use the connecting bus into the city and to return after errands or meetings. The ferry is frequented by a number of older residents, who may struggle up the steps to the Stoddart Point car park, especially with bags. I also think that one disabled car park in this area is sufficient. Over the years, I have never seen both used, and very rarely one. Changing the disabled park near the steps to an ordinary parking space would extend the turning bay.</p>	Yes	I think that commuters should have priority here, with a sign endorsing this. I feel that it would be best if the time limit here would tie in with the ferry time table (e.g. 6am - 10pm, Saturdays 11pm). If overnight parking is not permitted, this would discourage freedom campers, who have at times occupied a large proportion of this area.	Veronika
33519	Yes	Unrestricted parking spaces should not allow 'freedom' camping i.e. no overnight stays	Yes	Unrestricted parking spaces should not allow 'freedom' camping i.e. no overnight stays	Jonathan
33517	No	I don't believe restrictions will be adhered to or enforced effectively. It is clearly impossible to increase the number of parks available. so I see little point in changing the parking process at the wharf.	No	I don't believe restrictions will be adhered to or enforced effectively. It would be more beneficial to increase the number of parks available. Backpacker cars and camper-vans will always congregate in this car park, especially as there are now modern toilet facilities. Provision should be made to accommodate them appropriately. A blanket ban is unreasonable and unenforceable.	Peter
33516	No	Mainly support the restrictions although the 6 parks at P180 should be P240 realistically since it would take that long to travel to Lyttelton, do shopping, have lunch and come back. You must be realistic about this. If you lived over here you would understand. Two mobility parks is all that is required - especially great for turning around since in all the years that we have been here we have never seen those two parks full!.	Yes	Yes. Although please explain what is the point of the one mobility park when they still need to walk down the stairs to the ferry??	Felicia
33515	Yes	How are these going to be regulated and enforced	No	There are issues with freedom campers at stoddart point - there needs to be parking restrictions put into place in this area and allocated parks for them. Limit the amounts of nights they can stay and clear signage about hours of parking for them.	Charmaine
33509	No	<p>I agree with the general concept of creating 3 more spaces but not with the allocation. I would suggest:</p> <p>Ten unrestricted parking spaces</p> <p>Three parking spaces with P180 restrictions</p>	No	<p>I agree with the general concept of creating 9 more spaces but not with the allocation. I would suggest:</p> <p>20 park and ride spaces - Monday to Friday (6am to 6pm)</p> <p>10 unrestricted parking spaces</p>	Thomas

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
		Retaining two mobility parking spaces		One mobility parking space	
33508	No	In CCCs current financial situation I believe this is an irresponsible use of money to change something that is not significantly broken. I do not think any change is needed to the current wharf parking. These parks are used predominantly by commuters and almost none make a return trip in less than 180 minutes, so creating restricted parking will significantly reduce commuter space for very little gain. Anyone visiting the jetty for recreation will have time to walk from the upper carpark. Two 60 minute parks would help those with reduced mobility to enjoy the area during the day. It is very rare to see the existing mobility space in use (the ferry is not accessible), so I would question the need to add another. Have any surveys been done to measure usage of the parking areas? If not, then that should be done as a priority so that some objective decisions can be made. As with other ccc proposals put out for consultation, there doesn't appear to be any reasons or evidence presented with this one.	No	In CCCs current financial situation I believe this is an irresponsible use of money to change something that is not significantly broken. This is a busy carpark used by commuters and visitors for accessing the area during the day and shouldn't be dominated by freedom camper sites (unrestricted parks). It is unclear how these parks are going to be defined and enforced, and Council needs to provide more detail on this, otherwise it is a waste of time and money changing anything. Do the 12 unrestricted spaces include the ones on the side of the tree covered island or will there be new angle parks adjacent to the toilets? Will new parallel parks be added next to the triangle where 5 cars are shown parked in the photo?     My first preference in the short term would be to change the use of existing parks with signage so that overnight stays (campervans) are restricted to 8 spaces (25%). It is unlikely that these will be filled in the near future.      If changes must be made then I support the following:   - Restrict overnight parking to 10 spaces (adjacent to the toilets)   - Make all other spaces restricted to no overnight stays/no campervans and enforce it – otherwise restrictions are likely to be ignored anyway.       I would also like to see vehicle access to the grassed areas prevented as damage has occurred in the past to this often wet area that should be for people only. 	Adrian
33507	No		No	Further to my previous comment. A disabled car park is to be provided at Stoddart point. However to reach the ferry and back to the car park one needs to have a very able body . This in effect will remove a car park as people are rightly loath to use a disabled park.	martin
33506	No	I dont see the point in having the 180minute parking spots down by the wharf. If you ferry then bus into town for shopping you won't have enough time to get back. You could go to Lyttelton and back in that time but why pre-empt what people are doing when they catch the ferry? There are never any freedom campers parked down by the wharf and I think a first in first served basis works fine down there.	No	For the proposal at the top car park again you could restrict freedom camping spots ie have 6 max allowed who can stay 1 night but to have 6-6 park and ride is again not necessary. Besides who is going to make sure everyone adheres to the new restrictions? I wouldnt want to see parking wardens having to get over here to monitor it as that would be a waste of council resources.	Sarah
33504	No	P180 isn't long enough. If you go over to Lyttelton & back on the Ferry, you either park all day if U are commuting (which a lot of folks	No	What is this park & ride thing? We walk down a nice tar-sealed path from carpark at top down to wharf. You need to explain wot park & ride is. Is there going to be	Trudy

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
		do) or you visit Lyttelton for a meal / hair appt. / groceries / coffee. By the time you walk from wharf to Main St where cafes are, & allowing for Ferry trip (hourly leaving D.H.) of 10-15 minutes (each way), you are left with only an hour to do your business before P180 runs out.		a minibus taking people from carpark to wharf? If so, what about people who don't need minibus (i.e. the majority). Are we not allowed to use those parks from 6am to 6pm? If we decide to use them (as a commuter) & take the mini van, what happens to our car if we don't get back from town til after 6pm? (which most workers don't). The real solution is to have most parks that say "No Campervans/Holiday Vans" & leave rest unrestricted. The last Ferry at night leaves Lyttelton 9.30pm, which is not late enough if you have a function after work, so often commuters have to leave their cars overnight at the D.H. carpark & come home via a 2nd car they leave parked in Lyttelton. This sometimes happens 2 nights in a row. We need unrestricted parking & lots of it. Create more parking! Otherwise more people will drive from D.H. to town without taking ferry plus parking is such a hassle.	
33503	No	We do not need Council parking enforcement in Diamond Harbour. The system works well & Council should instead spend our rates on keeping drains clean & putting in a good pathway along Marine Drive	No	Ditto above	Pete & Marg
33502	No	We need more car parks, not less. People that use the ferry are from Charteris Bay to Port Levy.  I've been using the ferry for 15 years and have never seen any accidents down there. Your proposal will discourage people from using public transport.	No		Peter
33500	No	As the community grows so does the demand for car parking space at the wharf. Limiting the number of parks does not seem to offer a solution to the problem. Currently, cars are often parked on the yellow lines on the road leading to the wharf. This is illegal but the community in general accepts this and drives accordingly. Many older people cannot manage the steps to the car park above, on the terrace, and that area is often full, especially as it is a haunt of freedom campers nowadays.  Would it be possible to remove the yellow lines and allow one lane flow of traffic but in both directions, with a reduced speed limit and the narrow edge immediately adjacent to the road on the up side, sealed to allow parking closer to the bank. In addition, more speed bumps could be laid further up the road to reduce speed on vehicles travelling downhill.  Local people do not want increased parking on the upper terrace at the expense of the grassed and treed areas.	No		Joan

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
		Thank you for your consideration.			
33496	No	We need more unrestricted parking. Although there are yellow lines going up the hill people park on them all the time and it seems to work fine	No	Need more unrestricted parks	Marijke
33495	Yes	Good idea	Yes	Good idea	Mr & Mrs
33494	Yes		Yes	But I would strongly recommend that other places be found for campervans and the like to park up in the summer. Increasingly they take up a large proportion of the places now and I expect they will only grow in numbers. I dont think it is appropriate for them to be in this area and the locals need the parks more urgently.	Prue
33468	No	<p>No need.</p> <p>Sometimes lots of cars parked up down by the wharf but we sort yourselves out as a community. I have never heard anyone complain.</p> <p>It is a tricky enough commute getting from Diamond Harbour to the city without the added stress of having to secure all day parking space from a very limited amount of unrestricted parking spaces.</p> <p>Sometimes I might intend to go to lyttelton for a short trip, so if parking options changed as you propose, I would choose a 180 car park, but what if then I choose to stay longer or miss my ferry- what then? I would go over the allocated time with no way of moving my car. Our parking situation in Diamond Harbour is nothing like city parking, you cant just go and quickly move your car to another spot if you are on the 'other side'.</p> <p>If someone is needing to catch the ferry and there are none of these unrestricted parking spaces left, then they are left with no other parking options- that will leave many people in very difficult situations! This will probably mean as a result, more people will end up driving to avoid this huge stress, which in turn, is a more damaging option on our environment and not supportive of public transport. Please do not change it as it will have a hugely damaging consequences for people trying to successfully commute from Diamond Harbour.</p>	No	Same reasons above.	Gina
33467	No	I'm strongly against this proposal. All parking spots other than the two existing mobility parks should be unrestricted. Most regular ferry users are commuting to the city to work. We should be able to park at	No	This isn't an appropriate site for freedom camping. Appropriate facilities are not provided.	Alexia

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
		<p>the wharf. Our days are already long enough without having to arrive early to park up the top and walk up the hill in the evenings.</p> <p>In any event , P180 is entirely unrealistic, even for people who are just going to Lyttelton or the city for part of the day - far too short. It isn't as if they can just pop back and move the car if they realise they'll be longer than expected!</p>		Locals need to be able to use these spaces for commuting and also for trips to Lyttelton/the city.	
33466	No	The P180 restrictions only work if you assume the users are only going to Lyttelton and back otherwise the time limit of 3 hours is too short if someone is going to town and back to go shopping - you cannot get to the city, do your errands and get back in 3 hours using public transport - it takes about an hour each way on public transport, sometimes longer depending on the traffic so to be realistic it has to be a minimum of 4 hours. Also how is the time limit going to be enforced? Are there going to be parking metres installed to monitor if you have parked longer than the allotted time? How long does the restricted parking time last - is it all day or does it start when the ferry starts and finish when the ferry is no longer running?	No	Again the park and ride hours ending at 6pm is unrealistic. If you finish work at 5pm you cannot get back in time for 6pm so it has to be 6.30 or 7pm to be realistic. Why do the park and ride hours start at 6 am - there are no ferries running from Diamond Harbour until 6.30am and you are not going to arrive half an hour before the ferry leaves. The issue of freedom campers at Stoddart Point has not been addressed - in the spring and summer there are often over 10 camper vans parking in the car park overnight - they won't park in the park and ride spots as they will be unlikely to have vacated them by 6am so they will be in the unrestricted parking places which means that if the park and ride spots are filled up, there may not be any other parking spaces available for other commuters.	Louise
33464	No	This will be costly to police and the time limits are ridiculous for workers using parking to catch the boat	No	Same as above there is no reason for restrictions residents manage mostly and only the moaners moan	Marita
33463	No	<p>There has been zero discussion about parking changes with the local community. There is no issues with the present parking system. DH locals work around the present parking limitations. We already have to many CCC signs around our small village. There is no way to police any restricted/limited parking areas. The daily/weekday commuters are the ones that are going to be punished by the restrictions, not the visitors. This is discouraging locals from using the ferry service.</p> <p>Car park users have not been consulted before this plane was put out. The "Have you Say" pamphlets are in obscure places. ie Visitors information board not local information board.</p>	No	<p>There has been zero discussion about parking changes with the local community before this fait accompli plan was put out. There is no issues with the present parking system so we should not be having this discussion. How is a mobility person meant to get from here to the ferry wharf? The new toilet block is not shown in the plan. The new toilet location limits the parking area. The entrance to the cliff track will be blocked off. The daily/weekday commuters are the ones that are going to be punished by the restrictions, not the visitors. How are you going to know what cars are "park and ride" on ferry and which just parked there and doing other things, like walking the tracks? How are these parks going to be policed. We do not need city policing mentality of our car parking in our small village. We live here to get away from that type of authoritarian control. Area C is for Cemetery use and should not be in this discussion. The exit road from Area C is very badly designed and causes damage to car bodies.</p> <p>Car park users have not been consulted before this plane was put out.</p>	Michael
33431	No	What is the purpose and have you canvassed locals who use these parking areas?	No	Why do this? Have you canvassed those who use these parking areas?	Fiona
33430	Yes		No	I think 6 pm restriction is too early for people who work in town. I think 7 pm would be better.	Damiet
33429	No	This is breathtakingly insensitive and detrimental to the entire community. Parking has always been tight in the wharf area, and this restricts it even more. I find this proposal almost unbelievably stupid. You would do better to remove the hopeless parking restrictions no one asked for [yellow lines], so people can more efficiently use the	No	See above. Honestly, this is one of the most stupid proposals I have ever seen. Let's make a problem that we made in the first place, even worse. Throw this in the bin.	Tim

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
		road to park. There is no congestion at the wharf, just not enough legal parking. And that's your fault.			
33426	Yes		Yes	It would be good to have a small number of dedicated freedom camping spaces	Lisa
33424	Yes	Some time limited parks are necessary near the wharf so that people can park nearby for short trips, especially for elderly people or those with mobility issues. If they take the ferry to shop in Lyttelton or town, being able to park at the wharf means they don't have to climb the steps to the upper car park. If all parks were available for commuters to use for all-day parking, this would preclude shorter-term use. Conversely, some parks should remain for all-day use, for those all-day commuters with mobility issues, or, say, kayakers going out for a long trip and needing to unload and park nearby.	Yes	Yes, it would be good to provide a balance between reserving space for the many commuters who need that area for all-day parking, while keeping some available for other users (e.g. freedom campers, who might stay overnight).	Michael
33423	No	I have not seen the two mobility parks being used since we arrived in Diamond Harbour. I would like to see one of these turned over to unrestricted. And I would support all the parks being unrestricted. The restricted parks don't make sense they could be all unrestricted and then no one needs to police them. I have not seen any punch ups over parking yet and it means that people need to work it out.	Yes		john
33422	Yes	Only if there is some level of enforcement of the restrictions which we all know there will not be.	No	This area need to be limited to 180 minutes. It fills up with commuters and freedom campers making the park and walks inaccessible to locals. There needs to be a blanket ban on freedom camping in this area. The parking area should also be expanded to provide 16 to 20 park and ride bays.	Andrew
33420	Yes		Yes		Alan
33413	No	It appears to be a case of planning for the sake of planning. But if you're going to push ahead anyway (is there an option C = do nothing, rather than A or B only?) at top end 3 * 180 min parks, retain rest as is.  Locals have been using 1st in 1st served for a long time (pre-amalgamation) and it has worked fine, other than when ccc send over parking wardens (a 2hour return trip to chch for them, seems a little wasteful??).	No	Other than issues around freedom camping please don't change a thing, it works fine as is.	Paul
33412	No	have only 2 carparks for short term parking not 6	No	Have no freedom camping, as they taking up spaces for commuters , encourage them towards Oraton Bradley campground. Having time restrictions on carparks not a good idea as sometimes work meeting,sports etc take longer	Natalie
33411	Yes	Pease add a sign that says  NO FREEDOM CAMPING at all	Yes	BUT  I recommend that there are 2 or 4 dedicated freedom camping spots.  No more than this.  To come out of the 14 general parks (not the commuter parks).  North of Auckland at Snells Beach they have parks signage stating where freedom campers can be.	annabelle



Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
				<p>That way it limits their numbers. Its a first in first served basis.</p> <p>And means commuters and day trippers don't get blocked out by the campers.</p> <p>Before covid we were getting too many freedom campers.</p> <p>Also there is a perfectly cheap camping ground at Orton Bradley park...they need to be encouraged and directed there.</p>	
33410	Yes	The wharf area needs room for disabled parking as well as pick up and drop off	No	It's difficult enough to use public transport to get to Christchurch for work, restrictions will make it even more difficult	Maria
33409		What is suggested is a temporary band-aid solution. For more people to use the launch two things are needed. Firstly the launch needs to run twice as often, on other words every half hour rather than hourly as at present. Secondly about three or four times the current parking should be provided and it should all be beside the wharf, not up the hill. There is a very limited number of houses in Diamond Harbour from which it is convenient to walk to the launch. All the rest have to travel by car. Hence the need for a large amount of convenient parking catering for both long and short term use.			Euan
33408	No	There are no real problems that I am aware of and we use this parking ALL the time. It works, why try to fix something that isnt broken?	No	I park here. There is always PLENTY of room!	Andrea
33406	Yes		Yes		Liz
33405	No	I can't understand the 3 hour restriction on 6 parking places. I would prefer that all the spaces are left as unrestricted. The majority of the people catching the ferry are away for much longer than 3 hours. This would be a complete nightmare and I really hope this idea is scrapped.	No	I would prefer all the spaces are left as unrestricted. People leaving work in the city do not necessarily make it back to their car by 6 pm. What about people who want to go over to Lyttelton in the late afternoon/evening? There will be limited spaces available for them. If you don't like the idea of people parking in a spot at night then make the restriction no parking 10 pm-5 am. However, I would prefer that there are no parking restrictions.	Catherine
33403	Yes	It would be good to have some sort of solution for cars who wait to pick some up. Often they are taking up parking spaces - mobility spaces, other spaces or even the turnaround area. Maybe there should be signs to ask pickups to park along the road while they're waiting (and make the yellow line area a „waiting zone“?	Yes	I think the time should be extended to 6.30pm. Some commuters don't make it back on the 5.50pm ferry.	Daniela
33402	Yes	I definitely support the restrictions down at the wharf, I don't park there but sometimes need to drop off or pick up people who can't walk up the hill and there is nowhere to stop car. I would like to see 2 drop off and pick up areas.	Yes		Debbie
33401	Yes		Yes		Fiona
33400	Yes		Yes		Fiona

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
33397	Yes	Park and ride for commuting residents is a great idea. Who will police/monitor area?(usually lots of parking infringements!)	Yes	Park and ride for work related commuting residents is a great idea and maybe more required than 14? Who will police/ monitor area? (usually lots of parking infringements by ppl)	Margaret
33396	Yes	If you are going to put in time restricted parking, it will need to be policed	Yes		Julie
33395	No	<p>Leave it as it is. We do not need the useless city rules creeping into our community. Only locals will be punished when your trip into Town runs over due to a bus not connecting with a ferry and taking you over the 180, or when you want to stay in Lyttelton but the ferry timetable doesn't suit.</p> <p>Parking is weather dependent and seasonal creating limits restricts use overall . Ban camper vans/ freedom campers from the wharf area and create parks on Stoddart Point and fix the amenities to encourage compliance.</p> <p>*Day trippers* if meaning people driving to DH to park should be encouraged to park near the cafe to support the one local business there, as the council caused the other to be removed.</p>	No	See above	Paul
33394	Yes	I do support, although I feel the P180 spaces should be P240 - I'm assuming these parks are for short ferry trips or for people swimming and fishing off the wharf. I think a longer time would be better (while differentiating between parks used by workers/commuters and those just visiting Lyttelton), as you don't want to be worrying about parking in Diamond Harbour if you've decided to stay a bit longer on the other side, or you miss the ferry etc.	Yes	<p>Just wondering about the 6pm finish time for Park and Ride. -This time would have to be researched to ensure that it actually links in well with the ferry timetable and allows enough time for workers to actually get home- I'm not really sure how the park and ride concept works, but I'm thinking this needs to be later (7pm?) to ensure people are within the rules.</p> <p>Also I do not think that the changes in times should be enforced with fines. I think the prospect of a parking fine/tow/wheel clamp can put people off (the central city vs long free parking at malls is a really good example of this) and the last thing we need is people discouraged from visiting the shops and eateries in Lyttelton, or from using the ferry service instead of driving into town.</p> <p>Times need to be extremely generous and CCC should look to adding more free parks in the future rather than any more restrictions as demand increases.</p>	Frances
33393	No		No		Daniel
33392	Yes		Yes		Joy
33391	No		No		Hanna
33390	No	<p>The parking area is fine as it is. The problems arise in summer when people use the parking area when fishing and swimming.</p> <p>Placing restrictions on car parking spaces will result in less people using the ferry.</p> <p>Instead of this please think creatively, public transport or a safe place to park your bike without fear of it being damaged for example.</p>	No	I do not support this as many of the permanent spaces are taken up with camper vans in the summer, early in the morning. And I do not have an issue with this. Restricting locals using parking spaces will result in less people using the ferry.	Emma

Submission ID	Do you support the parking restrictions at the Diamond Harbour Wharf area?	Comments	Do you support the parking restrictions at Stoddart Point parking area?	Comments	First name
33389	No	There are more people in summer season using ferry and they need to park somewhere. We have a baby in our family and it is not possible to walk from the carpark at Stoddart point down steps with a pushchair! We HAVE to park at jetty carpark for access with pushchair! We NEED A RAMP TO ACCESS FERRY!! DANGEROUS TRYING TO CARRY THE PUSHCHAIR WITH BABY IN IT ON AND OFF FERRY!!! Please please fix access to ferry and create more parking at ferry carpark...NOT restrict parking there.	No	Parking at Stoddart point is essential for people accessing ferry. WHY DOES THERE NEED TO BE RESTRICTIONS? How are passengers meant to get to and from the ferry???	Jane
33388	No	Why restrictions on parking. People do shift work. Not everyone will be back at 6pm to move their car  What do you do if there is no unlimited parking left? Your going to limit the amount of people using the ferry and buses. A stupid idea	No	For exactly the same reasons	lorraine
33387	Yes	How will you police the restrictions? How often, who etc?	Yes		Sean
33386	Yes	As part of the group who met to work out what do to to improve parking for ferry users, I approve of the plan.  I particularly support the 8 restricted parking places near the wharf. One knee replacement and another looming, means walking down the steep path from the upper car park is very painful. I frequently have to attend physio appointments and meetings at the Information Centre in Lyttelton and would welcome not having to park illegally on yellow lines.	Yes	those who need to "park and ride" for work purposes regularly find no parking space in the summer. these have been taken up by Freedom Campers.	Karen