

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
35692	Keep the crane on the wharf please it is very well loved.	Matthew
35513	This is a very good idea for the safety of those using ferry between Diamond Harbour & Lyttelton either on daily basis or occasionally. Thank you.	William
35669	Need to have more carparks for young mothers and the elderly, any possibility of retaining the area over the rocks for further parking?	Sarah
35594	<p>The Black Cat Ferry is currently the only mode of public transport servicing Diamond Harbour and District. It provides transport for residents to work and school as well as two way traffic visiting either the City and Lyttelton or Diamond Harbour for recreational and shopping pursuits. According to Statistics New Zealand, the base population of the District is in the vicinity of 1800 with 22% over the age of 65. Approximately 1/3 of the residences are deemed holiday homes so that at certain times of the year the population increases. It is much higher in the summer when day visitors also increase.</p> <p>The current ferry set up must surely defy all health and safety regulations pertaining to boarding the vessel. As stated in your brochure on the Upgrade the steps are slippery, too high for many, often covered in water and there are marked gaps between the wharf and the vessel made worse by the motion of the sea. It is imperative that this changes and the suggested plans appear to do this. The City Council is to be encouraged to undertake this work as soon as is possible before an accident happens. Wherever the crane moves to must also ensure that Health and Safety is maintained.</p> <p>There is no reference to access to the wharf area from and to Diamond Harbour other than a suggested improvement for bike storage. While I acknowledge that this is not a part of the basic brief it must be noted that the use of the ferry by all of those who need it will only be achieved if issues around parking in the area adjacent to the wharf are addressed. At the moment space is at a premium and during the week cars are parked all day for those who are "lucky enough" to get there early. This prohibits a lot of people from using the ferry unless they have the physical ability to cope with the hill walks required for parking in the Memorial Park. I am responsible for providing Drivers for those requiring access to the City where they are unable to drive and have been called upon to get drivers to assist in transporting people visiting the area who cannot manage the walk to either the parking above or to the Village Centre. During the week the number of cars parked all day near the wharf makes dropping off and picking up difficult.</p> <p>I would request that thought be given to assessing the need for parking, examining alternatives like publicly funded small buses or vans at peak work departure and arrival times from the area to the wharf and /or limiting parking to those who otherwise have difficulty accessing the area and therefore the ability to use the ferry, by the distribution of a car sticker or similar. Disability stickers are useful for those with marked disability but many 65+ cannot easily manage the walking required but would not qualify as disabled. I would therefore request:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The City Council move as quickly as possible to remedy the safety concerns around the wharf by installing the suggested pontoon etc to ensure safety of users and to ensure that the CCC does not have a case brought on issues of health and safety should an accident occur.</li> <li>2. That the City Council address the parking issues at the wharf by <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) ensuring that some controls are introduced as to who can park adjacent to the wharf and for how long, and</li> <li>b) consideration of the use of public transport to ensure that those using the ferry on a regular basis can access it without excessive car use.</li> </ol> </li> </ol>	Gay
35509	As a local resident with two teenagers who use the ferry regularly I fully support the development, however would love to see the crane remain on the wharf. It could be relocated to another section of wharf. It is an important piece of local history and character.	Lisa
35430	I agree that the wharf needs urgent upgrading as it unsafe at times for any, even the fittest, due to tide surges, steep stairs, often a high step from ferry and slippery conditions. If the changes to the parking area at the wharf then it will be necessary to restrict and better police freedom camping at the domain as often there are over 10 spaces filled with campers, with 13 the most I have counted. Most campers do not vacate before 0830.	Graeme
35392	Just curious to know your actual plans for the crane. You say that it will either be moved to a new position on the wharf or to a new location in Diamond Harbour. It would be a shame if you moved it somewhere where the kids were unable to use it for swinging into the water. That is the main attraction for kids and whanau to enjoy something with a little bit of adrenalin.	Felicia
35422	Save the crane! The crane is so important to the residents of Diamond Harbour, Church Bay and Purau. It is the pinnacle of summer with locals and non-locals jumping off it in the height of summer. Please relocate it to another part of the wharf so everyone can still use it. Summers would not be the same without it.	Heidi
35522	As a cyclist the floating pontoon will make getting my bike onto the ferry much easier but I would like to see the crane placed elsewhere on the wharf as jumping off the wharf and swinging on the crane is a favourite pastime for locals and visitors alike	Kim
35668	Keep the crane. Please upgrade Purau boat ramp next!	Tracey
35472	The upgrade is an excellent proposal. It has my full support as a Diamond Harbour resident. A floating pontoon access is long overdue. I agree that the proposal will enhance urgent safety and accessibility hazards to all users of the ferry service.	Jeff

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
	A one way loop road joining the wharf to the upper car park would also be highly desirable.	
35514	We are fully supportive of your proposal. We are users of the ferry and take our bikes across to Lyt which requires taking them down the steps. As they are E Bikes they are not light.  Good move. Well done.  Tim	Tim and Sue
35709	1. Crane should be relocated on site in recognition of its historic significance.  2. Proposal should take account of popularity of jumping off the wharf and provide a location. The preferred locations are the front where the boat currently docks so a rail should not be built there. The steps should be retained.  3. Fishing should also be discouraged at the north steps which is the other jumping location	Felix
35711	Keep the crane for children to swing into the water, many enjoy this old fashioned fun. Floating pontoon is good for disabled access. Removable bollards so public cannot drive on but repairs/maintenance can be done.	Helen
35691	Hi there, I think as it is a historical item on the wharf, the crane should remain somewhere on the wharf.  The wharf is a popular tourist attraction. People come to the wharf to jump and swim off it, so making it accessible to be able to be used to jump off should be kept in mind. The place where the pontoon is to go is where the majority of the people jumping off the wharf use. It would be good to make a place on the wharf so it is accessible for people swimming or using the wharf for recreational purposes.	Ngaio
35689	Thank you for this opportunity to comment.  The proposed floating pontoon is a great idea for ease of access to the ferry. As an older Diamond Harbour resident, I will appreciate it.  Relocation of the crane is fine as long as it remains on the jetty with its rope for wharf jumping. Wharf jumping (using the crane and rope) is the essence of summer in Diamond Harbour for the young and young at heart. Us oldies sit, natter, watch and enjoy.  For glimpses of the fun and joy the crane and rope provide at the jetty for our community please research the item on Lyttelton Jetties which screened on TV3 News at 6pm on Saturday, 17 January 2015.	Alison
35672	Hi im one of many who want the crane kept on the wharf , so that people ( young & old) can still get the pleasure of swinging out into the water... I understand it may have to be relocated to a new position on the wharf to achieve this... but very doable im sure.  Thanks Tania Bennett	Tania
35666	Hi  We would just like to say with myself being in a wheelchair, it is great to see that changes are being made to make the Ferry more accessible. We purchased a property in Diamond Harbour of July of this year, and it was a disappointment that I could not have the independence to be able to travel on the local Ferry.  Thank you  Chris and Catherine	Catherine
35660	I wish to encourage your consideration of swimmable areas around the wharf in this upgrade, as jumping off the wharf in the summer is a much loved past time here, and the area where the floating pontoon is going is the most popular spot to jump off from/ swim around.  Also, could the old crane be moved to the other side so that the locals can still jump off it from that side- again a well-loved and exciting means for jumping off the wharf=part of the culture of the wharf. I urge you to please protect our recreational use of the wharf in this upgrade.	Gina

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
35638	<p>- Crane to remain as is until new pontoon ready to build. Move to opposite side of wharf - Feature!!</p> <p>- Definitely improve bike storage</p> <p>- Additional lighting at new pontoon site</p>	Fergusson
35637	I want to express my full support for this development and request it be done as soon as possible due to Health & Safety high risk currently.	Sally
35622	Keep the crane somewhere!!! This is essential.	Jaya
35619	<p>As a member of the Diamond Harbour Community Association, I have had a chance to offer my suggestions during the meeting at the wharf and another in the hall.</p> <p>Pontoon</p> <p>This is a necessity for easier access to the ferry for buggies, those for whom the steps are too much of a challenge because of age or injury, those with bikes (it takes up too much time getting them on and off ferries at present).</p> <p>Half hour ferries</p> <p>I believe with a pontoon should come a more frequent ferry service to match the bus service, in the hope that more people will use the ferry and bus service.</p> <p>Parking</p> <p>The parking area nearest the jetty would be best kept for those people not going to work for the while day. It was agreed that they would become shorter term parks for people who need to go to Lyttelton for medical and other appointments.</p> <p>Unfortunately, I know this is now not being recommended, and few parks are being offered. I disagree with this.</p> <p>All day parks are to be increased and of course the upper park is a better space for all day parkers.</p> <p>Upper carpark/Freedom campers</p> <p>The changes agreed to and outlined will we hope make sure that spaces are available for DH residents. Use of Park and ride signage will ensure this as far as we can without constant monitoring.</p>	Karen
35616	<p>In the summer the wharf is busy with people waiting for the ferry, fishing and swimming - I disagree with removing some of the existing wharf and steps etc.</p> <p>I like the extension for the ferry etc. but am ambivalent whether there is a need for more cover.</p>	David
35615	<p>I appreciate your project as the floating ramp will make it easier to take my bike on the ferry!</p> <p>Please make it aesthetically pleasing and in line with the overall style of the jetty.</p> <p>We also need provisions for locking bikes up safely on or near the jetty</p> <p>thank you</p> <p>Mareile</p>	Mareile
35553	I fully support the building of a new pontoon for better access to the Ferry and the upgrade of the decking and handrails. Hopefully this can be installed asap and before our Summer season kicks in.	Jill
35545	<p>1. The proposed recreational area of the new pontoon is a potential hazard to pile mooring users as most yachts in the moorings will draw too much water to use the pontoon at most states of the tide. For example my small yacht in berth 7 draws 1.6m and would run aground if taking on crew at the proposed recreational area. At a minimum clear signage should be provided to warn yachts to keep away from the recreational area! (Note. I used to take on crew in Lyttelton port, but the new marina arrangement prevents this)</p> <p>2. If the proposed new pontoon has insufficient water depth for yachts to take on crew then it should be anticipated that yachts and other craft that require 2m or so depth will continue to use the</p>	Richard

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
	<p>existing ferry steps to take on or disembark additional crew. It needs to be ascertained whether untimely use of the existing ferry steps will impede ferry access to the new pontoon.</p> <p>3. The pontoon and its access bridge should provide safe launch and retrieve facilities for tenders using the boat shed and pile moorings at any state of the tide. The existing arrangement using the south steps is slippery and has an extreme step of 800mm at very low tides which is quite dangerous to climb out and haul up a tender. An additional lower step, or lowering the existing steps by about 800mm could help.</p> <p>4. The new pontoon should include a feature to improve safety for swimmers to allow safe exit from the water at any state of the tide. The existing arrangement at the south steps with 800mm step at very low tide is too much of a climb for small swimmers to manage. I rescued one swimmer who was too exhausted to make the climb and reported the incident to the council at the time. Provision of a ladder at the south steps or an additional step for use at very low tides could help.</p>	
35544	<p>1. The proposed recreational area of the new pontoon is a potential hazard to pile mooring users as most yachts in the moorings will draw too much water to use the pontoon at most states of the tide. For example my small yacht in berth 7 draws 1.6m and would run aground if taking on crew at the proposed recreational area. At a minimum clear signage should be provided to warn yachts to keep away from the recreational area! (Note. I used to take on crew in Lyttelton port, but the new marina arrangement prevents this).</p> <p>2. If the proposed new pontoon has insufficient water depth for yachts to take on crew then it should be anticipated that yachts and other craft that require 2m or so depth will continue to use the existing ferry steps to take on or disembark additional crew. It needs to be ascertained whether untimely use of the existing ferry steps will impede ferry access to the new pontoon.</p> <p>3. The pontoon and its access bridge should provide safe launch and retrieve facilities for tenders using the boat shed and pile moorings at any state of the tide. The existing arrangement using the south steps is slippery and has an extreme step of 800mm at very low tides which is quite dangerous to climb out and haul up a tender. An additional lower step, or lowering the existing steps by about 800mm could help.</p> <p>4. The new pontoon should include a feature to improve safety for swimmers to allow safe exit from the water at any state of the tide. The existing arrangement at the south steps with 800mm step at very low tide is too much of a climb for small swimmers to manage. I rescued one swimmer who was too exhausted to make the climb and reported the incident to the council at the time. Provision of a ladder at the south steps or an additional step for use at very low tides could help.</p>	Richard
35479	<p>Looks interesting.</p> <p>Please keep the crane! It is such an asset for all young people in summer</p> <p>Antje</p>	Antje
35470	Can there be an option for launching kayaks from the floating dock? Would be great to have access at all states of the tide. Thanks liz	Liz
35466	Keep the crane on the wharf the local kids use this. A wee coffee shop would be amazing down there for patrons of the ferry and general visitors alike. Also can we please have our pub back? Surely you are in council to serve our community and so far you've blatantly ignored us.	Jim
35420	<p>Hi there, as a local in DH and using the ferry fairly often, husband daily, we are worried that any kind of restauration will change this unique and special icon of our community. We strongly feel, yes to a safer access to ferry for elderlies, but not overdoing it for bikies, since there are not too many and they are young and strong. Anyhow, our biggest concern is the changes Ms Holder suggest: more shade on the wharf? WHY? Sails which rip, which are a target for the new group of rowdies who park their car on the wharf at night, having beers there, leave rubbish and with more lights they can even fish and do drugs in plain sight. NO to more lighting and NO to shades, the new boat sheds are enough shade and cover in rain for people to use the ferry. DO NOT OVERKILL...AND USE TAX MONEY FOR SOMETHING WHICH IS not NEEDED.</p> <p>Also, removing our crane to 'another location in dh' is a silly as the new are, the jumping board at the boatshed at the Avon...stupid. This has been the fun of their live time, and not being able to use it anymore was hard enough...dismantling and putting up into the lawn with view of the harbour is a ridiculous idea. I hope we are not the only ones in this.</p> <p>Cheers and let's say it this way. We love what we have, and yes to improvement for oldies, and impaired people, but do not spend money to change to beautiful 100 years old planks, the old handrails, as long as they are safe.</p> <p>Do not destroy something historical and unique, if you don't have to. plus saves our tax money. Franziska</p>	Franziska

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
35418	<p>Please keep the crane there.</p> <p>The wharf is a summer asset for tourism and recreation as much as it is a functioning jetty. Some more thought given to how it can be more of a child friendly swimming destination too would be great.</p> <p>Maybe some more thought to a disconnected floating swimmer's pontoon would be good.</p>	James
35412	Please put the crane in a different area as the diamond harbour wharf is popular on a warm day for people to jump off into the harbour. Please keep this possible too.	lorraine
35411	yes, its a great idea., but what we really , really want, is Godley House rebuilt .	andrew
35388	The present crane is of great joy to many especially children who love to swing out and drop into the water. Is there any way another site could be found on the wharf for the crane or a similar piece of equipment mounted on the wharf which can be used?	Michele
35386	Would be great to keep the crane on the wharf so kids can still use it to jump off the wharf	Marijke
35391	You have to keep the crane, even if its moved to the other side of the jetty, that is one of the best parts of living over here is now watching my grandchildren swing of the crane like all of my children and even my self when was young	Daniel
35399	please change the ferry access. The steps are hard to manage when you are carrying a heavy E - bike .	Mareile
35608	<p>Hi</p> <p>Yes please upgrade the wharf.</p> <p>Yes to the floating pontoon and moving the crane derrick to the north side.</p> <p>My elderly Mum wasn't able to stay here because no access for infirm.</p> <p>My sister struggles when she visits from Auckland with her suitcase and a bad back.</p> <p>So yes to a floating pontoon.</p> <p>DO NOT close any of the stairs and ramps existing at present.</p> <p>This is a hugely important youth gathering spot in the summer. Not to mention oldies and families enjoy a swim and a jump.</p> <p>We need to keep the ramps and stairs so its easy and safe in all areas for children to climb out of the water quickly whichever side they are on.</p> <p>My youngest likes to extend himself so its good to see him climb up wherever he is.</p> <p>ALSO ...please BAN fishing from the wharf.</p> <p>Its so hard on the swimmers.</p> <p>One person caught my son's finger in his hook last season.</p> <p>At the very least BAN summer fishing...ie from 1st November through to 1 st March.</p> <p>There is often conflict between swimmers and fishers now, as it has become a popular spot for town visitors.</p> <p>Last season they were always there it was awful. And the majority are town people coming here to leave hooks and stinky bait all around.</p> <p>OUR LOCAL children have no facilities here, nothing for teenagers, and so the very least ccc can do is leave them this space as their hangout. Town kids and families have plenty of options.</p>	Annabelle

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
35650	I totally support the proposal and the plan has considered all the issues we currently face as users of the wharf.	Emma
35423	It will be fantastic to have a pontoon.  Please keep the crane on the wharf. Kids love to swing out into the sea from it. The sheltered south east side of the wharf is best for swimming off (and swinging off). The crane needs to be near an easy access point back into the wharf, ie steps or the dinghy ramp.	Frances
35697	I am highly supportive of the upgrade plans, however it is imperative that the crane remain a feature and attraction on the wharf. Moving it to the appropriate location is key - ideally it should move 4 meters towards shore as the landing zone in the water for swing users would be a safe distance from pontoon, and is into a sheltered zone, and has the ramp for easy exit from the sea. An alternative is to move it to the north side adjacent to the steps, again this means those using as a swing can land in a sheltered spot and use the steps for egress. The least favored option is to move it to the west or south side of existing wharf, as this is into more expose sea and therefore more challenging for the children who use the swing. Please also consider adding 8 - 10 rod holders along the railings for people fishing, as this is a popular and supported activity. A bait cutting table and sink with water supply would be ideal.	Pete
35607	Kia ora,  Thanks so much for all the work towards making this project a reality :-)  My only concern is with the slope of the gangway. I note that at very low water the slope is expected to be 1V:3.7H, and this is noted to be similar to the slope at the Lyttelton jetty, and within the 1V:3.5H mentioned in AS3962 Guidelines for design of marinas.  My comment is that the use of this jetty is different from the use of a marina jetty. As a regular user of the wonderful Black Cat ferry service, I am very aware that the jetty serves a high proportion of elderly and frail residents of Diamond Harbour, as well as a high proportion of parents with young children, and commuters early on winter mornings when there is frost on the jetty (which will also be on the gangway, as currently happens at the Lyttelton jetty). The existing gangway at the Lyttelton jetty causes all these people difficulty at low water due to its steepness. I would appreciate the design team re-assessing this issue to see whether a longer gangway would be more appropriate to suit the intended use of the jetty. While this would not fix the existing problem at the Lyttelton Jetty, it would still be much more preferable to have a user-friendly gangway at one end of the ferry run rather than none.  Aku mihi nunui,  Mark	Mark
35667	As a disabled person this will make using the ferry much easier for me. Please do all you can to keep the crane though!	Claire
35609	The floating pontoon is an excellent idea. Currently, accessing the ferry is very difficult for those with disabilities, the elderly, those with young children, and those carrying bags or luggage. Even for passengers who are very mobile, access to the ferry can be perilous when it is wet or icy and at certain water levels.  Jumping off the wharf is a beloved local pastime in summer, and children have a great time swinging off the old crane. I think it is very important that the development does not impede on the recreational use of the wharf. I think the crane should be located to a spot on the wharf where it can be used for recreation. I think it also important to retain the crane on the wharf for historical reasons.  For conservation reasons, I believe the development should retain as much as possible of the character of the wharf.	Alexia
35439	It needs to be accessible. Wheelchair accessible.	Rebecca
35409	Great to have better access. Getting on and off the ferry can be a nightmare. Please try and retain a spot for kids to jump into the water safely as it's very popular and fun and nice to see teenagers outside off devices!	Eleri
35398	We have to keep this as a special place for kids and swimmers!!!	Kylie
35620	I wouldn't like to see the crane removed as it is extensively used by the local children and visitors to the harbour to swing and jump off the wharf. Having said that, I do support a floating pontoon to improve accessibility. I think it is important to look at solutions that allow both of these features to co-exist.	Sally
35393	Happy to see access issues acknowledge but with the new part is there going to be any safety rails as if it is floating it will have a certain amount of movement as the boats come in - this will increase the risk for those people who have stability issues when walking or standing to actually fall into the harbour. Also some sort of shelter on the floating dock would be good as well as up on the main wharf as waiting for it ferry in bad weather is not great.  Also not to forget those who use the wharf for recreation - it would be good to have an area that is 'safe' for those using it to swim/jump off and for them to be able to easily access the wharf again - so a designated safe swimming zone away from the ferry traffic. PLUS - for all those people who like fishing off the wharf an area for them with some setting and shelter would be great.	dawn

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
	While it is the wharf that is being looked at directly a side issue is the lack of parking down by the wharf - some who don't have disability cards but do still have reduced mobility find it difficult to get to as the car park is always full and coming down from the park above is not always doable. Nothing to say for the really narrow road going to and from the wharf from the village. Plus the walking path is not great - it needs more rest stops as it is steep. With the new Cruise ship berth (and when borders open again) there will be increased foot traffic coming over to explore the area. Facilities need to be improved to accommodate these people plus the New Zealanders who are now spending more time in NZ exploring their country.	
35782	<p>You ask: Is there anything you need to before starting your work?</p> <p>Yes. Getting to, and parking at, the jetty has long been a problem caused by an unhelpful road lay-out and the lack of car-parking on arrival there. We locals can see that altering the existing road would allow:</p> <p>a. better access to the jetty* and</p> <p>b. the fill from road-works to extend the present car-park area.</p> <p>However, extending the car-parking area would inhibit the sea-space needed by your proposed floating pontoon.</p> <p>Hopefully, the attached sketches will demonstrate the problems you might like to consider before starting work. It may be necessary - to future-proof your project - to re-position the pontoon.</p> <p>*(By making a one-way ring road of the present hair-pinned two-way road).</p>	J.K.
35425	I support the redevelopment of the jetty, especially the floating pontoon. It would be great to keep the crane to give provenance to the structure (propositioned)	Adrian
35656	I support this proposal	Cody
35417	Will it still be safe to swim? The area where the pontoon is planned to go is the area where kids (and adults) often jump off.	Heba
35415	Fantastic! About time this easy access pontoon was installed! I travel most weekends on ferry and have to help my sister lift her 1 yr old's pushchair up the slippery steps..currently...very dangerous.Happy to see these improvements proposed!	Jane
35429	I think it is long overdue to make this wharf accessible. However, the crane is a much loved item by the children of the district and almost a rite to jump off the wharf using the crane rope. Please relocate it somewhere on the wharf that it can still be used for this purpose as it is great to see the delight the children have in this, while waiting for the ferry. There are not a lot of child focussed activities in this area and this is a very popular one. Please keep it on the wharf.	Pat
35593	<p>The Diamond Harbour Community Association welcomes the project and supports the principal design, but suggests a small change to the proposed position of the new pontoon. The planned recess from the current ferry berth can be awkward to access for the ferry and leaves not enough room for recreational craft users to launch their dinghies or berth their boats on the north-east side of the pontoon. We recommend to move the pontoon about 4m to the south-west so that the ferry berth is in line with the south-west berthing area of the jetty (yellow line on attached image).</p> <p>The recess was probably planned to position the pontoon in calm waters and allow the structure of the jetty to break and soften wave action. This part of the harbour is very calm anyway and moving the pontoon a few meters will still leave the main part of the pontoon in lee of the jetty.</p>	Thomas
35583	I think it's a great idea to expand the jetty my only issue is with removing the crane which is used by the local kids to swing off into the water my mates and I used to swing off it during the summer and it is a big part of this community it reminds me of when Diamond Harbour was a true rural town it's part of my Tahuu Korere and it should remain for the youth of today	Hugh
35481	I do use this fantastic service. It would be helpful to have a small metre wide ramp running up besides the Diamond Harbour steps. It's relatively slippery when trying to lift bike up the steps.	Marie
35713	<p>I would really like to see the floating pontoon proposal at Diamond Harbour go ahead. Having the floating pontoon would make ferry access a lot easier and safer for many people. The depth on the east/shore side would need to be deep enough to accommodate yacht keels - at least 2m at low tide would be great. We would use the pontoon frequently when visiting Diamond Harbour by boat.</p> <p>The position of the Naval Club mooring may need to be reviewed/moved if it is in the way, but I would like the club mooring to remain in Diamond Harbour for club members to be able to keep using.</p> <p>The crane is very popular with people using it to swing off and jump into the water. It would be good if it could be kept on the wharf, maybe moved to the other side.</p>	Jane
35501	<p>The Naval Point Club Lyttelton mooring is based in the area where the proposed pontoon is going to be located. This is a popular spot for cruisers to raft up. Could moving the mooring to a more suitable spot be included in your designs please?</p> <p>The children love jumping off the wharf by the crane. If there could be some provision for a new suitable spot away from boat traffic for this to continue then that would be great too.</p>	Viki

Submission ID	Do you have any comments on the Diamond Harbour Wharf upgrade project?	Name
35480	I fully support the addition of a pontoon to the Diamond Harbour Wharf. My wife and I are occasional users of the ferry, usually as part of a bike ride. It would be great to have a ramp and pontoon to make it easier to get our bikes on and off the ferry. Over the last few years we have noticed an increase in the number of people with bikes using the ferry. I am sure those with electric bikes, which are generally heavier, would appreciate the ramp as well. It would also benefit those with prams, etc.	Richard
35530	I think it's a fantastic idea. Pleased to see the space for recreational boats- we need space in the inner harbour in Lyttelton too. Well done.	Amy
35693	I think the Christchurch City Council should move forward with the plans for the pontoon. However, they should also keep the crane on the wharf. For all of my childhood the wharf has been a central part of summer, and is without doubt one of the favoured places for swimming for the community, replacing other facilities such as a swimming pool. Removal of the crane from the wharf will mean the wharf will lose its recreational place in the community, one of its many important roles.	Colby
35678	Whilst I fully support making it safer for the ferry to arrive and for better access, will these changes prevent people jumping off the wharf - which is currently a hugely popular summer activity? This will be a disappointing side outcome if that then occurs - can a safe jumping spot still be maintained if the pontoon is built?	Jamie
35512	A floating pontoon would speed up loading and discharge of passengers. There has been an increase in elderly E Bike users who struggle to climb the present steps, pushchairs also struggle too. In addition passenger's safety would be enhanced! I would like to see the old crane retained as generations of children have enjoyed swinging from the boom. Likewise the dinghy ramp is vital to the boat owners who have yachts on the moorings and visiting boats	John