

|    | Submission ID | I / We           | Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views   |
|----|---------------|------------------|---|
| 1  | 21038         | support the plan | Brilliant idea..... can get very tight driving through that area when sports playing on the domain... have often wondered when a kid may get run over....   |
| 2  | 21039         | support the plan |   |
| 3  | 21040         | support the plan |   |
| 4  | 21041         | support the plan |   |
| 5  | 21046         | support the plan |   |
| 6  | 21136         | support the plan | Long overdue. Should have been parking from day one.  |
| 7  | 21188         | support the plan | This would be a great initiative to improve the parking & traffic flow  |
| 8  | 21248         | support the plan | When we purchased this section there was no provision in the subdivision plan for car parking opposite our address. Why were we not notified of the proposed car parking back then?<br>Our main concern for the car parking is at night, what provision has been implemented to ensure Boy racers and Hoons are not going to use this as a gathering place. We note that other parking areas around the Domain are all lockable at night where as this area is going to be open to all. Are there going to be Speed humps in the Avenue and also "No cruising" signage installed.   |
| 9  | 21335         | support the plan | There are already numerous cars that park on the grass where the car parking is proposed, but having designated spaces will increase the capacity due to formed parks. Edward Stafford Ave appears to never have been designed for the amount of traffic it gets as it is very narrow and if cars park outside of the existing parking bays (as they do all the time) there are huge blind spots and not enough room for 2 cars to drive past each other when a parked vehicle is there. This proposal will address these issues via the no stopping lines where the parking bays are not. It will also reduce the parking pressure at Steane place, where again the width of the street is not suitable for parking on both sides, especially on the corner. |
| 10 | 21442         | support the plan | It pleases me greatly to see the Domain being used by so many. I have one complaint and that is the .... opposite Nos 34 and 36 being used by .... as a convenience. I realise toilets are expensive to provide, but the now size of the Domain and the .... who use the sports areas, have .... this unhealthy problem. Could the trees be kept at a lower level?  |
| 11 | 21443         | support the plan | An excellent development & meets an urgent safety need. Strongly suggest that an additional Boardwalk be installed opposite House Nos. 40 & 42 to give more convenient & safe access to playing fields & recreation area at eastern end of the Domain   |

|   | Submission ID | I / We                  | Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views   |
|---|---------------|-------------------------|---|
| 1 | 21186         | do not support the plan | I welcome timber boardwalk. However, I do not think more parking space is necessary.<br>Reasons: 1. There are enough parking space next to library<br>2. Cars parking for long time may degrade the views for some houses |