

QEII Park Draft Master Plan Consultation - Submissions Analysis

January 2019

1. Consultation and submitter details

Dates of consultation: 12 October 2018 – 13 December 2018

Number of submissions: 70 (combined child surveys and formal submissions)

- 13 hardcopy, 57 online
- 3 child survey only, 20 both child survey and submission, 47 submission only
- 15 submissions from organisations (one including petition and letters of support – see section 4)

Demographics: Address of submitter

- 64 from Christchurch (44 from local suburbs of Burwood, New Brighton, Parklands, Waimari Beach, Shirley, South Shore, and Linwood)
- 1 each from Lincoln and Kaiapoi
- 1 from North Island
- 3 did not state an address (child surveys)

2. Results – Children’s survey (23 participants)

Track colour	Slide colour	Sandpit toys
Green = 4	Red = 3	Fossils = 3
Blue = 7	Green = 7	Treasure = 8
Red = 12	Blue = 13	Dinosaur bones = 12

3. Results - Formal survey (67 submitters)

Question: Do you think the QEII Park Master Plan -

- Improves access into and around the park? (65 responses)
 - Yes = 58 (89%)
 - No = 7 (11%)
- Offers a good range of things to do? (65 responses)
 - Yes = 58 (89%)
 - No = 7 (11%)
- Has the right mix of facilities? (65 responses)
 - Yes = 49 (75%)
 - No = 16 (25%)
- Improves the look and feel of the park? (65 responses)
 - Yes = 60 (92%)
 - No = 5 (8%)

- Improves the natural environment? (64 responses)
 - Yes = 60 (94%)
 - No = 4 (6%)

Question: Overall, does the master plan meet the needs of the local community? (61 responses)

- Yes = 53 (87%)
- No = 8 (13%)

Question: If you answered no to any of these do you have any ideas on how this could be improved? (34 responses)

The wide range of feedback, included six ideas that are out of scope and five that are already proposed in the draft plan.

Relevant responses are as follows:

Local play space feedback – 9 submissions

- Make it bigger (Margaret Mahy) - 3
- Safety concerns - 2
- Move location (to Tairoa / away from Basket Ball court) – 2
- More seating - 1
- More shade - 1
- More for older kids - 1
- Maintenance concerns - 1

Sports facilities feedback – 7 submissions

- More non-field sports – 5
- Full basketball court – 3
- Golf – 2
- No sports fields - 1

Greenspace feedback – 5 submissions

- More native trees – 3
- Dog park – 1
- Boating lake -1
- Community garden – 1
- Orienteering course - 1

Infrastructure feedback – 8 submissions

- More or improved parking – 3
- More shade – 2
- Keep petanque fence - 1
- Cost of changing rooms – 1

- Bigger changing rooms – 1
- More toilets – 1
- More lighting – 1
- Outdoor stage – 1

Transport feedback – 2 submissions (that are inside the scope of the project or not already proposed)

- Safer pedestrian movement between buildings
- Better (safer) cycle access for schools

Demographic specific feedback – 6 submissions

- More teen focussed – 3
- More family focussed – 1
- More adult focussed - 1
- Less child focussed – 1
- More cultural focus – 1

Recreation and leisure buildings feedback – 11 submissions

- Indoor pool extension(s) – 5
- Leisure complex / funpark – 5 (see section 4 for more detail)
- Outdoor pool – 2

Question: Is there anything currently unfunded that you would like to do sooner? (33 responses)

One response was out of scope, eight included ideas that are already funded and three responses did not answer the question.

Projects that are currently unfunded and asked to be brought forward are as follows:

- Nature trail area (including earthworks, walkways and planting) – 16
- Other walkways – 3
- Entire local play space – 3
- Larger pool complex – 2
- Main entrance – 1
- Basketball court – 1
- BBQ picnic space – 1
- Multi-sport field – 1

Projects that are already funded (ie cannot actually happen sooner than planned):

- Parking – 2
- Disc golf – 4
- Way finding – 1
- Demolitions - 1

Question: What funded project would you be prepared to push out to make this possible? (25 responses)

Six responses wanted to push out projects that are already unfunded, four responses suggested nothing be pushed out, one asked to replace disc golf and nature trail with golf and a driving range and three asked for the sports fields to be pushed out, which already exist. Three responses did not answer the question.

Responses for feasible projects to be pushed out are as follows:

- Disc golf (low cost) – 4
- Landscaping – 2
- Fitness stations – 2
- Event space / mounding – 2
- Bike tracks – 1
- Local play space – 1

4. Proposed multi-sport training and entertainment complex

A single submission proposed that land be leased for the development of a private commercial complex, in the south west corner of the park.

This proposal was promoted privately (change.org, facebook, radio), gaining a 2938 signature petition and 24 letters of support.

Petition signatories are predominantly from Christchurch. However, there are significant numbers from elsewhere in New Zealand and overseas.