

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18783	have some concerns	The extended pedestrian refuge does not have enough flat 'tactile pavers'. There are a lot of prams, scooters and small children who cross here and the kerb is too high to cross safely as takes to long for little people or teens to get up if the flat is already full. Side note: When cars turn off Barrington onto Stourbridge cars accelerate excessively	Leanne Schimanski	
18760	have some concerns	I'm sorry I missed the feedback period on the proposed Barrington Street mall entrance safety improvements. Hopefully there is still a possibility of further feedback being taken into account, whilst I don't want to delay the project. Having crossed there with my three children last week, I strongly support the need for improvement. It was a stressful and dangerous experience. May I also suggest that a path from the south side of the library (staff carpark) is extended along beside the fence to the existing gate from carpark to park. There is already an unsealed path between the new playground and fence. This would mean that people wouldn't have to cross the mall entrance, but could access the mall where traffic is slower, and don't risk getting run over by someone turning into the mall (particularly if they are shooting a gap). Please see attached markup. Related, but outside the scope of your project, the pedestrian access to the mall at the east side of Barrington park needs to be straightened up, so that pedestrians don't need to skirt along beside traffic before using the 'pedestrian crossing'. I have been onto mall management about this, but they seem to think that yellow paint will suffice. Perhaps you could push them from your direction also.	John McKirdy	
18446	support the plan	Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback on the proposed Barrington St Safety Improvements project. The staff in the Environment Canterbury Public Transport Team support extending bus stop 11384 to 14m and removing the carpark at the head of the stop to facilitate this extension. The stop services the high frequency Orbiter service and the current 11.5 metres does not accommodate vehicles used on this route. We also support 4 metres of no-stopping between Athelstan St and Kinver Place as the yellow lines will help buses to enter and exit the bus stop more easily. We support the extended pedestrian refuge at the Barrington Mall entrance and the patterned footpath across the access to Barrington Mall which will enhance safety for pedestrians and create a safer crossing to bus stop 11384.	Leila Torrington	ECAN
18381	have some concerns	On behalf of Christchurch City Libraries We thank you for the opportunity for Christchurch City Libraries to include a submission on the Barrington Street Pedestrian Safety Improvement Submission. Our submission is based on the location of Spreydon Library and the impact that these changes may have on accessibility both for our customers and our daily courier truck. We support the plan however we have some concerns as described below. Overview of Spreydon Library Spreydon Library is a busy small community library with approx. 400 customers visiting the library daily (as determined by our foot counter) and arrive on foot or by car. Many customers park on Barrington Street and walk past the park to access the library. The library experiences busy periods during programming times on Wednesday and Friday mornings and when the Justice of the Peace is present on Thursdays from 10-1pm. We are in support of a safer access to the Barrington Mall both for vehicles and pedestrians including wider foot paths and updating landscaping. Courier Truck access in the library A large courier truck visits the library on a daily basis to drop off crates of stock and collect stock for other libraries. The truck currently reverses into the library side park so it can drive out with visibility and without obstruction. The changes that have been presented may make this movement difficult and perhaps dangerous for both the driver and pedestrians. We would like to signal this as a concern.	Sam Ludemann	Spreydon Library
18380	do not support the plan		Glynne Mackey	
18326	support the plan	It would help if the Stourbridge Giveway sign was changed to a Stop sign, people crossing Barrington Street at the Library, bus pickup point need all the time they can get and some drivers do not significantly slow down as they come around that corner. This cxallenges older people in transit particularly	Brian Roff	
18322	have some concerns	I think that the time limit for outside the Chemist on Barrington Street should be fifteen or twenty minutes because i have been there when somebody has come in with a prescription and they are told that it would be fifteen minutes particularly when there is only one person able to do the dispensing.	Shona Gray	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18318	support the plan	<p>Yay, at last. I think the proposed changes would greatly improve safety for all road users and the paving over the entrance/exit and the extended pedestrian refuge especially for pedestrians. The plan gives clearer visual direction for both traffic and pedestrians. It is a major safety gain to have no right turn for traffic out of the Mall but right turning traffic into the Mall remains a major hazard to pedestrians.</p> <p>It would be an advantage to keep the landscaped strip with low height plants so young children and animals are not obscured from vision.</p> <p>PLEASE answer this question that I believe is in scope of this proposal. I have had difficulty finding a definitive legal (road code) answer to this question - does vehicle traffic give way to pedestrians across the entrance way? This is apparently confused by the definition of driveway/accessway. I know commonsense and courtesy go some way but legally? If the answer is traffic gives way to pedestrians I suggest that signs be placed at the exit to instruct traffic to do so. Other road users may also appreciate clarification, should the vehicle or the pedestrian give way?</p> <p>I support these proposed changes that should make me and other user's feel and be safer using this area.</p> <p>Note to the engineers and their technical plans would the Section A-A be more logical and readable, west to east, rather than the east to west shown which is contrary to the north arrow on the plan?</p>	Alison Beken	
18307	have some concerns	<p>Error in "no R turn" illustration. There was never a R turn here, it's single lane L turn. The lane showing van/bus entering mall precinct IS 2 lane currently, and it is HERE that R turns have historically been made. I ride a mobility scooter and to cross library > other side, I need eyes in the back of my head as I sit precariously in the middle of the road waiting. Yes, please ban the R turn from the 2-lane portion of the entry!!</p>	Pat Wallace	
18306	have some concerns	<p>I generally support this plan to address a very dangerous intersection. I have seen numerous traffic incidents at this intersection involving cars, buses, cyclists and pedestrians.</p> <p>Extending the 'no stopping' on the west side of Barrington Street between Athelstan Street and Kinver Place will improve pedestrian & cyclist safety. These restrictions will however, do nothing to alleviate the obstruction caused by the existing bus stop situated before the entrance to Kinver Place on Barrington Street (west side).</p> <p>Residents & visitors to Kinver Place face a daily struggle avoiding/manoeuvring around the bus at this stop. Cyclists, on-coming cars & pedestrians are all obscured when entering/exiting Kinver Place.</p> <p>Rushed decisions are the norm. This is neither a safe, efficient nor effective bus stop for residents; who are increasing in number with four new house builds in the last year. These safety improvements do not rectify this problem they merely move it a few metres down the road.</p>	Andrea Westmerland	
18305	support the plan	<p>Glad to see action taken as I have been using a crutch and a walker and found negotiating the many kerbs difficult and dangerous especially due to increased risk of falling.</p>	Rosemary & Tom Barnes	
18298	support the plan	<p>The LCRA has been part of a campaign for several years to have these changes made. We are very pleased to see that it will happen. It will make Barrington St safer for pedestrians esp those coming from the bus stop across the road. The traffic flows will be improved especially at busy times.</p> <p>We see this as being a very sensible solution to a complex issue providing greater safety for all users.</p>	Sue Bye	Lower Cashmere Residents Association
18277	have some concerns	<p>My family frequently walk, bike, or drive to Barrington Mall, the library and playground. I agree that changes should be made to improve safety for pedestrians and cyclists in this area.</p> <p>I am concerned that a patterned footpath across the access to Barrington Mall on Barrington Street to highlight a pedestrian route may cause confusion as people recognise this is a walking footpath but may not know whether they should give way to pedestrians. I believe pedestrians should have the right of way, and suggest a zebra crossing would be a better solution to give clear indication of who has the right of way.</p>	Sarah Macfarlane	
18241	have some concerns	<p>as a driver and frequent pedestrian on Barrington Street I am acutely aware how dangerous the area around the mall is. I consider no right turn from the mall to Barrington Street an insufficient safety measure. I request no right or left turn from the mall to Barrington Street i.e. no exit for cars, motorbikes and bikes from the mall to Barrington Street.</p>	Vivienne Boyd	n/a

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18207	have some concerns	<p>The plan to stop the right/h turn out of the mall is satisfactory - if long overdue.</p> <p>However CCC should have seized the opportunity and prevented traffic turning right into the mall from Barrington St, at the same time as they finally decided to stop the rt/h turn out of the mall after four years delay (at least)</p> <p>We foresee continued traffic problems caused by this failure of CCC, and needless to say, danger to pedestrians walking on the LEGAL footpath between the Library and Wilsons Pharmacy - as well as danger to the ever increasing number of cyclists transiting Barrington St from Coronation St, end to Athelstan St etc.</p> <p>We belong to a group that is very likely ready to petition CCC to close the right hand turn for vehicles into the Mall. We will monitor the situation carefully and eventually decide to act.</p>	Denis O'Connor & Fiona Munro	
18205	have some concerns	<p>No right hand turn INTO Mall car park from Barrington St.</p> <p>Removing parking between Stourbridge St and Kinver place inconvenient for brief stops, especially to library - to return books eg</p>	Alan & Patricia Chapman	
18204	support the plan	I think it's a very good plan, but I would like to see a couple of parking space left opposite the Spreydon library.	Lois Wells	
18203	have some concerns	<p>I like the proposed plan which addresses concerns I have had about the Barrington Mall entry from Barrington Street for many years.</p> <p>However I believe that having no right hand turn into the entry from Barrington St would improve both traffic flow & pedestrian safety. I live in Kinver Place and use the footpath across the entry often and am reluctant to cross the road at busy times</p> <p>With an extra set of lights for pedestrians & cycles at the new access from Roker St there are now 3 sets of traffic lights in a short distance. This constricts traffic flow as does any right turn from Barrington Street. Many drivers get impatient and speed across oncoming traffic gaps to get into the Mall.</p> <p>The access to the Mall from Athelstan St & Sugden Street is a much better option for most cars.</p>	Cherryl Margaret Brown	
18201	support the plan	Sensible idea at last.	Yvonne Grace	
18200	support the plan	I am in full agreement with the plan for Barrington Mall. Traffic lights there are the only suggestion I could make otherwise.	Mr Graham Herbert Cook	
18198	have some concerns	The 10 minute parking outside the two shops affected, in Barrington Street does not include the 30 minute single car park outside 250b Barrington (Thai Restaurant) Street going N.E. The set of traffic lights at the junction of Athelstan and Barrington Streets include two lanes, one turning left into Athelstan Street. When there is traffic waiting for the lights to change as they go N.E towards the Port Hills, there is no room for two cars even, to get into the Left-turning lane because there is usually a car parked in this narrow space. I would like to see it removed, as a 30 minutes car park at particularly busy times of the day causes unnecessary congestion and is a danger to cyclists who are weaving their way up to the lights. The 'T-junction at Barrington St/Stourbridge St needs clearer signage as turning right (N.E towards the Port Hills) is almost impossible between 10-00am - 6.00pm. Cars turning left to join the queue in the centre of the road to turn right into the Mall don't stop - just "oozle" out into the traffic when they judge it to be safe. A new cycle path will make this more dangerous, in my opinion. Please change the sign to read STOP.	Diana McCoy	
18196	support the plan	<p>It has been a long time coming and I am pleased that this change will take place.</p> <p>I often walk over to Barrington Mall and it is very dangerous crossing the road.</p> <p>I also think that not allowing traffic to turn right onto Barrington St is a good idea.</p>	Ruth Emmens	
18195	support the plan		Lauretta Main	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18194	have some concerns	<p>We support most of the proposed changes to the plan.</p> <p>Essential to have a patterned footpath to access Barrington Mall from Library area - very dangerous at present!</p> <p>No right turns out of Barrington Mall into Barrington St</p> <p>Extend the pedestrian refuge on Barrington St</p> <p>We are surprised there have not been any fatalities in this dangerous space.</p> <p>Don't agree with the 2 parking spaces to be removed - too difficult for those with minor disabilities to access Library and front of Mall.</p> <p>Loading zone needed near Service Station.</p> <p>Don't support removal of parking spaces in favour of cycle lane which runs into bus stop. Where do cyclists go when bus there? Straight out into traffic!</p>	K Flanagan	
18193	have some concerns	<p>No right turn to exit Barrington Mall will help traffic congestion here.</p> <p>However, it is currently very difficult and dangerous to cross Barrington Street from Pregnancy Matters Midwives marked on your map at 259 Barrington St, and to enter the Mall as a pedestrian.</p> <p>The plan showing an extended Pedestrian refuge is fine to get to the Library. However to get from here to the Mall as a Pedestrian or mother pushing a pram involves crossing two more roads ie the entrance to the Mall for cars, & the Exit from the Mall.</p> <p>There does not seem to be any allowance for a pedestrian crossing here, or a safe refuge in the middle of the two lanes of traffic mentioned above.</p> <p>There is no footpath from the library down the left hand side of traffic entering the Mall, there is no option but to cross this portion of road.</p> <p>Please amend your plans to allow safe pedestrian access from 255 & 259 Barrington Street side of road.</p>	Susan Hall	Landlord
18192	have some concerns	<p>I support SOME plan but not this one.</p> <p>Good idea to scrap the right turn out of the mall, although it will mean I have to take a different route.</p> <p>But a large footpath outside the exit/entrance is going to make it very difficult for vehicles - it's hard enough finding a gap in the vehicle traffic, without having to cope with a footpath/pedestrians.</p> <p>Also it will be a dangerous place for pedestrians.</p> <p>In rush hour it is nigh on impossible to find a gap in the traffic when driving out of the mall, so a pedestrian could easily be overlooked.</p>	Irene Frost	
18190	do not support the plan	<p>All it needs is one "NO RIGHT HAND TURNS" sign at the exit of the car park - This would save considerable money.If the proposal goes ahead1. The proposed exit onto Barrington St to turn left the Black Line (as shown on the Consultation Plan) should be removed completely & the existing lane stay as it is. (The curved proposed kerb).2. The proposed patterned surface at the exit from the mall should be increased in width which would give pedestrians a larger safe area to wait for traffic to clear before proceeding to cross the remainder of the way. A considerable of people use the footpath to go from the existing car park to the Library (people with walkers, crutches, walking sticks, wheelchairs etc). This larger area to stop & wait would make it safer.3. Remove planters - They only restrict the pedestrian walking especially by the central waiting area.4. Remove ALL Tactile Pavers from the 4 places as shown on the plan - Those cause problems for people with walkers etc.</p>	Brian Robert Calder	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18188	have some concerns	<p>Firstly I will say I'm very glad something is to be done regarding the crossing from the library to pharmacy. It is a miracle there hasn't been a fatality. It is a scary place to cross. I'm very glad, there will be no right-hand turns from the carpark across Barrington St. At the present time, the cars turning right appear not to notice the pedestrians waiting to cross and we are forced to walk between cars to reach the pedestrian refuge. Cars turning left do notice anybody waiting, and 90% will wave pedestrians on.</p> <p>But there is a need for the flat part of the refuge to be wider. I myself use a walker and there is no way 2 walkers can fit side by side, let alone a mother with a pushchair and small children hanging to pram. A motorised chair uses up the entire space, as does a wheelchair with person pushing. It is not a fun place to be when your rear end is sticking into the on coming traffic. The crossing from library across Barrington St does feel safe and it may be because of the metal rails which I hope will be kept.</p> <p>Why can't there be a proper pedestrian crossing instead of a patterned surface? The motorist turning left and right from Barrington St, would surely notice it more easily.</p> <p>I am very concerned about the Tactile Pavers. Especially the one by the bus stop, across to the pharmacy. I fear the wheels of my walker will jam in the tread, and it would be easy to fall getting from the bus. I would say it would be hard for mother's with prams, wheelchairs, (although "maybe" not as a ramp is put down) and anyone using a walking stick. Please look at this.</p> <p>I don't think 10 min Parking is quite long enough, 15 mins sounds more reasonable.</p>	Mary Calder	
18186	support the plan	We as land owners at 250-254 Barrington St Plan TP353201 MTR CP503242	Tony Pender	
18151	support the plan		Judy Wilson-parr	
18142	support the plan	This is long overdue and its good to see in consideration. Pedestrian and cyclist safety needs proper management. It feels dangerous, and I've seen many a startled drivers face when they've been focussing on other cars and not noticed the constantly change movement of pedestrians and cyclists. Is it possible to persuade the mall to make pedestrian access safer too?	Polly Grainger	
18137	support the plan		Robin Duff	
18133	have some concerns	<p>*I support the 'No Right turn out of Barrington Mall onto Barrington Street & also believe there needs to be a No Right turn into Barrington Mall from Barrington St - as per attachment</p> <p>*I don't believe the parking needs to be so restrictive, reduced, deleted or more than one Paraplegia Car Park space included - it already works well outside Spreydon Library & is very convient for our community library users.</p> <p>*I believe a pedestrian pathway could be easily created along the library / park boundary fence line - instead of the bark area currently there - ie pedestrians should be encouraged to cross further from Barrington Street - down towards the Carpark / no parking area - where it is less congested from any turning traffic & generally quieter & easier to cross- please see my attachment.</p>	julie Tobbell	
18107	support the plan	It is essential to provide safe opportunities for people who walk and cycle to move around our city. The entrance to Barrington mall is dangerous for all road users and is well overdue for safety improvements.	Tom Williams	
18099	support the plan		Jacinta Simms	
18091	have some concerns	<p>I believe the no right turn in n out of entrance way is a good thing n extend the island in the middle of the road will help.</p> <p>Also think moving the bus stop would be a good idea, as it blocks the road somewhat for athelston street etc. Maybe a more indent into the footpath to allow it to pull over more and moved down either near library or before the lights.</p>	Natasha Tait	
18086	have some concerns	<p>Hi,1. I don't think the plan goes far enough to make pedestrian access into the mall safer. The patterning on the road is too easily ignored. Perhaps there is a need for an actual pedestrian crossing? Also the access between library and mall is still problematic. It would be better if the actual intersection into the mall was moved away from the library/play area into the 60 minute parking area as this is underused and would allow for a much safer pedestrian access to both mall and playground. 2. Prohibiting a right turn out if the mall is problematic, as vehicles which need to go right are then going to add to the chaos at the lights while they try and turn right onto Stourbridge St to get to their destinations. 3.The most dangerous driving I've ever witnessed in that area was from frustrated drivers between the Barrington st lights and Stourbridge/Barrington junction. I don't feel the proposed measures go far enough to address these problems. 4. I support the plan to make this area safer but I think it needs to go a lot further and look at the traffic flow and issues all the way to Milton St, as this all affects what happens at the Barrington Mall entrance.</p>	Jen Parker	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18085	have some concerns	I'm not sure that the current plan is restrictive enough to adequately minimise the pedestrian-crossing traffic to this area. Although this is a good start I think that it's not comprehensive enough. I suggest also making Northbound right turns off Barrington not allowed. Traffic can turn at the light at Athelstan st and go around. While it will be a pain, it will definitely reduce the dangerous kind of traffic with drivers desperate to turn at any gap. The mall used to have a walk through (where the gym currently is) and this enabled drivers to park at a small lot behind the gym and walk through. This mall is really poorly planned and the traffic management around this is a disaster. I'm a cyclist, a mother, and a driver and I RARELY ever go to this library because it's on the worst corner to walk small children across. I frequent the mall but use the Athlestan entrance because of the traffic issues on the other side.	Amy Hewgill	1975
18084	have some concerns	Will not help with right turning traffic from Stourbridge Street into Barrington Street with additional left turning traffic from Barrington mall into Barrington Street if right turning traffic from the Mall is stopped. It's bad enough now trying to turn right into Barrington Street from Stourbridge Street. What about installing a mini roundabout?	Susan McDonnell-Golds	
18083	support the plan	Love the idea on no right turn as at presentnut's so slow and dangerous, but if forced to go left where will people turn to head back down Barrington Street towards Riccarton	Tim Hull	
18057	have some concerns	The plan is a definite improvement on the current layout, however it could be improved further by the following; Removing the right turn into the Barrington Mall car park. This would improve traffic flow and make it safer for pedestrians and cyclists heading towards the hills as they would only have to check for traffic turning in/out from one direction. There is perfectly good access to the car park from Athelstan St and Simeon St. It would also create space so that the painted cycle lane would not have to share the bus stop outside Wilson's Pharmacy - this is currently a pinch point when buses are stopped (which is frequently at peak times) pushing cyclists into the main traffic flow. Making Stourbridge St left in left out only. This again would improve traffic flow, allow wider painted cycle lanes and make it safer for pedestrians and cyclists crossing that junction. Although cycle lanes are nominally 1.8m width this includes the gutter which usually equates to around 0.5m unusable space or is directly adjacent to on-street parking running the risk of doors being opened into cyclists if they ride in the centre or to the left of the lane. The lanes need to be 1.8m of usable cycle space and not shared with bus stops. The ideas suggested above would go a long way to allowing this.	Matt Jackson	
18054	do not support the plan	No turning right onto Barrington st ?? are you kidding no way !! how are people suppost to go right from Barrington mall onto Barrington St when heading to Westfield Mall ? This city is getting much harder for everyone to get around like the bike lanes there been so many accidents already when are you the ((council)) going to STOP making the roads smaller and much harder for cars and public to get around ?? The people who walk will just move down a little way to where the public halfway thing is in the middle of the road where they have always crossed I have never seen anyone being hit or just about it on Barrington St . Soomeone will get killed the way your going as for us tax payers we dont want the roads done because 7 years on and people dont even have there homes rebuilt after the Earthquakes dont you think this should be sorted out before the roads that are not broken first ??	Donna Redden	
18042	support the plan	I regularly walk to the Barrington Mall and find this entrance to the mall difficult to negotiate as a pedestrian. As I experince it there is a lack of clear demarction as to wether the area in front of the mall entrance by the library is a footpath or a roadway. This leads to confusion. While I am only 60 years of age I imagine this to be particlarly difficult for elderly people as their acuity of their senses has been decreased through the natural aging process. Younger children would also find this difficult. I support this proposal as it would make it much safer for pedestrians to both enter the mall and to use the library.	Bernard Otto	
18034	have some concerns	I think it should be left turn in and left turn out. As a pedestrian I find the cars turning right into the mall are part of the problem as well. When it gets quite congested it's easy enough to walk through cars to cross the entrance and you can see oncoming traffic as well, removing the need to turn around and check for cars would make it a lot easier to cross. Removing the park closest to the library is a great idea as it will improve visibility for people crossing the road, saw someone park near on the yellow lines and I could barely see past their car without stepping onto the road.	Krystal Dunham	
18033	support the plan		James Foote	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
18031	do not support the plan	<p>There is a definite need to make changes to this entrance but i dont feel this plan goes far enough.</p> <p>My preference would be to move the entrance next to the pharmacy and liquor store. Maybe even have a roundabout there that incorporates stourbridge street and move the bus stop back to the end of barrington park close to the library.</p> <p>I think if this was done it would give safer access for pedestrians to not only the mall, but also the library, childrens playground areas and barrington park, as it would move the main thoroughfare of traffic closer to the shops and increase parking closer to the library.</p> <p>This would in turn mean the carpark would need to be reconfigured to adjust for the main thoroughfare of traffic.</p> <p>I think this would have a better outcome and longer term sustainability.</p>	Rachel Wilkins-McLay	
18009	have some concerns	With removing the right turn onto Barrington street from the mall an increase of traffic will use Simeon street exit to coronation street to get to Barrington street. Maybe consider a set of lights at coronation street Barrington street intersection.	Robert Neale	
18001	have some concerns	Please see attachement	None	Tinline property
18000	have some concerns	<p>Very thankful the issue is being addressed.</p> <p>I know of many scarey incidents had there.</p> <p>One suggestion would be to strengthen the proposal by saying no exit from Barrington Mall at all - both lady and right turns. Ensure cars only exit from the other two streets...</p>	Duane Major	
17953	have some concerns	I would like to see the speed limit on Barrington St, between Kinver Place and the Milton St traffic lights, reduced to 30km/h. Also for the full length of Athelstan Street. I have often seen vehicles travelling through this area at speeds, which take no account of the road conditions, especially at busy times (eg Saturday mornings). This is particularly the case when there is a queue of vehicles waiting to turn right off Barrington St into the mall entrance, or into Stourbridge St. Drivers go too fast between queued cars on the right, and parked cars on the left, often with pedestrians, cyclists and people alighting from cars also in the mix.	Michael McKenzie	
17935	support the plan	Can any more be done to prevent drivers attempting to turn right out of the mall onto Barrington Street? I feel drivers will sneak through the gap, regardless, in less busy times and potentially cause accidents.	Fiona Bennetts	
17929	support the plan		Ross Mackintosh	
17928	support the plan	<p>I am writing in support of the proposed changes. I believe this will significantly increase safety as making a right turn out of the mall in to Barrington Street is challenging, especially in peak times, and the potential for poor decision-making is high. Obviously there will be some inconvenience to drivers. However given that the majority of mall parking is behind the mall and accessed off other streets there are plenty of alternatives for people exiting the mall.</p> <p>I am also very pleased to see improved provision for cyclists with the inclusion of a painted cycle lane on both sides and removal of on-street parking. Currently this area is a challenge to ride through, even for experienced cyclists, and this will improve safety and convenience for cyclists travelling through the area.</p>	Arthur McGregor	
17917	support the plan		Daniel Lister	
17898	support the plan	<p>We strongly support the changes proposed and ensuring that pedestrians regain the footpath and right of way that should never have been removed.</p> <p>Please ensure the design of the crossing point allows for parents with buggies and multiple children in tow.</p> <p>The current situation is terrible and this is an urgent project for the community.</p>	Richard, Elodie, Zara, Cairo, Amos and Elsa Wesley	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17817	have some concerns	MY THOUGHTS....This is, the CCC proposing a solution that has flaws. We need to look at what they have missed and tell them. *Left turning cars are going to stop on the "special paving" because you can't see if you are any further back. *The bus stop should be moved further along into the gap between the pharmacy and Couplands. The footpath should be indented so the bus is "off" the road" allowing 2 lanes (turning into Stourbridge and through traffic)*A Pathway needs to be built along side the library (inside the park grounds) down to the gateway into the car park. This gives safer access to the Mall, than just the street footpath. #specialpaving will not make it easier for my 95yr old Dad walk along the F.Path, because it is the LEFT traffic turning into the mall That is dangerous.	Karen Whitla	
17758	have some concerns	I support no right-hand turn out. I think this should also become a no exit/entrance only. There are two other entrance/exit options for this mall for cars to exit which are much safer for pedestrians. The visibility turning out of this exit is greatly impaired by buses in the stop. Buses often stay in this stop longer than what is required to drop/collect and move on.	barbara williman	
17696	have some concerns	The proposed plan looks good but I think ultimately it needs to be left turn in and left turn out only. No right hand turns in or out. There is already a set of traffic lights at Athelstan St and people needing to turn right into Barrington Mall can utilise these lights and then use the Athelstan St entrance to the mall. This would reduce the traffic build up on Barrington St which blocks Stourbridge St. The pedestrian island could be then extended because there wouldn't need to be a turning lane. This would make it safer for pedestrians at the entrance/exit of the mall as well because they're only having to look one way to cross.	Lisa Winchester	
17657	do not support the plan	<p>1. Proposal does not resolve conflict between cyclists and left turning vehicles.</p> <p>2. This intersection is a major cause of congestion for SE bound motorists at peak hours.</p> <p>There should be a separate left turn lane for vehicles accessing the mall, a dedicated (ideally physically separated) cycle lane and a straight through traffic lane.</p> <p>Left turning vehicles would merge left to the lane well before they make a left turn, removing conflict with cyclists travelling SE, sometimes at speeds of 30km/h or more.</p> <p>This would also allow vehicles turning left to wait for pedestrians on the footpath to cross without holding up SE bound traffic.</p> <p>Support removal of right turn from mall. The right turn access from Barrington St should also be removed. There is a safe right turn at Athelstan St to access the mall carpark. Removal of the right turning bay would provide room for above suggestion and be much safer. It would also improve traffic flow and improve safety for the nearby Stourbridge St. intersection.</p> <p>Other alternative would be traffic lights but this is likely much more expensive and simply replicates lights already providing a safe right turn to the mall at Athelstan St.</p>	Latham Berry	
17638	support the plan	A number of years ago ...before the quake at about 3 30 on a busy Friday I witnessed a car who had waited for some time, make sure the road was clear and turn right into Barrington. At same time a girl about 10 years was waiting to cross Barrington to the library via the traffic island..she made sure the road was clear but was hit and bowled by the car. She was screaming but at least was alive .the car driver was distraught. I did not linger as her mother was there and there was plenty of support...it upset me so much I have never attempted to turn right since. I always leave the mall either turning left or by exiting through Athelstan Street	Catherine Fielden	
17637	do not support the plan	<p>I think this entrance should be blocked off completely for traffic.</p> <p>There is plenty of scope for folk to enter that car park from the entrance at the back of the mall and 2 entrance/exits there on quiet roads.</p> <p>This would be a way cheaper plan and save ratepayers money who are already paying huge bills.</p> <p>An entrance for emergency purposes only of need be could be considered.</p> <p>Pedestrian access only from this side is my recommendation.</p>	Jo Musson	
17635	have some concerns	Concerned that vehicles forced to turn left when they want to go right (out of Mall onto Barrington St) will make the right turn out of Stourbridge St into Barrington St even more dangerous than it already is. I would like to see this monitored after the change is made and if necessary a no right turn out of Stourbridge St imposed. I expect that we will see two lines of right turning traffic (one into the Mall as now and one waiting to turn into Stourbridge as now but busier as people forced to turn left out of the Mall join the queue) in the centre of Barrington St. When this happens and the bus is at the stop it is going to be a very crowded street and thru traffic will be affected.	Pat Sykes	
17629	have some concerns	Footpath is adequately wide and making it wider will not make pedestrians more visible.Athelstan St will then become busier as right turning traffic to Barrington St will queue down here. Will the lights then be changed to allow more cars through the intersection from Athelstan St? Especially over holiday periods more cars will be in the mall and so longer queues.	Dan Harris	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17620	do not support the plan	We feel this won't fix the traffic problem and making it a no right turn is just going to cause traffic doing u turns, or traffic backing up to turn into the nearest right hand street to do a u turn to travel north down Barrington Street. We suggest putting street lights into this intersection, or at least a crossing light from the library to the mall so traffic has to stop for pedestrians	Jen Anderson	
17614	have some concerns	<p>I would support this plan because i believe that this particular junction is a very difficult issue to deal with and on balance this is the probably the best way forward.</p> <p>I use this mall regularly and the major issues that I see at this particular junction are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - if turning left (which is what I do), you can sometimes not see the traffic coming from the right because the cars turning right pull forward too much which obscures the view; - the right turning cars normally have a very small window to leave because of waiting for gaps in both directions and also because any cars turning into the mall from Barrington Street block their exit if there is space. This is an accident waiting to happen. - because it is a single road exit which turns into a left and right turn, if the right turning cars can't get out and there is a row of them, then they will also block left turning cards who can't reach the exit; - the bus stop also obscures the view when turning left if a bus is parked there waiting, which they quite often do if they are early. It is always a nervous moment pulling out left around a bus because you go onto the hashed markings and if someone is coming to turn right into the mall then they too would be coming along the hashed marking in the opposite direction. You won't see them until you are around the parked bus. <p>The only solution that I would see to this is:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. installing lights. <p>This is the safest option for turning cars in all directions and the people crossing Barrington street (at existing island which could become an actual crossing) or the front of the mall (again that would become an actual crossing).</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. stop cars from turning right out of this exit. <p>This would stop most of the high danger points. The cars would have to use Athelstan to turn right onto Barrington but as this is traffic light controlled then it is safe. My main concern with this would be the amount of traffic it would add to the narrow road way that joins the warehouse car park to the freshchoie car park at the back. At lot more cars would then be using this exit route which could cause additional problems, especially with the lack of lines for 'giveaway' at the top end of that freshchoice carpark.</p> <p>Summary.</p> <p>Although my preferred and safest option would be traffic lights/crossing combination which would still allow turning traffic of all directions and firm pedestrian crossing, this may be too 'big' for this area of road.</p> <p>Therefore the next best thing would to have a designated 'turning right' box from turning into the mall from Barrington street (like the plan) and to stop traffic turning right to exit the mall onto Barrington Street.</p> <p>I also hope that something could be done about the bus stop to help visibility when a bus is parked and also the lines on the road by the back where the two car parks join so there are actual lanes and lines on the road, with give ways.</p> <p>Therefore I support this plan as the best plan if lights are not able to be installed.</p>	Katie Sullivan	
17608	support the plan	Getting to and from the library safely is a priority. Trying to get across with walkers and wheelchairs is hard/impossible. Too many ups and downs for the blind and visually impaired. New wider refuge will hopefully make it safer to get to the bus stop across Barrington Street.	Maureen Purdie	
17607	support the plan	I have witnessed many near misses of pedestrians vs cars.	Joanne Rowe	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17599	support the plan	It looks like a very sensible plan to me as a pedestrian. Who is going to be paying for it please?	Janet Begg	
17592	support the plan		Alan Halliwell	
17588	have some concerns	<p>Happy with overall plan. No right turn into Barrington is excellent.</p> <p>Footpath across the access to Mall on Barrington St - excellent.</p> <p>However - suggest very strongly a pedestrian crossing be made instead of just a pedestrian refuge. A large number of elderly & families with young children use this to go to library & playground & it would make this a lot safer.</p> <p>We trust cycleway marking will be a PAINTED LINE NOT concrete blocks</p>	Kevin Hill	
17587	support the plan	<p>The sooner all the changes are made the better.</p> <p>While you are at it you might want to try fixing the Oderings driveway/drain as everytime it rains it gets blocked and floods the end of Stourbridge St.</p>	Steven Jackson	
17586	support the plan	I have twice nearly been knocked down by drivers who pay attention to the road but not the pavement. This area definitely needs to be reconfigured	Glynis Gammon	
17572	support the plan	NO right turn will make such a difference to traffic flow and therefore safety of all people but particularly pedestrians.	Diana Edwards	
17563	have some concerns	See attachment	Dirk De Lu	Spokes
17560	have some concerns	<p>I support this entirely. I live locally on Wychbury street, and have witnessed many near-accidents between vehicles, pedestrians and cyclists. I don't even bother turning right from the mall towards Whychbury Street - the traffic is far too heavy. I always turn left anyway, and then turn right to Stourbridge, right down Theresa Street, and then I'm on Wychbury.</p> <p>However I do have concern that this route will become very common with people using Wychbury to get back onto Barrington if no right turn is available, and while I am not concerned about the traffic itself, I do worry that there is not significant infrastructure for a build up of traffic to turn right from Barrington onto Stourbridge. I feel this may need to be considered, just so there is no slow trail of vehicles waiting to turn onto stourbridge holding up the already slow traffic of Barrington.</p>	Cathryn Bridges	
17557	support the plan	<p>I am very happy with the Draft Plan and feel the changes will definitely improve the safety issues in the area.</p> <p>I would ask that the suggestion of having a Stop Sign installed at the Stourbridge/Barrington Sts corner be considered to further enhance the safety of this complex piece of Barrington Street.</p> <p>Please also investigate removing the parking space outside the Rendevous Restaurant and Bar as it blocks cars from turning left into Athelstan when three or more cars are waiting for the lights for through traffic to change or when traffic is banked back from the Milton Street lights.</p>	Sonya Hodder	(Spreydon Neighbourhood Network)
17554	support the plan	<p>I endorse the removal of car parks on our side of the road - they obscure the view as we exit our car park.</p> <p>I have concerns about the bike lane outside the library as already when traffic is backed up from the lights at Athelston you can have your view obstructed and get run over by bikes who zoom down the side. (I have crossed the road with bumper to bumper traffic stopped, a van was obscuring the bikes and it was a really close call with a bike and myself).</p>	Amnada Viedma-Dodd	Barrington Osteopathic Clinic
17551	have some concerns	With a no right turn into Barrington St traffic will increase on to Stourbridge St as drivers wanting to go to Riccarton will turn right at Stourbridge / right at Therese St/ right at Wychbury St/onto Barrington again. The solution is ONE WAY ENTRY INTO BARRINGTON MALL. This will alleviate problems for pedestrians also	J T Haraki-Smith	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17550	do not support the plan	Ridiculous to narrow Barrington St even more it is already too narrow. Get the lights in phase here and all over the city to move traffic instead of stop start everywhere	Wia Hoare	
17543	support the plan	I support the left turn only change, the highlighting through paving that pedestrians have the right-away - I ask that the council monitor these changes to ensure cars are giving way to pedestrians and if it is not working that signs are put up to inform drivers who they must give way to.	Sal Faid	
17527	have some concerns	<p>1. Parking Spaces. I oppose the removal of the wheelchair/disabled parking outside the library. It would leave one park only resulting in a user parking at the main mall entrance some 150m away and having to negotiate pedestrian and car traffic to visit the Library</p> <p>2. Landscaping. Landscaping and signage in the area all serve to distract drivers attention to the pedestrians the proposed plan is trying to protect, and may well have the effect of obscuring them. I suggest no landscaping and a reduction in entry signage and service poles in the area</p> <p>3. Consider moving the bus stops, particularly that on the south east side of Barrington Street</p>	Russell Fisk	
17514	support the plan	There are a lot of near misses, and a lot of confusion at the intersection at present.	Mark Balderstone	
17506	support the plan		Cameron Ralston	
17504	have some concerns	The southbound bus stop currently located in front of the pharmacy needs to be shifted back to just before the library (In the spot where the disabled car parks are marked on the consultation plan). This would place it across from the one on the other side of the road, so not an unreasonable distance for people to walk to/from the mall. Doing this would remove the congestion and danger which occurs when there are cars travelling south on Barrington St, cars turning left out of the mall, cars waiting to turn right into Stourbridge St and busses trying to pull in and out in amongst all that! Make the two car parks immediately behind the new bus stop location disabled parks to cater for those who need them. Having lived with someone who used these parks, the few extra meters would be quite manageable.	Rae Lentz	
17493	have some concerns	I support the plan because I find myself feeling unsafe at times trying to access the park/library/mall with my baby and 3 year old. But I think rather than making it a no right turn into Barrington St, lights should be installed with pedestrian crossing. It's crossing that road to access the park/library/mall that puts me off walking there from my mum's house with children so I always drive. If it's a no right turn I would end up trying to turn right into Stourbridge to turn right into Lyttelton St to get home. And then the traffic will be backing up as we wait for a gap to turn into Stourbridge causing more congestion. I'm pleased that you have plans to make the exit/entry more pedestrian friendly as there's so much traffic coming & going from all directions it's frightening to cross with small children and I've learnt to avoid it. But even the zebra crossing in the car park isn't really wide or flat enough in some parts to cater for a pram and a walking child holding mum's hand easily.	Mary Hall	
17492	have some concerns	<p>Having the bus stop so close to the entry / exit of Barrington mall causes a blind spot and increases congestion. Perhaps removing some car parks and moving the bus stop further south to make it safer to exit.</p> <p>This in turn would also allow the extension for the right turning lane into Barrington shopping mall.</p>	Joseph Merritt	
17491	support the plan		Annie Oliver	
17489	have some concerns	<p>The access to the library from the Mall car park, is difficult. One has to walk the in/out traffic way (no footpath) all the way around the fence line to enter the library from the road side. There used to be an opening in the fence that allowed quicker access with less need to walk among traffic coming and going from the Mall. Please can there be a walkway/access from the pedestrian crossing from the Mall car park, to the library, or at least an opening as previously.</p> <p>I seldom use this library because of the difficulty of access unless one is parked on the road, preferring Halswell library, even though I am probably at this Mall more often than at Halswell. I live between the 2 libraries.</p>	Daphne Brass	
17488	have some concerns	I support this plan. It is currently very unsafe as a walker to get into the mall as there are people that pull out at unsafe times and don't look for pedestrians and it is very difficult to cross over the vehicle exit onto Barrington. My one concern is that the bike lane completely disappears when a bus is present. There is a bus there waiting a significant amount of the time, forcing bikes out into traffic for a short period.	Rachael Horner	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17483	support the plan	Yes I would like to see the no stopping marking in yellow, (in line with other no stopping markings)	J King	
17482	support the plan	As a pedestrian - only newcomer to the area, I applaud your proposal. I walk across from Stourbridge St and have found it unsafe at times. Also, from the Mall, it is so difficult to access the library, across 3 lanes of traffic, with no footpath along the park into the library, from the Mall	Pamela Burdett	
17476	support the plan	Great! About time, and thanks.	Elizabeth Payne	
17475	have some concerns	The bus stop would stil be a concern. I feel locating the bus stop infront of the library would be a lot safer in regards to vehicles turning left out of the mall, due to buses pulling out, and not always pulling in fully. Also as it would stop people cutting across that piece of road in order not to miss their bus. If it was located infront of the library, it lives full vision for all traffic and there is a pedestrian island for those crossing to get to the bus stop.	Lisa Needham	
17474	have some concerns	I would also like the small round sign to be removed from the pedestrian refuge, so that when you're turning right into the mall, you can easily see the oncoming traffic. I think from memory the sign is a blue slanted arrow. But it completely obscures the view unless you're in a 4wd type car. Meaning people can't gauge where the gaps are	Hannah Gannaway	
17473	have some concerns	I agree to removing right hand turn from Barrington mall into Barrington street. Right hand turn from Barrington street south into the mall entrance should be removed. Only enter the mall from a left hand turn. (When coming from brougham street) Mall traffic should be diverted to their 2 other entrances on Simeon street and Athelston street.	Sarah McKenzie	
17472	have some concerns	I like the idea except the no right turn is going to make it very difficult for a lot of people and I'd also just like to add that the bus stop should be moved maybe further up the road as it effects left turning traffic	Natalia East	
17471	support the plan	100% support for the no right turn coming out of the mall. I cycle this route twice a day and it's horrific trying to get through there after work as people pull very far out of the entrance way to try and get a gap in traffic which obviously then pushes cyclists further into the road. Cyclists are then in the way of buses and trying to navigate around parked cars and cars pulling out into traffic as well. Removing the option for a right hand turn will hopefully prevent the worst of this.	nadia sullivan	
17468	support the plan	Definitely things need to change as this is a very dangerous area.	Jane Norton	Personal
17467	have some concerns	Can u make the pedestrian path go through the playground to reduce people trying to walk across the Carpark entrance?	Annette Radford	..
17466	support the plan		Casey Harvey	
17464	have some concerns	I definitely agree there should be no right turn out of the mall but also think the bus stop needs to be moved away from there also. Probably should go back to north of the entrance outside the library then perhaps make the walkway into the mall run behind the library down to where the existing crossing is now. A crossing or pedestrian refuge is also needed on Barrington st to align with Stourbridge st as this is where a lot of people cross	Carolyn Neame	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17462	do not support the plan	<p>* As many cars currently turn right from this location onto Barrington street, blocking right turns does not seem to be the correct response.</p> <p>* My suggestion would be to use a traffic light, including pedestrian crossing signals for crossing the road and the entrance. This would allow traffic to turn right safely and allow pedestrians to cross safely. This would also help cyclists to cross from the mall turning right.</p> <p>* One issue that is not addressed by this plan is pedestrian traffic between the playground and the library. There is currently no clear safe way (without walking on the road/driveway) to get between the library and the playground (and carpark). A footpath should be included for pedestrians between the playground and the library.</p> <p>* I support the provision of cycleway marking in this area, but it looks like it is not well integrated with the left-turn lane and the carparks (and the bus stop) in the design.</p>	Luuk Paulussen	
17461	support the plan		Sjaan Bowie	
17460	have some concerns	provision of cycle turn into Athelston St cuts the flow of vehicle traffic. Cyclists are generally not using their given lane now so why cut the flow of vehicle traffic which is constant	jill o'malley	
17458	support the plan	Great idea have lived on Barrington for over 20 yearsby taking out the right turn out of Barrington mall into Barrington street you will avoid many incidents , also coupled with taking out the car parking spaces on the opposite side from the park it will allow room for cars turning left into Barrington mall plus those wanting to continue down Barrington street towards Lincoln road	allan Ball	
17457	have some concerns	There is currently no safe way to walk from the Barrington Mall carpark to the library without walking amongst the cars and having to cross the Barrington St entrance. A safe path leading people from the carpark to the library is needed.	Bronwyn Cook	
17453	support the plan	Love the proper footpath so we can walk along Barrington St. Love the cycle lanes - can they continue up Barrington Street a bit further? I often use the Quarrymans cycleway (the bits that have been done anyway), but getting between Strauss Place and the mall is currently really dangerous. Something physically separated would have been nice but painted lanes are certainly better than nothing. Banning right-turns out of the mall seems sensible - will be midly annoying sometimes but well worth it if it helps keep everyone safe.	Chris Morahan	
17451	do not support the plan	<p>I support the no right turn out of the mall, however making pedestrian patterned surface will not stop people driving on it so they can see the traffic coming. Removing carparks is not going to solve the issue either, alot of the problems come from the buses blocking the road and entrance to the mall , the bus stop on the same side of the mall, needs to be moved down towards Athelstan st.</p> <p>I agree the pedestrians need to be able to cross there, but I think this is for the minority, Would be better off making a foot path along beside the library with a zebra crossing a few cars back!?</p> <p>Or perhaps money would be better of spent, future proofing and installing traffic lights with turning arrows. similar to what they have at the palms, which would let pedestrians cross safely and allow cars to cross easier.</p>	Alicia Cairns	na
17450	support the plan		JONATHAN REBOLDAD	
17449	support the plan		NYTHEL REBOLDAD	
17448	have some concerns	Along with the proposed "no right turn out of the Mall onto Barrington St" I feel cars shouldn't be able to turn right into the Mall (heading along Barrington St with the Port Hills behind), as this impedes traffic flow & causes congestion if coming out of the nearby petrol station etc. Also, not sure what can be done, but with 2 sets of traffic lights heading towards the Port Hills the intersections get banked up and people make stupid decisions at busy (or any) times.	Amanda Bird	
17447	have some concerns	The congestion of traffic on Barrington Street with a bus stop opposite the library, a side street with a Z Station, NO right turn into Barrington Mall should also be stopped when traveling west.I have seen too many close calls with cars backed up in the medium strip, cyclists navigating & buses pulling out that we need to stop this. Barrington has a fantastic entrance at the rear or main entrance of the mall.So off Barrington, left hand entry in, left hand exit out. Allow enough room for those wishing to turn left into Barrington, with those going straight & cyclists. Create a raised island that allows people to walk across one half of the road at a time to access the bus stop on Barrington heading west.Stop right turns in or out off Barrington into mall.	Lisa Ward	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17444	have some concerns	These are all good improvements. There also needs to be consideration for pedestrians walking from the main carpark area to the library, especially with kids. There is no where for pedestrians to walk along side the carpark entry. The improvements to the footpath will help if you were coming from Barrington Rd end, but if you were coming from the playground end it is still tight. Removing the wire fence between the library parking area and the driveway would give more space for pedestrians to walk and you wouldn't have to try and squeeze round the end of the concrete pillar/fence and around the power box. Could the concrete pillar/fence be removed to provide better visibility?	Helen Barclay	
17443	have some concerns	Currently I feel the bus stop outside the pharmacy is not in a good position as usually it stops there for 10 or so minutes at a time so it can get back on schedule and blocks traffic view. Could it go more towards the lights? Or outside the park/library. I agree with no right turn as I don't even try and attempt that as it would take me ages to get out anyway but I would advise Barrington mall to change the no right turn at the pita pit/ butchers entrance to allow right turns again since you would be able to right turn out the main mall entrance and have to go left instead. Also rather than the footpath going in front of the cars driving out of the entrance would it go along the Barrington mall driveway/library/children's playground and then cross at the crossing there?	Johanna Ryan	
17442	have some concerns	I would suggest moving the bus stop farther north on Barrington St in front of the park. I do not agree with taking out carports in front of Couplands and Wilson's. It is hard enough to get a park there already. We need clear sight lines coming out of the mall and this will only occur by removing the bus stops.	Kendra Street	
17441	have some concerns	100% support no right hand turns into Barrington Mall and deleting the carparks between Stourbridge Street and Kinver place. I fail to see the benefit to the proposed footpath changes. I think that moving the bus stop up further towards Couplands would also be hugely beneficial to the congestion, pedestrian safety and visibility issues.	Sonia Parsons-Hill	
17440	have some concerns	I think it is important to be able to turn right into Barrington st so an intersection with lights would be better	Tayla Wright	
17439	have some concerns	Should be no parking outside pregnancy and back to work matters	Michael Blackmore	
17438	have some concerns	I agree with the decision to prohibit turning right into Barrington Street but think that traffic should also be prohibited from turning right from Barrington Street into the mall car park. There are often several cars waiting in the middle of the road and it creates a bottleneck in this area. Cars turning left into Barrington from Stourbridge are often met with congestion from cars waiting to turn into Barrington. This area of Barrington Street has been concerning for years and it is great that something is finally being done to address this problem.	Tina Bailey	
17437	have some concerns	I would like to see no right turn into barrington mall carpark off barrington street and the bus stop shifted further north, past the library. There is always traffic congestion around the mall entry and the bus stop makes it dangerous for cyclists who have to navigate their way between the bus and the cars. No right turn into the mall would ease the congestion.	Kate Brooks	
17436	support the plan	Possibly move the Barrington Street bus stop as the big buses restrict car movement and pedestrian movement.	Anna Thomson	
17435	do not support the plan		Debora Mora	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17434	do not support the plan	<p>People generally drive to conditions.</p> <p>Off peak times, it is not a problem to enter and exit the mall, it is done with ease , so to install pedestrian refuges and restrict how to enter and exit I believe will just add to congestion at peak times rather than actually fix the problem.</p> <p>When I visit the mall, I simply take into account the time of day I am travelling and enter/exit to suit the conditions.</p> <p>I have also often thought when wanting to exit onto Barrington st from the mall, is this peak hour? If so turn left, if not I can proceed with caution and turn right perfectly fine.</p> <p>Placing restrictions to benefit `part of the day`, rather than relying on people to do the right thing `all day`.</p> <p>I believe placing restrictions here will not have an overall positive effect on transport flow, could in fact make it worse with back up of traffic and add congestion.</p>	Debora Mora	
17433	support the plan		Jeremy Finch	
17432	have some concerns	I use this route daily and have seen many near misses with both drivers and pedestrians.I think traffic lights would be the best idea on a main trunk road. There are many sets of lights on Barrington Street and no real safe way to access and leave the mall via Barrington St.The community has a large proportion of high needs, elderly and kids.	Adrienne Cody	
17431	do not support the plan	This entrance to Barrington Mall is always a problem for people getting in and out of the mall as well as traffic just moving through this part of Barrington St. I think the best option is to close the entrance and exit and let every use the other two entrance and exit at the back of the mall. I have seen so many near misses here that I always detour this part of my trip home. Surely this option would be the cheapest and safest for all.	Leigh Sheppard	
17430	have some concerns	Having no right turn out of barrington mall would be a nightmare as the road leads on to access the motorway and town. It's the bus stop to the left that obstructs vision and the crossing on the right that is dangerous. Happy something is being done regardless as have seen so many near missed! Thanks for looking in to this.	Penny Neilson	
17429	support the plan	Would like a path from the South side of the library along the fence line so it is easier to reach a safer place to cross without walking through mud. Thanks for working on this dangerous area	Sarah Cutts	
17428	have some concerns	I suggest moving the bus stop outside Wilson's Pharmacy to outside Barrington Park as this causes far too much congestion. Also remove the island in the middle of the road in front of the library. Also a proper pedestrian crossing somewhere in the location would be sensible.	Leanne Kimber	
17427	do not support the plan	Suggest footpath goes in through the library grounds alongside the fence (like it used to be) and then opens into the carpark further up..with a zebra cross..much safer. With your suggested changes it is still dangerous walking in with your back to the traffic. These new plans would make the intersection more congested as you have the buses and stourbridge st corner. Another suggestion would be to move the bus stops to be on the left of the library entrance..this would clear the entrance nicely and improve traffic flow.	Sandra Riley	
17426	have some concerns	Make it safer for pedestrians by moving the crossing back at least 2 vehicle spaces into the car park driveway.	Steve Pullman	
17425	support the plan		Angela Awad	
17424	have some concerns	Since you're removing the parking space, would it be possible to move the bus stop further away from the access to Barrington Mall? We go to the butcher there on a fairly regular basis. When we turn left onto Barrington Street, if there is a bus there it blocks visibility, especially when vehicles are on Barrington Street and want to turn right into Barrington Mall. We support the no right turn into the mall, but also think that moving the bus stop further down will help with the visibility and congestion there.	M Searle	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17423	support the plan	I fully support the plan. This has been a dangerous situation for both pedestrians and drivers for a long time. There will be complaints about the loss of a few parking spaces but those cause congestion as drivers join and leave the parks out of proportion to their usefulness. There is plenty of parking in the vicinity.	Gordon Findlay	
17422	support the plan	(1)I would like to see a right turning lane to enter the mall carpark off Barrington Street where there is currently a painted median, which should be doable once parking spaces have been removed.(2) Also once the exit lane is gone there should be room to run a pedestrian walkway along the driveway beside the library/playground fence. People currently walk there with no protection from vehicles and no painted lane.	Rose Isdale	
17421	support the plan		Amanda Meikle	
17420	support the plan		Karen Grant	39427475
17419	have some concerns	I support the majority of the plan. But two things stand out as concerns: 1. Install a patterned footpath across the access to Barrington Mall on Barrington Street to highlight a pedestrian route. I can understand the need to point out that its a pedestrian area but could this be achieved through alternative designs such as a coloured path surface rather than installing expensive tiles. Or could this be achieved through better signage? 2. Install a wider footpath in front of the Library with new landscaping. I am struggling to see the importance and need for this. In the comments above there is no mention of an issue/problem/hazard that is specifically addressed by these works. I am concerned the widening of the footpath would then reduce vehicle capacity and cause a bigger choke point. At the moment there is enough room for vehicles travelling south on Barrington to pull left if turning into the mall without obstructing vehicles travelling south passed the mall. This needs further thought in order to keep the flow along Barrington St as there is currently a delay during rush hours. Thanks for the consideration of my thoughts. I appreciate the forward thinking and addressing the issue of the entrance with the proposed works. There just needs to a bit more consideration on the aforementioned concerns.	Jonathan Claridge	
17418	support the plan	It is often very difficult to get into and out of the petrol station on the corner, turning right into the traffic from the petrol station is alway problematic. The traffic turning from Frankleigh street further down backs up. There needs to be a right turn arrow into frankleigh street to help the traffic move more quickly. There needs to be a no stopping zone over the entrance to the mall. When the traffic is backed up down barrington street noone can get in or out of the mall as people stop across the entrance.	Jessica Davies	
17417	support the plan		Karyn Blake	
17416	have some concerns	If you are going to put in a larger island for pedestrians to use why not paint lines to make it a pedestrian crossing. Traffic goes slow anyway near the library and mall entrance and cars often stop to let people cross anyway. Might as well make it more obvious as it happens anyway. Generally everything else is fine and no right turn out of Barrington mall is a great idea!	Ben Scott	
17415	have some concerns	I generally support this plan and believe it is long overdue. It's unsafe for all road users; pedestrians, cyclists and motorists. It needs to be no right turn in or out of Barrington Mall from Barrington Street. Traffic waiting to turn right into the mall carpark builds up in the median strip, and when combined with the buses sitting in or pulling out from the bus stop makes for a very congested and hectic intersection. There is much better access for right turning vehicles from Athelstan Street (plus the parking is better anyway). The traffic waiting to turn right into the mall creates an element of danger as people going straight through will often leave gaps for them turn through when sitting in traffic, but they often cannot see cyclists coming through in the cycle lane and it's a recipe for disaster. It doesn't need to be complicated, just no right turn in or out from Barrington Street (even though I right turn in outside of peak traffic). Kind of unrelated but you could possibly look at putting a cycle lane (painted, no ridiculous kerbs) or just a good crossing down Simeon Street that links up with the new cycleway being put in through Roker Street. That would continue on nicely from the motorway crossing and reduce the amount of cycle traffic passing the western side of the mall perhaps.	Renee Godfrey	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
17414	support the plan		Mark Callaghan	
17413	do not support the plan	Why don't you just put traffic lights in?	Jo Saville	
17412	support the plan	This is a great initiative. Especially stopping the right hand turn out of the mall.	Jo Lynch	
17408	support the plan	I think this is a great idea. It's been too busy, leading to dangerous moves by motorists and pedestrians for a long time. Some thought around having pedestrian access from the carpark to the library would be great too	Katherine Gunn	
17407	have some concerns	Please remove the car park opposite the entrance of the mall on Barrington St heading north bound. When cars are waiting to turn right into the mall car park and a car is parked there it is very tight to get past and very dangerous with a cyclist on the side also. The main issues is cars turning right when exiting the car park from the car park, can this be a left turn only? There needs to be a safer way for pedestrians to cross the entrance from the Car park on Barrington St. Either a pedestrian crossing or a controlled signal.	Kathryn Giambianco	
17406	support the plan	This should help. Please ensure good connectivity past the library, through the playground and to the mall 'the back way' as the pedestrian crossing of the mall entrance will still be less than enjoyable.	Justin Rogers	
17402	support the plan	Or a traffic lights in front of the mall entrance/exit might help as well just a thought.	Arju Gurung	
17392	have some concerns	My only one big concern is where the bus stop is positioned at the moment outside the mall on the same side, just beside the entrance/exit to Barrington St. I feel it is an unsafe place to have it and obstructs a lot of traffic. Is there any way it can be moved perhaps down the road anywhere, maybe beside the park before the library or the other way outside couplands bakery? Just feel that it is really unsafe for people crossing where it is at the moment!!!!	sonia charlton	
17391	support the plan	If it were possible to close this car park entrance, that would seem like the best option to my mind.	Amy Paulussen	Cashmere High School
17387	have some concerns	Working at the Back to Work Limited office (directly opposite the mall exit) I have been observing this intersection for some 6 years.The right hand turn exit from the mall carpark onto Barrington Street is excellent solution. However I am concerned and have observed motorists exiting left from the mall carpark onto Barrington turning immediate right onto Stourbridge and performing Uturn on Stourbridge outside Z Station to return to Barrington st.On rare occasions using entrance and exit of our business premises carpark to reenter Barrington Street. I would not however want restricted access to our business carpark. Just better signage (No Uturn) on intersection island on Stourbridge St?Suggested options to improve right hand turn into mall carpark from Barrington St: Yellow cross hatching or similar road markings to ensure traffic entering car park is not blocked by stationary traffic on Barrington. (refer doc2).In an ideal world extend median strip to prevent right hand turn into mall car park altogether, as carpark access can be gained off Athelston and Somerfield streets. (refer attached Doc1)	James Maguire	Back to Work limited