

## Strand Lane - submissions and project team responses

Yes = Support No = Do not support Not ind. = Not indicated n/a = Not applicable (respondents only submitted on proposed Hereford Street Upgrade)

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
16972	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jamie	Houghton	
16976	No	There isn't a need to sell when Colombo St is a 1min walk East and takes people right to Cathedral Square.	A widened Strand Lane will encourage its use and help to enhance the properties each side of the lane. This will link Cathedral Square to the southern side of Hereford Street and the link through to Cashel Mall.	Kyle	Sutherland	
16980	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Tom	Pendreigh	
16982	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Qi	Gao	
16986	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ashleigh	Maw	
16987	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Josh	Kelleher	
16988	Yes	The strategic approach to laneways in the new CBD is fantastic, and I absolutely support whatever is required to expand the overall laneway network in the CBD.	Thank you for your feedback.	Gavin	Treadgold	
16991	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Di	Trower	1950
16992	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Dea	Majstorovic	
16994	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Brent	Silby	
16995	n/a			Lisa-Mareike	Ottenhaus	
16996	Yes	Anything that benefits the city is a yes from me. The artists impression of what it would look like out the doors of the BNZ Centre looks unreal and I can't wait to see it!	Thank you for your feedback.	Jordan	Dyer	
16997	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Paul	Jackson	
16998	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Johanna	Ryan	
16999	n/a			David	Robinson	
17000	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Michele	Dyer	
17001	Yes	An easy route between Hereford Street and Cathedral Square makes total sense, better than going onto Colombo	Thank you for your feedback.	Alex	Short	
17003	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	kevin	Prince	
17004	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Matt	Goldsbrough	
17005	n/a			Carolyn	Ford	
17006	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Conor	Leahy	
17007	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Nicholas	Martin	
17008	Yes	So long as the CCC isn't swindled by a developer that is much smarter. i.e. not accepting less than market rate, because as history has shown the CCC is willing to sell high value land for a cent for the ability to push projects.	Thank you for your feedback.	Peter	Taylor	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17009	Yes	This is absolutely a must in my opinion as I would use the lane to get to the library from my work on Lichfield Street a lot. I remember feeling a little bit unsafe walking down the lane before the quakes, so widening would be very helpful. Also it'll lead people from the Square to Hereford street and beyond I think.	Thank you for your feedback.	Godo	Miyazaki	
17010	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Richard	Hanson yes	Redson Corporation Holdings Limited
17011	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Greg	Urquhart	
17015	Yes	Used to use this lane a lot to get to and from the square. Definitely worthwhile upgrading and widening to allow pedestrian traffic and additional uses as may be popular with retail, hospitality etc. Definitely worthwhile when picking up food from the BNZ building and want to eat in the square or in Victoria Square.	Thank you for your feedback.	Mark	Bellamy	
17016	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Rhun	Evans	
17017	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Laura	Harbrow	
17019	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Darcy	Knibb	
17020	Yes	Good idea - everybody wins.	Thank you for your feedback.	Matthew	Vannoort	
17021	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Euan	Gutteridge	
17024	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	florian	risse	
17025	No	Won't this takeaway foot traffic business from shops from the square to hereford st and top of hereford st? Is that a crossing on hereford st from the lane or another confusing hump?	The current crossing between Strand Lane and the southern side of Hereford Street will be upgraded. It will encourage people to move freely between Hereford Street and Cathedral Square and help enhance the two properties each side of the lane.	Carrie	Knighton	
17026	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ralph	McCubbin Howell	
17027	Yes	Sell it	Thank you for your feedback	Catherine	Double	
17028	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Teri Lynn	Cooper	
17029	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Richard	Houghton	Engco
17030	No	Again, is it going to cause more conjection & danger?	Strand Lane will be widened to 6 metres and be well lit, with business opening onto the lane. This will make the lane a safer place to be.	Ann	Kirwan	
17031	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Matthew	Gardner	
17032	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Hugo	Hills	
17036	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Elizabeth	Sutherland	
17038	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Simon	Woodley	
17039	Yes	Make sure you use total transparency for this sale.. Set a minimum price .. Do not sell just to rake in a little extra..	Thank you for your feedback.	Clive	Went	
17040	Yes	The picture of the upgraded Strand Lane looks like it would be awesome.	Thank you for your feedback.	Chris	Morahan	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17041	n/a			Maxwell	Owen	
17042	No	Simple economics says that if you sell all your assets, you end up with no business equity ..Suggest you hire people that have a simple grasp of handling money . 19.08.2018 staff recommendation should have nothing to do with it, in a democracy, the majority rules, not a handful of self interested individuals... ....so we all know what the decision will be, complete with wild cost overruns and timelines to match...	Thank you for your feedback.	G	martini	ChCh Priorities
17044	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Dane	Moir	
17045	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Peter	Galbraith	
17046	Yes	If it makes the new layout possible	Thank you for your feedback.	Joy	McLeod	
17048	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Di	McLellan	
17049	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Kim	McCall	
17050	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Nona	Hargrave	
17052	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Tom	Rose	
17053	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Daniel	Parkinson	
17058	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Paul	Tait	
17062	No	Need more information before commenting.	Staff emailed submitter during w/e 27 July. No reply.	Emma	Jamieson	
17063	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jan Jakob	Bornheim	
17070	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Hans	Wouters	NZ Spinal Trust (CEO)
17071	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	jaeden	martin	
17073	Yes	This is a dark and dingy area and it would be great to see improvements there	Thank you for your feedback.	James	Kelly	
17074	Yes	Yes I support the land sale.	Thank you for your feedback.	Peter	Martin	Mr
17092	n/a			Denis	Harwood	
17094	n/a			Dorothy	Batcheler	
17095	Yes	I have not really given much thought to this. But if this creates more useable space and buildings owners support this I would support this.	Thank you for your feedback.	Ashley	Read	
17096	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Chris	Elliott	
17098	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Tom	Brennan	
17100	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Erick	Jalocon	
17101	n/a			Kevin	Crutchley	
17102	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Marc	Duff yes	Fresh Connection Christchurch
17104	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Mike	Greenslade	
17106	n/a			Leeanne	Munro	
17109	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Arthur	McGregor	
17110	No	Shouldn't be sold. The work should be taken by one contractor & each party (CCC being one of them) should co-fund the work.	The land sale permits use of the land to the west of a widened Strand Lane for commercial purposes.	Ashley	Crook	
17111	Yes	Nope	Thank you for your feedback.	Dean	Koorey	
17112	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Matthew	Ruscoe	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17113	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Russell	Clark	Civil
17115	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Steve	Gorrie	
17116	No	The Lane coped with peoples movements before the earthquakes. Again you want to spend more money unnecessarily when there are more important things to spend money on. Just put a decent pedestrian surface down and everyone will cope.	Strand Lane is currently only 2.6 m wide. This is not an adequate width for safety purposes. There have been incidents and concerns in the past within the lane there were contributed to by the narrow width and layout of the lane.	David	Wagstaff	
17118	No	How much money do you plan to obtain from this transaction?	Thank you for your feedback. As this is a commercial transaction this information will remain confidential until after settlement of the purchase, if it proceeds. This is in accordance with Section 7(2)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 which permits Council to withhold commercially sensitive information.	Jeremy	Chang	Dr
17119	n/a			William	Tuckey	
17124	Yes	The only really sensible thing about the whole plan - a sheltered covered laneway.  Can imagine people eating outside here but not in Hereford St.	Thank you for your feedback.	Patrick	Scott	
17126	n/a			Michael & Genevieve	Ogilvie-Lee	
17145	No	1. No information is provided on the cost & to whom for this land sale, indeed it appears a little deceitful to only ask about the land sale and not the purchase that is also required.  2. Given the LTP message of back to basics, perhaps a better solution would be to sell the whole lane to the private developer to create a piece of land that can be practically built on?  3. If the lane must go ahead rather than a land sale & purchase any agreement should be a no cost land swap.	The Council includes in its budget for upgrading of the city lanes. The consultation related to the sale of this land is a requirement of Section 138 of the Local Government Act 2002.	Malin	Zachau	
17146	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Tina	Bailey	
17151	No	Its not required . Its been fine for the last 100 yrs so doesnt need to be changed.	Thank you for your feedback.	Trevor	Taylor	
17152	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Heidi	Connolly	
17155	Yes	No	Thank you for your feedback.	Jane	Geary	
17162	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Jayne	Stephenson	
17163	n/a		Thank you for your feedback.	Tracey	Fowlds	
17244	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Amy	Turnbull	
17246	No		Thank you for your feedback.	James	Chen	
17248	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Charlotte	Bebbington	Action Bicycle Club

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17250	Not ind	No comment as I have no idea about what it's proposed use is going to be used for, so I think the land agents would have the best answer for this question	Thank you for your feedback.	Jillian	Kirby	
17251	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ryan	Douglas	
17257	No	Its not far to walk to end of street to get to Cathedral square, lane is not really required and doesn't need to be larger.	Strand Lane is currently only 2.6 m wide. This is not an adequate width for safety purposes. There have been incidents and concerns in the past within the lane there were contributed to by the narrow width and layout of the lane.	Craig	Musson	
17266	Yes	This will really open up the pedestrian entrance from Hereford St into the Square - great idea!	Thank you for your feedback.	Bebe	Frayle	
17283	No	Wide enough now for pedestrian access and any property development would not ignore the benefit of foot traffic past their property.	Strand Lane is currently only 2.6 m wide. This is not an adequate width for safety purposes. There have been incidents and concerns in the past within the lane there were contributed to by the narrow width and layout of the lane.	RA	Owan	
17295	Yes	Only that I think a wide walkway through to the Square is a good idea.	Thank you for your feedback.	Caroline	Syddall	
17296	Yes	Sell the land for \$1.00 Where are the replacement toilets?	Council are planning to construct a new public toilet facility but a site and design have not been decided	David	Taylor	
17297	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Peter	Ophuis	
17298	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Rebecca	Doubleday	
17307	No	Pokie little streets are fun, many European cities are like that, leave it alone.	Thank you for your feedback.	David	Diggs	
17308	Yes	Strand Lane should be permanently non-cycle and non-vehicular.	Thank you for your feedback.	Robert	Kadlubowski	
17336	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Laurence	Mote	
17344	Yes	It would be good to encourage a better laneway here as previously it lacked a shopping experience.	Thank you for your feedback.	Antony	Gough yes	The Terrace Christchurch Limited
17346	Not ind	I would like to know who will the lane be offered to for purchasing and what will happen to the money generated from the sale . Will the monies raised benefit all the current ratepayers or just a selected few?	Thank you for your feedback. As this is a commercial transaction this information will remain confidential until after settlement of the purchase, if it proceeds. This is in accordance with Section 7(2)(b)(ii) of the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987 which permits Council to withhold commercially sensitive information.	Yvonne	Russell	
17388	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jon	Parsons	
17390	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Rachel	Murdoch	
17395	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Michael	Robinson yes	
17399	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Helen	Marginson	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17469	Not Ind	If the land is being acquired by the CCC do you then have control over ensuring the building lines are kept clear for safe and accessible travel through the lane.  What is the CCC doing to replace the public toilets - there do not appear to be many available now within the CBD.	Any proposed building would be within the title boundary and be subject to all necessary regulatory consents. Council are planning to construct a new public toilet facility but a site and design have not been decided	Carina	Duke	Blind Foundation
17470	Not ind	If you are doing what both adjacent landowners are happy with, I guess it's not a problem - as long as the work is done quickly, in one go.	Thank you for your feedback.	Stephen	Coulthard	
17480	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Nic	Pronk -	
17481	No	I do not see this is effective use of rate payers money. I presume you mean "purchase" and not "sale" Your heading says sale but the description reads that CCC is purchasing 1.7 meters either side of the lane. Totally unnecessary. There are many lanes in Europe no bigger than Strand Lane that work perfectly well. Maybe some hanging baskets, murals and better lighting would brighten the lane up rather than the astronomical expense of buying land.	Thank you for your feedback. Council propose to sell the balance of the old restroom site, retaining a 1.7m wide strip immediately adjoining the lane and purchase a 1.7m wide strip from each of the other adjoining owners	Alex	Eason	
17484	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Trevor David	Mollard	
17496	n/a		Thank you for your feedback.	Judith	Tabb	
17497	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jennifer	Clarke	
17500	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jenny	Adamson	
17501	Yes	No	Thank you for your feedback.	Robert	Fleming	
17502	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Rahul	Agarwal	
17503	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Sonny	Cortes	
17505	Yes	Slow cycle access through will be useful.	Cycle access will be allowed through the lane.	Anne	Scott	
17508	Yes	Just go ahead.	Thank you for your feedback.	Martine	Marshall	
17524	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Peter	Holder	
17530	Yes	This lane needs opening up, and making brighter - a better connection between The Square and Hereford Street, than the dark, rather 'creepy alley' it used to be.	Thank you for your feedback.	Leonie	Jackson	
17531	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Sandra	Shaw	
17538	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Shane	Morrow	
17548	Yes	If it brings money in for the Council and Rate payers yes.	Thank you for your feedback.	Kate	Webber	
17561	Yes	Use of sail shades or other structures to provide increased shelter for weather would be recommended.  Clearer markings for the Pedestrian Crossing for Strand Lane also please - as already noted (Hereford Street).	Thank you for your feedback. The adjacent properties are both proposing to construct verandas that over hang the lane and overlap slightly to provide full cover to people using the lane	julie	Tobbell	
17564	Yes	None	Thank you for your feedback	Susmita	Das	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17567	Yes	As long as used for public space, and not purchased for someone to fill up with private tables and chairs for outdoor dining. Also to make it a smokefree area.	Thank you for your feedback.	Gemma	Dioni	
17571	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ollie	Harrow	
17576	n/a			Narinder	Singh	
17582	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ayeisha	Couper	
17594	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Cathy	Bruce	Based in BNZ Centre
17602	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Kate	Manning	N/A
17605	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jared	Keen	
17609	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Evan	Harris	Colliers Real Estate Management Ltd
17610	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	James	Dryden	
17611	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	James	Foote	
17612	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Lesley	Dunn	
17613	Yes	The consequences of selling the land a minimum and will have little to no effect	Thank you for your feedback.	Logan	Johnston	
17615	No	Just no...	Thank you for your feedback.	Christina	Graham	
17616	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Nicholas	Smeaton	
17617	Yes	If the state has land that it doesn't require then it should be sold right away. The state has the ability to aquire land back, and it also is gifted land from time to time. We seem to have this attitude that we have to retain things all the time. Business does not operate this way and nor should the state. You are holding up business interests who may have a useful plan for the assets, so sell it when it's not needed and pay market rates to buy it back if required.	Thank you for your feedback.	Don	Gould	
17618	No	I don't support the sale if it means a high rise building can go in.  Make it a park	Thank you for your feedback.	Karen	Whitla	

ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17619	Yes	Great idea! The more attractive lanes in the city the better	Thank you for your feedback.	Matthew	Hooker	
17621	No	Leave it alone.	Thank you for your feedback.	Hayden	Turnbull	
17623	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Justin	Rogers	
19556	No	This is a drafty lane at the best of times with the wind funnelling effect of the buildings.	Thank you for your feedback.	Jeff	Scandrett	
17625	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Michael	Hempseed	
17626	Yes	Go ahead, sounds reasonable.	Thank you for your feedback.	Matthew	Reid	
17627	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Zane	Hagaman	Scenic hotels
17628	Yes	i like the concept drawing and the lane will improve foot access to the square . i like the new buildings going up along hereford street and the new concept plan will really enhance this area.	Thank you for your feedback.	david	chamberlain	
17630	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Dan		
17636	Yes	Fantastic idea to improve the lane way.	Thank you for your feedback.	Simon	Parkes	NA
17643	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Matt	Jackson	
17644	n/a			hamish	burnett	
17645	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Cameron	Bradley	
17646	n/a			David	Harman	Harman Motors Ltd
	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Leila	Torrington	Environment Canterbury
17649	Yes	15. The CDHB has no particular views on the sale and purchase arrangements of Strand Lane. However a widened lane would provide improved connectivity through to the Square which is likely to encourage movement of people through both areas.	Thank you for your feedback.	Dr Anna	Stevenson	Canterbury District Health Board
17650	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Anouk	Minnaar	
17651	n/a			Matt	Wood	October Protection
17652	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Johanna	Ryan	
17653	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Lincoln	Blair	Cequent Projects Ltd
17654	No		Thank you for your feedback.	Reuben	Hector	
17655	No	Expand it as a public area. Not another high rise.	Thank you for your feedback.	Claire	Coveney	None



ID	Support?	Comments	Responses	First Name	Surname	Org.
17658	Yes	Regardless of the sale, I think it is important to preserve as many alleys and lanes for pedestrian to move around the city and anywhere else, e.g. in those so called cul-de-sac street and between the houses...  Make cities more walkable and bikeable. Stop supporting cars only	Thank you for your feedback.	Daniel	MLADEK	
17664	n/a			Glen	Taylor	Tailorspace Property Limited
17665	n/a			Dirk	De Lu	Spokes Canterbury Cyclists Association
17668	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Ian	Chesterman	
17669	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Jonathan	Botherway	Hospitality Consulting and Project Management
17676	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Georgina and Peter	Whittaker	The Rockpool
17709	No	council should sell the entire lane to adjacent owners as nobody uses it and its easy enough to walk around to get to places.	Thank you for your feedback.	Dominic	McKeown	
17724	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Mark	Small	Christchurch Tramways Limited
17762	n/a			Liam	Blackett	
18058	Yes	Ok. Strand Lane	Thank you for your feedback.	Judith	Burt	
18078	Yes		Thank you for your feedback.	Craig A	Nicholas	