

Knights Stream Park and Longhurst area proposed 40 km/ speed limit submissions

Sub.	Support?	Do you have any comments on the proposed speed limit?	First name	Last name	Organisation
18917	No	A wide-scale 40km/hr limit will not get adhered too and will just frustrate residents. The 4km/hr limit should only be installed on same roads and school etc you are trying to protect, not every road in the subdivision.	Peter	Murphy	
18918	Yes	I support all efforts to reduce the impacts of motor vehicles on the lives of Christchurch residents and I therefor support this proposal. However, rather than continuing the piecemeal approach to speed limits creating inconsistencies between different areas, it would be great if Council could adopt a step change and make all quiet residential streets 30 kph zones. This would create a much safer and pleasant environment for residents, pedestrians and cyclists whilst reducing confusion for motorists (and the amount of signage required). Wherever possible people should have priority over motor vehicles to create a safer more pleasant city to live in.	Matt	Jackson	
18919	No	One of these roads is a main road Whincops road - therefore should not have speed reduced. However other streets in this area I believe would benefit from this speed reduction due to the narrowing of the streets. I use Whincops Road almost on a daily basis.	Rachael	Higgins	
18920	Yes	Step 1 is lowering the limit, step 2 is actually enforcing it. The speed limit is currently 50kmph, yet we often see people going 60-70kmph. I've never seen a traffic officer in the area.	Craig	Muir	
18922	Yes	With so many young families and children living in this sub division, numerous playgrounds, skate park and children learner bike roads (near football grounds) a lower speed limit is needed.....and with Knights stream school opening next year the pedestrian traffic will increase immensely.....thought should also be given to speed humps in Richmond Ave which at times is like a race track.....with cars travelling in excess of 70kph	Alan	Stott	
18924	No	It is already safe enough why change it when its fine.	Todd	Davis	
18925	Yes	We are very supportive 100%	Ali	Shafahi	
18926	No		James	Sutton	
18927	Yes		Lesley	Earnshaw	
18928	Yes	Would even suggest 30k! Cars travel too fast down Packard Crescent and onto Ishwar Gandar Blvd - would also suggest judder bars. Also, cars drive through the walkway between Packard Cres and Caulfield Ave - it needs more bollards to stop this as it is ruining the grass an is dangerous for people walking and kids on bikes - submitted this over Snap Send Solve but had no response.	sam	duff	

18929	Yes	<p>I welcome this speed limit of 40km/h. Currently, on Richmond Avenue, cars regularly speed. There is no speed limit sign at all, and cars go much faster than 50 km/h. I walk the dog and catch the bus, so I'm often an observer. It's quite easy to see/hear speeding cars. I see the same cars speeding too. In the morning, many cars 'shortcut' down Richmond Avenue, from Wincops to Denali, to avoid the traffic in Halswell Junction Road. It's often distressing, knowing that at any moment a child or pet could run onto the road. With the skate park now open, many quite young children travel along Richmond Avenue during out of school hours. I hope this 40 km/h is a 24 hour speed limit.</p> <p>Thank you.mthis is a great safety initiative.</p>	Hayley	Stewart	
18930	Yes	<p>Why would halswell junction right by a children's play ground and sports field not be 50 kmph? Seems to make a mockery of the proposed changes and reasoning for doing so.</p>	Warner	Wilson	
18931	No	<p>Personally I don't think the speed reduction is needed. I find myself constantly being stuck behind cars going less than 30km/h within the area you have outlined.</p> <p>On another note that I believe is far more important than this... I think something needs to be done with the Denali st and Halswell junc rd intersection. I see no reason for having the island on Halswell junc rd preventing people from turning right into Denali street. People either cut across on the wrong side of the road to get into Denali street or they hold up traffic trying to do a u turn on Halswell junc rd. I think it is fine if you make it so you are unable to turn right out of Denali st but believe you should be able to turn right into it.</p> <p>I find it causes more problems having it the way it is. Please fix this. Thanks in advance</p>	Scott	Oborn	
18932	Yes	<p>I fully support this idea, though I think this is also a good time to sort out another issue that is going to get much worse when the primary school opens. Many parents driving to the Knights Stream preschool drive south down Halswell Junction road, perform a U turn on Halswell Junction road just after Denali Street and then enter the Knights Stream sub division via Denali Street. There is at times three cars waiting to perform the U turn. Even worse, quite often cars are driving 10 meters on the wrong side of Halswell Junction road to get around the traffic island and drive down Denali Street without performing a U turn.</p> <p>This could be solved by connecting the northern end of Richmond Ave to John Paterson Drive (with a speed bump and curves to slow down cars). The proposed 40kmph speed limit (and a speed bump) would prevent this becoming a thoroughfare and would help handle the traffic the primary school will soon generate.</p> <p>Reasons I support the outlined 40kmph speed limit are as follows: My wife has difficulty crossing Whincops road and Caulfield Ave with our two children on the way to the preschool. I can only see more parents walking this route when the primary school opens. The curving nature of Whincops road, does not suit cars travelling at 50kmph with cars parked both sides. Cars regularly exceed this limit already. Currently, instead of travelling along Whincops road and North on Halswell Junction road, cars take a shortcut up Richmond ave and along Denali Street to join back up to Halswell Junction road.</p>	Paul	Ecroyd	
18933	Yes		Tracey	Law	

18934	Yes		Rick	Thomas	
18937	Yes	I think it's great to see a reduction in speed as streets in the new subdivisions are a bit narrow. Also because the new school is coming! Well done Chch Council!	Carla	Plato	
18938	No		Shannen	Vickery	
18939	Yes	I think this is a great idea and over due. With schools being developed along with the shops/medical centre and semi condensed housing this is a no brainer. Any other recommendation would be to make it 30km throughout the area. But 40km is acceptable. Thank you. Campbell Galloway	Campbell	Galloway	
18941	Yes	Great idea	Phil & Sydnee	Davies	
18942	Yes	Reduced speeds in residential areas and near schools is always a good idea for safety reasons. Any increase in travel time is negligible.	Arthur	McGregor	
18945	No	A blanket 40km limit for the whole neighbourhoods is overly cautious. Children walk to school in suburbs all over the city, but those suburbs do not have such a low speed limit imposed on the whole neighbourhood and neither should we. I support 40km speed limits outside schools and the near vicinity, but not the whole neighbourhood. I also think if they are imposed at the start and end of the day, they are more effective - this works very well outside Ilam School, where illuminated signs are activated at set times before and after school. Just because our neighbourhoods are new, does not mean we should have such restrictive speed limits imposed on us all the time and across the whole neighbourhood. Please revise your proposal, so it is a sensible limit.	Grant	Aldridge	
18946	Yes		alastair	wright	
18948	No		Miriam	Rademaker	
18949	Yes	This is an excellent initiative and a complete no brainer. I would actually support taking the speed limit down to down to 30km/hr like has been done in the city for exactly the same reasons.	Francesca	Brown	
18950	Yes		jing	liu	
18952	Yes		Xu	Zhang	
18953	No	It seems the reasons for the lower speed limit are playgrounds, early learning centres, parks, reserves and a school. We have these right across the city, not just Knightstream and Longhurst.	Jennifer	Morgan	

18954	No	While I agree that a lot of traffic is already traveling at these speeds, the core roads through the middle are wide enough to continue to support 50km/hr traffic. Until the motorway is completed, Whincops Road is a major road for traffic trying to avoid Springs Road congestion. It is hard enough turning out of Ishwar Ganda Blvd as it is in the mornings, let alone if the traffic was going slower making people more hesitant to go as many people struggle to judge distance and speed. Additionally, the paths around the subdivision are wide, on both sides of the road and in good condition. I would prefer to see pedestrian crossings added around the subdivision to enable people to cross safely rather than having slower speeds to achieve this.	Zarah	Green	
18957	Yes	No , completely agreed with the proposal	Vipin	Varghese	
18958	No	I don't believe in a blanket 40km/h speed limit for the area. However, reducing the speed outside the school, skate park and learn to ride would be a sensible idea.	Sonja	Bawden	
18959	Yes	Definitely needs to happen. Traffic often speeding down Caulfield or Iswar ganda. Considering there is also the reserve as well as schools, lots of children about.	Philippa	Barnes	
18960	No	Unfair to impose a blanket speed limit on the area. Why not create School Speed Zones for the 2 schools instead? That way, residents who don't live or drive near the schools won't be penalised.	Paul	Franssen	
18962	Yes	I agree that the subdivision is now extremely busy with children using the skate park, playground and bike park and which will only get busier with the opening of the new school. I worry about my 8 year old scooting the few streets to the park from our house due to the speed at which some people travel along our roads currently. That said, the new limit will likely be disregarded by those that already disregard the 50kmph limit, so enforcement will be necessary.	Adele	Radburnd	
18964	Yes	I agree with the proposal and the rationale behind it. There are many young families in the area and apart from the schools the area has playgrounds, sports grounds and open green areas which are well used and people need to be able to access safely.	Brenda	Miller	
18966	Yes	Lots of families with young children. Often see people driving too fast on these narrow roads	Danielle	Fisher	
18968	No	This proposed change makes no sense to me. The application suggests the lower speed limit is necessary because of road conditions, yet in neighboring residential areas such as 'old' Halswell on the other side of Halswell Junction Road the roads are narrower, windier, there are fences on boundaries making some corners blind and there are parks etc. Are these areas safer at 50km/h than Longhurst wide, relatively straight roads with good visibility????? As to the playcentre- this is accessed off a side road / avenue. The new School appears to have a large parking / drop off area being built within it etc. I can understand 40km/hr zones around the schools (as with other schools around the city) but across the whole subdivision makes no sense at all.	Warren	Sharp	

18969	No	<p>The proposed speed limit is accurate for a school zone, however the area in question is not all school zone. A 40km speed limit should only apply to an area close to the school and only during peak school pickup and dropoff times where foot traffic will be heavier.</p> <p>The designated area for a speed limit should be limited to the area directly around the school; Richmond Avenue only. It should not affect side streets, it should not affect main thoroughfare roads.</p> <p>Main thoroughfare roads such as Whincops Rd, Caulfield Ave, Murphy's Rd and John Paterson Drive (after construction) should not be affected by this speed limit change. There are wide designated walking paths a significant distance from the road on all of these main roads. If there is a concern of speeding on these roads, they should be policed more heavily to catch people speeding above 50km.</p> <p>As with other residential areas such as Wigram and Westlake, the speed limit on sideroads should not be reduced due to traffic already being slow on them. Nottingham Avenue has a speed limit of 50 even though it is a narrower street, has cars parked on both sides, and has pathways on the edge of the street. 50 is an unsafe speed limit for that street, but that does not mean that it needs a special speed limit, it just needs common sense. The same goes for applying a school-zone only speed limit to areas that are not part of a school zone.</p>	David	Park	
18972	Yes	<p>While I agree with the blanket 40km/h speed limit, unless there is some enforcement or traffic restrictions (speed bumps etc) no one will take any notice of the new limit therefore what you are proposing is a waste of CCC resources and in particular is a waste of ratepayers money. Studies both here and overseas have shown that there is no incentive to slow down for restrictive speed zones unless there are speed inhibitors on the roads.</p>	Mark	Hutching	
18973	No	<p>I don't think the speed limit needs to be changed. Everyone just needs to drive to the conditions. There is lots of areas around Christchurch that have smaller roads but they don't have a reduced speed limit people just use their brains and drive to the conditions. In terms of the school point - people will have to reduce there speed around schools anyway as it is a current law.</p>	J & P	R & S	
18974	No	<p>The safest roads are the ones that are the same. When there are different limits for different streets it becomes confusing. We have grown up with a natural understanding of built up areas being 50kph. To have Hodge podge areas of different limits confuses people and causes confusion i.e. the 30 kph limit in the city. Keep things simple and uncomplicated.</p>	Graham	Morgan	
18976	Yes		Yubin	Luo	
18992	Yes	<p>Consideration needs to be given to the safety at both Wigram / Whincops and Hamill / Alvaston, roundabout intersections with Halswell Junct Rd. At the moment these two roundabouts are often used by motorists without any reductions in speed.</p> <p>Would like to see a designated safe crossing zone between the these two roundabouts.</p>	Nikesh	Ganda	

18993	Yes	Would like to see a designated safe pedestrian crossing zone between the Wigram /Whincops and Alvaston / Hamill roundabouts on Halswell Junction Road.	Vasanti	Ganda	
19004	Yes	<p>While I support the proposed lower speed limit in our area, I see that it will be pointless. The current limit of 50kph is largely ignored by the majority of motorists who travel a lot faster than the current limit. This is witnessed by two crashes having already occurred at the Halswell Junction Road/Whincops Road roundabout, speeds were well in excess of 50kph. I think more emphasis should be put on enforcing the current limits rather than adopting the mentality that if the limit is lowered people will automatically adhere to it, they don't now so what would change if it was lowered.</p> <p>Also, while addressing traffic concerns in the area, something urgently needs to be done about the 'T intersection' at Denali Street and Halswell Junction Road. I have lost count how many times I have almost had head on collisions when turning left onto Halswell Junction Road because of vehicles turning right onto Denali Street off Halwell Junction Road which required them to drive on the wrong side of the road due to the traffic island. There is a no right turn sign there but, just like the current speed limit, it is largely ignored by lots of drivers. Issues like this would be more concerning than lowering the speed limit.</p>	Noel	Rogers	
19005	Yes	The width and smooth surface of Caulfield Road invites speeding. Young children on bicycles are often on the sidewalks. A vehicle entering Caulfield from a side street would cause a speeder to swerve suddenly or lose control of a car and go into oncoming traffic. A reduced speed limit of 40kmph would cause drivers to be attentive to pedestrians, children and joggers on the sidewalks. It would also reduce noise levels and discourage acceleration around corners. I have brought this problem to the attention of Councillor Anne Galloway and asked that there be a speed limit or a speed bump to force drivers to slow down.	Milinda	Peris	
19012	No	There can be 40 km zones by the school during school hours as they are in the rest of Christchurch. Don't turn our suburb into a slow moving car park.	Stuart	Scott	
19017	Yes	I approve of the new proposed speed 40 speed limit. My question is how do you propose to enforce it. In Longhurst it is obvious that the rules for dogs to be under effective control are not enforced so what mechanism will be in place to enforce the speed limits?	leonard	wilson-parr	
19020	Yes	I also support speed bumps on roads in the area to protect pets and children.	Vicky	Jones	
19023	Yes	This is an excellent idea - I agree with the rationale for the speed limit. The traffic (car and pedestrian) around the area will increase significantly when Knights Stream school opens in the new year. On some of the main roads in the area (Caulfield, Murphy's, Richmond Ave) people regularly drive faster than 50 already; retraining drivers may take some time and it would be good to have done so prior to the school year beginning in 2019.	Amanda	Wall	
19032	No	As the school, pre-school and park are right next to one another, I feel there is no need to make the whole sub division 40kph. You only need it to be in these streets during school hours like anywhere else in the country.	Anika	Scott	
19047	Yes	Don't join Richmond Ave to John Patterson drive, this will create an incentive for speeders coming off main motorway and using area as a through road.	Christopher	Foley	
19051	Yes	I believe the 50km limit shall extend the the intersection of Mcteigue Road, because there are still a large amount of residnetial close to the roundabout. There shall also be a intersection along th Mcteigue road because I think it will make the truck from industrial area access the Halswell junction road more easily	Jason	Bi	

19053	No	Far better to deal with the real safety issue of the speed at the nearby Marshs Road and Springs Road intersection by putting a roundabout in place or as a short-term measure reduce the speed in Springs Road to say 60km/hr for 100 m either side of Marshs road similar to some intersections on SH1. There is a similar issue on Shands Road. There have been numerous serious accidents at the intersections along both of these roads due to heavy traffic to and from Rolleston.	Ron	Michel	
19054	Yes	Very happy to have limit reduced but will it be policed? As a pensioner living on CAULFIELD Avenue for the last year, I'm appalled at the terrific speeding of some vehicles past my house. it's a huge worry for the safety of my grandchildren and other school children. Often boy racers use it as a racetrack some nights. Will it be policed at night? I have already noticed that buses have slowed their speed.	Karen	Grant	
19055	Yes	Some people currently speed down Richmond Ave, at times quite excessively.	Simon	McGrath	
19060	No	First I'd like to see a copy of the data showing that "many drivers are already driving the proposed speed" as I believe this is a false and misleading statement to try and get the speed limit changed. Regarding the speed limit, I believe 50km/hr is a safe and fair speed limit through the subdivisions and is unfair on residents and the general public to have to go slower. If you are concerned about safety, put 40km speed limits within 100m of schools like everywhere else in Christchurch and NZ. I strongly disagree with a speed limit change!	Andrew	Harte	
19062	No	50kms an hour is an acceptable speed I feel and education and support of the school students in road rules and the fact reducing the speed to 40kms on Denali street is not necessary more emphasis should be placed on the drivers making illegal turns in to our street on the wrong side of the road from Halswell junction road I support 40kms speed nearer the school though	Stuart	Leonard	
19063	No	I think this is very unnecessary as we are a new and very quiet neighbourhood where everyone goes a normal pace anyway. We don't experience any troubles in this neighbourhood and lowering the speed limit to a compulsory 40kph limit rather than in a peak hour for school opening and closing like every other school is silly. It only makes drivers more impatient. The roads aren't big enough as they are so I don't see people driving crazy speeds near here anytime soon. Thank you.	Jess	Scott	
19065	Yes	The speed of vehicles within the area currently range between 40 km/hr and over 70 km hour within the 50 km speed area - even where there are no footpaths and pedestrians walk along the roadway. The design currently should encourage lower limits - particularly where there is parking permitted on both sides of the road, but the reality is there are people who are choosing to ignore these. If this is adopted what enforcement will be included to encourage safer neighbourhoods for all users? The hardest area for you to monitor will be Murphys Road which is used for high speed showing off.	Carina	Duke	

19067	No	<p>I find the need to reduce speed limits to a permanent 40kph limit needless. The neighborhood and its roads are well designed to facilitate a safe environment for residents, and a 50kph limit is appropriate. However, it wouldn't be a bad idea to introduce a 40kph limit in areas closer to schools during peak hours for school drop offs/pick ups (8-9:30am & 2:30-4 for example), rather than a 'blanket' speed limit for the whole neighbourhood.</p> <p>Furthermore, if the council is concerned with the road safety of the area, small initiatives such as changing certain pedestrian crossing-points to zebra crossings, or simply adding more. Ideal areas for this would include Richmond Road between Knight Stream Park and the future Knights Stream School, on Killarney Street between Knights Stream School and the early learning centre, on Caulfield Avenue near the shops and early learning centre, and perhaps one on Cualfield Avenue. There are also opportunities to reduce unnecessarily wide sections of streets, such as that one Cualfield Avenue next to Greenaway Park. This can easily be fixed by adding in trees or planters on the side of the street as Richmond Avenue does. I've noted these potential ideas in a map attached.</p>	Andrew	Fairclough	
19069	Yes	<p>I strongly support this proposal, although 30 km/h might have been more appropriate. Christchurch is over-represented in national death and injury levels. International evidence strongly links vehicle speed to death and injury of vulnerable road users such as people walking and biking, and posted speed limits strongly influence driver behaviour. Furthermore, decreased vehicle speed improves neighbourhood amenity.</p> <p>Although I don't live in the affected area, my wife and I live close by and bike or walk several times each week to and from cafes in Longhurst.</p> <p>The proposed change should now be followed by similar reductions in areas such as Country Palms and Oaklands.</p>	David	Hawke	
19076	Yes	For all the obvious reasons.	nicholas	jones	
19078	Yes		Paula	Welsh	
19093	No	If the 40km/h speed limit was a smaller area than proposed I would be for the change. I think the main streets can be safely driven at 50km/h.	Amy	Kees	
19096	Yes	Great to see. It would be nice if new subdivisions like this had a lower speed limit from day one - why is this not a Council requirement of new residential developments?	Glen	Koorey	
19113	Yes	Lowering vehicle speeds has been demonstrated to save lives both through fewer fatal crashes, and through healthier communities walking and cycling more. Absolutely support this. Only criticism is that it should be 30km/h not 40km/h.	Chris	Morahan	Talking Transport Blog
19144	Yes	Good propose. We support this.	Gayan	Dissanayake	

19155	No	<p>Too many changes of speed limits in the area already.....people will not make an adjustment from 50 to 40 kmh (they don't now from my experience unless it is with the flashing light reminders past schools) . Use the K.I.S.S. method....</p> <p>Keep It Simple Stupid and dont have to many signs around . This makes me think that Men are from Mars , Women are from Venus and Traffic Engineers are from Uranus .</p>	Alan	Grigor	
19165	Yes	<p>These are areas with many families with small children. With the opening of the Knights Stream School; and additionally the sports fields, skate park, and scooter and bicycling tracks across the road from the school there already are and will be many more children walking, biking and scooting to these areas. A number of roads in the proposed area have cars parked on either side of the road, making it difficult to view traffic particularly when driving out of a side street onto Richmond Avenue; and when crossing streets as a pedestrian.</p> <p>We have regularly observed vehicles travelling considerably faster than the current 50km speed limit in such areas as Ishwar Gandar Boulevard, Hamill, Whincops and Murphys Roads; and Caulfield and Richmond Avenues and from the skate park.</p> <p>The proposed and hopefully enforced 40km/hour will be a positive move to increase safety of pedestrians, scooter, skate board riders, cyclists and motorists.</p>	Margaret and Geoffrey	Allison	
19192	Yes		Claire	Harris	
19231	Yes		Pauline and Frank	Whitmore	1937
18935	Yes	It's a good idea to lower the speed to 40kmh. The streets in the area are too narrow. And some people are driving very fast, could be over 100kmph. That's just very stupid, put themselves and other people's lives in danger.	Jixiang	Zhang	
19278	Yes	Considering the number of educational establishments and the multiple locations of playgrounds a unified 40km speed limit throughout the area will be the safest and simplest approach.	Mark	White	
19279	Yes	It would be great to reduce this speed as we have a child whom attends Seven Oaks school and also a child whom will attend Knights Stream school and they will be walking/biking to school.	Charlotte	White	
19292	Yes	I also believe there should be speed bumps or cameras down Halswell Junction Road towards Longhurst area as vehicles do not stick to the speed limit around there and too many racer boys doing donuts and wheelies around the roundabouts onto Hamill Road. Halswell Junction Road is behind my house and we are sick of hearing this at night when we try to sleep and it scares my children cause they think it's going to crash into our fence.	Alice	Manu	
19301	No	I support the lowering of the speed limits around the two schools, and on the narrower section of Caulfield Avenue by the medical centre. I do not support the lowering of the whole of the area, as I see there is little point. Contrary to your article, I do not think I have yet to encounter anyone driving at 40 along these roads, with many, particularly on Murphys Road, by Seven Oaks School, and along Richmond Avenue west of Denali Street doing considerably more! There are a greater number of pre-schools and schools in Aidanfield and Halswell, does this mean that these areas will also be dropped to 40? And who will enforce and police it - because it won't be the police.	Lyndsey	Foster	

19368	No	stupid we are nowhere near the school which will already have a 40k zone when applicable	michael	Quick	
19391	Yes	We just moved from Longhurst to Halswell and I totally agree with this change. There are a lot of families around and some of the streets are narrow with people parking on either sides - I've had multiple near misses in that area.	Hannah	SHEATH	
19412	Yes cond.	<p>We have two important provisos to our support. If there is to be the opportunity to speak to our submission, we are keen!</p> <p>Key points:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We support the proposed change, with two important provisos. • The first proviso is that the change must be accompanied by a review that puts in place consistent and predictable speed limits across Halswell. • The second proviso is that speed limit change must be accompanied by a comprehensive programme of driver behaviour change education, and enforcement. <p>1. Halswell Residents Association supports this proposal, for the following reasons: We have a history of seeking safer, more community-friendly speeds for Halswell: A successful part of our advocacy was the lowering of the speed limit on Halswell Junction Road from 60 to 50 km/h to reflect its built-up nature and high traffic density; we have not been successful in advocating for a lowering of the speed limit on SH 75. We have strongly promoted the Vision Zero road safety programme as a contribution to community building; safer speeds are an important part of Vision Zero: Decreased vehicle speed improves the connectivity between neighbours, thereby boosting community liveability.</p> <p>Population density: Longhurst Knights Stream has 50% more dwellings per hectare than older areas of Christchurch (15/ha vs 10/ha), meaning that there are 50% more driveways and (potentially) 50% more people. This density means more chance of a collision, whether between motor vehicles or between a motor vehicle and a person walking or biking. Christchurch is over-represented in national death and injury levels: International evidence strongly links vehicle speed to death and injury of vulnerable road users such as people walking and biking. Posted speed limits strongly influence driver behaviour.</p> <p>2. However, folk in our community have expressed frustration at (1) the multiplicity of speed limits around Halswell, and (2) poor enforcement of existing speed limits. Dealing with the multiplicity issue first: We want to see City Council review speed limits across Halswell, with an aim to building a consistent and predictable system of speed limits. Particular issues that illustrate our point include: The section of Halswell Road between Dunbars Road and Augustine Drive. It seems bizarre to have an 80 km/h limit applying for only 800 m. The section of Halswell Road from Dunbars Road to Candys Road. The speed limit currently applying (60 km/h) is inconsistent with the population density in the area and the presence of a school. We would like City Council to work with NZTA in reviewing the speed limit on both these sections of SH 75. We have heard that Dunbars Road Augustine Drive section is up for review, but nothing seems to be happening. For roads under the direct control of City Council, two illustrative The short section of Hendersons Road, from Sparks Road to there is a 70 km/h limit for just a short distance. The short section of Quaifes Road at the intersection with Whincops Road. Here, we have a 50 km/h limit for just 100 m or so either side of the intersection.</p>	David	Hawke	Halswell Residents Association (Inc.)

		<p>3. Now the enforcement question. Halswell Residents Association has collected data from Aidanfield Drive, which show broad compliance with the 50 km/h limit but a significant incidence of dangerous speed. These data show that compliance by heavy vehicles and buses is usually good, and that the key offenders during daytime are trade vehicles (vans and utes) and regular car drivers.</p> <p>We are happy that City Council has started a programme of speed trailer placement, and that NZ Police are open to routing in-transit police vehicles through problem areas such as Aidanfield Drive.</p> <p>However, this is all rather ad hoc.</p> <p>We want to see City Council put together a programme where enforcement and education are linked together in a coherent programme.</p> <p>As already noted, Halswell Residents Association has strongly promoted the Vision Zero programme of road safety. This programme envisages a triangulated approach of infrastructure, education and enforcement.</p> <p>Although the proposed speed limit change is important, it must be accompanied by explanations that engage with locals and people passing through as to why the change is important.</p> <p>These explanations must be part of a wider research-informed behaviour change process, which goes beyond sounding like a nagging parent. We believe that City Council has yet to figure this out.</p>			
19435	No	<p>I ask why are you blanketing the whole area when there are a lot of areas all over Christchurch in the same situation that have no special limits. Also what is wrong with the 40 km / hour speed limit around the schools and the street signs flashing at the appropriate times as there are around other schools.</p>	Malcolm and Raewyn	Millar	
19444	Yes	<p>I would prefer the speed limits on the main through roads entering into the subdivision (e.g. Murphys Road, Hamil Road, Caulfield Ave, Denali Street etc) to be 30kms an hour. People really fly down Hamil Road and I feel that by making this road a 30km rather than a 40km it would be more effective in slowing down traffic along this road. There is a place for pedestrians to cross very close to the Hamil, Alveston Halswell Junction Road roundabout and I feel that by reducing the speed limit to 30 that sends a very clear message to drivers to slow down and would make this pedestrian crossing safer.</p>	Wendy	Goodbrand	
19463	Yes	<p>this will help with heavy vehicles (including utes and buses) speeding past, especially on Caulfield, given that it is a relatively wider road, people have started to drive through it like a race track. The visibility is poor due to extensive on-street parking. The current road layout and the speed limit/s on this road results in</p> <p>A) a road hazard for cyclists/pedestrians and,</p> <p>B) noticeably rattles the residential buildings</p> <p>Reducing the speed limit in this area is supported.</p>	Kasuni	Thewarapperuma	

19533	Yes	I support the proposed 40km/h speed limit for the outlined areas. I would like to recommend the use of electronic radar speed signs in the area as people frequently speed currently with the 50km/h limit in place. I would also like to take this opportunity to bring the speed limit of Halswell Junction Road to Council's attention. The map shows that there is still a section remaining at 60km/h near the roundabout connection to the Southern Motorway. The area alongside this is reserve land where children and sports teams play and I believe reducing the speed to be consistent with the remainder of Halswell Junction Road (50km/h) in this area would make it safer in the recreational area including the carparking alongside the rugby grounds. There is also another subdivision being developed which joins onto this 60km/h area. With increased traffic from future residents and the current roadworks in the area this would also be safer if Halswell Junction Road was 50km/h through this section. Reducing the speed limit to 50km/h along the remaining length of Halswell Junction Road may also reduce the number of vehicles that continue to speed through Halswell Junction Road and Whincops Road roundabout. I would also like to suggest the use of radar speed signs along Halswell Junction Road as motor vehicles including large trucks are frequently going more than 50km/h through this area and the adjoining Longhurst and Knightstream Park areas.	Lauren	Loveridge	
19535	Yes	No mention as to how the speed is to be enforced and by which authority. First hand experience has shown me that after constant complaints to the Police of excessive speeding along Halswell Junction Road , there has been no action taken to address the situation. It certainly appears to me they have absolutely no interest in enforcing the speed therefore there is no consequence to the driver behaviour . Suggest that as part of the setting of speeds for local roads that the enforcement and issuing of infringement is removed from the Police role and undertaken by the local body or contracted out	Greg	Olive	
19546	No		Dave	Diggs	Centrepoint
19551	Yes	We are happy to have the speed limit change to 40km	Jeremy	N/A	Seven Oaks School
	Yes No	The Agency generally agrees with the proposal, except for the proposed 40km/h speed limit for John Paterson Drive, based on the information we have available. While the land use for this road length according to Statistics NZ is included in the broader major urban zone, the latest Google Maps view (May 2012) shows a rural environment. Accordingly MegaMaps assesses the Safe and Appropriate Speed for John Paterson Drive as 80km/h. Sense testing could have the speed limit at a 'rural residential' 60km/h, but it does not look like a residential access road self-explaining for road users at 40km/h.	Glenn	Bunting	NZTA