

## Submissions in support

ID	Name (Organisation)	Submission
14980	Shane Williams	I'd rather the whole street was 60kph or the section in question 70kph, but the mix of 50 and 60 makes no sense. So for this reason, I'm am for the reduction to 50kph.
14987	Richard Ashmore	I support this move because now there are a lot more businesses etc. along Cranford Street, I feel it has become quite dangerous for traffic turning into or out of them. Also, I travel this route every day and it does seem that some drivers see this section of Cranford St. as a chance to drive even faster than the current limit of 60km (possibly harking back to the time when the limit was 70km). I often have drivers closing the gap behind my car when traveling at the speed limit, suggesting that they feel I am going too slowly. The same is true of the 50km/h section from Placemakers to Innes Rd. so I think it will be good to have a uniform speed along the whole road.
14989	Glen Koorey	Strongly support this change, especially given the number of people who would also cycle along these sections of road with relatively little protection from faster traffic, or pedestrians who have to cross these roads, often at mid-block locations.
14990	Chris Jeggerly	It baffles me that it's not 50k already. With the school, shops, dance school, workers and the tourists turning in and out of the campground there isn't a day when we don't hear near misses. I have also nearly been knocked over putting my rubbish bins out multiple times. We fully support this decision. Thank you
15000	Roy Sinclair Spokes Canterbury	Slower traffic makes it safer for all road users and pedestrians.
15004	Ilafehi Salesa-Nanai	
15007	Steve Wilson	
15014	Greg Bain	This move is long overdue; 60kmph (in reality often closer to 80-90kmph through the green belt section) is both dangerous and inappropriate. I have witnessed one fatal, and many more serious, car accidents on my section of Cranford Street in the past decade. This is a residential neighbourhood with a primary school. I wholeheartedly support the move to 50kmph.
15048	Jennifer Jones	There's too much traffic going through to justify the existing high speed limit. High speed limits increase noise level, especially noises from those heavy trucks. There has been frequent accidents from cars losing control, while travelling from Cranford St and turning onto Main North Rd. Speed was a factor for all of those incidents. A child walking on the footpath was injured in the latest accident.
15054	Andrew Flanagan	
15141	Karen Geno	
15153	Toni Abraas	Support the reasons for dropping the speed limit as specified on Cranford Street. DO NOT support taking it further north on Main North Road past the QEII intersection. It should stop BEFORE crossing the St Bedes/former Mad Butcher intersection (travelling north).
15160	Paul Deavoll Spark NZ Ltd	Spark NZ has nearly 300 people who call 478 Cranford Street their place of work. Some of these people park on Cranford Street and all of them use Cranford Street. 50 km/hr is absolutely the correct speed in this area. Roadworks on Cranford Street are already creating hazards in the 60km/hr zone and will do so for some time yet. A number of people park on the road and footpaths are limited, meaning there are a large number of pedestrian movements on the road, including once it is dark.

		Attempting to cross the road either on foot or in a vehicle is already a dangerous challenge. The road is often congested and narrowed by parking on both sides meaning there are multiple hazards and it is difficult and dangerous to attempt to travel at 60km/hr. We have already seen a number of accidents on the top end of Cranford Street, reducing the speed to 50km/hr is reducing the likelihood of a fatality.
15180	Joanna Wells	
15216	Fiona Bennetts	I fully support the reduction in speed limit outside busy residential areas and schools. I hope the police can enforce the new speed limit, as many motorists will continue to travel at 60+ km/h regardless.
15224	Graeme Kerr	I am the owner of a commercial property on Cranford Street hence my support of the proposed change
15247	David Burrows	It's such a short section of road anyway.
15248	Olly Powell	Would be even happier to see it reduced to 40, I doubt it would make any real difference to travel times, just make the area feel safer.
15310	Coral Mardon	Definitely support this proposed change of speed for Cranford St. At times it is difficult to cross the road or to get across when exiting the Cranford Park carpark. By reducing the speed to 50 will make entering or exiting this area much safer
15311	Warwick Goodson	As a long-time resident and home owner we are aware several of our neighbours have raised the issue of speed towards the northern end of Cranford Street. We thoroughly approve of this initiative to reduce the speed zone from 60 down to 50km/h. Congratulations and move forward on your proposal without delay.
15324	Dianna Oliver	Better option for peak traffic times
15353	Peter Bartley	The traffic is so heavy on this section that most are only traveling at 50km anyway.
15416	Kim Langsford	As a parent with two children at Papanui primary school I can only fully support the improved safety of a reduced speed limit. I have witnessed several accidents on Cranford Street and use the intersection from Fraser Street daily, if not several times a day. A reduced speed in this area would allow for safer integration of vehicles.
15419	Sarah French	I travel down Cranford street every day, it runs past my children's school Papanui Primary. There's no need for it to be 60 speed limit, change to 50 would be super beneficial for all whom use this road.
15422	Jasmina Lau	I support lowering the speed limit. My son attends Papanui primary and Cranford is an extremely busy street, before and after school it is hair raising to watch parent and children try to manoeuvre between large volumes of traffic much of it truck and heavy vehicles due to the motorway project on and around the school. This is not a rural area it is city the speed limit should be lower...I would suggest 40 during school hours ...how is it possible that our university students are afforded this safely but not our primary school children? Please contact me to verify my views if you wish.
15436	Rebecca Parish Foodstuffs South Island Properties Limited	Foodstuffs South Island Properties Limited support the proposed speed reduction. Foodstuffs strongly believes that the reduced speed to 50km/hr will have positive benefits to all road users and the surrounding community
15446	Robert Fleming	Consistency where possible and logical is important for compliance. Compliance = improved safety
15450	Jayden Elley	

15455	Emma Twaddell	
15456	Tony Ireland	Please make this decision based on the proven science of safe traffic management that will ascertain that: (A) the reduced speed limits will reduce the incidence and outcome of vehicle accidents And that the best option is taken up in regards to air pollution minimisation and traffic noise reduction if an improvement of any or all of the mentioned outcomes results in improvement then speed limits should be set accordingly
15480	Tristan Glue	Great idea!
15502	Emma Jeffery	
15621	Nestorio Navarro	
15668	F Rowe	Safer for people coming out of Fraser St as they are still trying to come into the wrong lane
15669	Leila Torrington Environment Canterbury	Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this proposal. The staff of the Public Transport team at Environment Canterbury supports the change from 60kph to 50kph on Cranford Street and Main North Rd. A decrease in traffic speed will mean a safer environment for people walking to and from bus stops on these streets, and it better reflects the new nature of these roads after the Northern Corridor Project has been completed. We would also like to note that the high frequency Orbiter route bus route will start using this section of Cranford Street in the future due to the Northern Corridor project.
15811	Paul Kingston Papanui Primary School	We support the speed limit change Comment: Through my conversations with families and whanau, and also in consultation with the Board of Trustees and PTA, I believe I can represent views of the families of the 211 children who currently attend Papanui Primary School. A reduced speed limit will improve the safety of children and families who: 1. cross Cranford Street at the pedestrian island 2. use Cranford Street and Fraser Street to access the school 3. Cross at various Main North Road intersections (Cranford St, Northcote Rd and QEII Dr)  I have also observed students from Papanui High, St Bedes, Casebrook Intermediate and St Josephs using the crossings so the speed reduction would benefit many. If speeds lower over time there could be increased numbers of children and families walking, scootering or biking to school. Many parents, who presently drive their children to school, do so because of their safety concerns associated with crossing busy roads. Thank you for your consideration of this submission and we look forward with optimism to safer and slower roads in our neighbourhood.
15817	Graeme Walsh	Yes. In addition, the medium strip outside our driveway needs widening in order for us to be safe whilst waiting to turn right into our legal access way. Council have previously advised that it is legally ok at 2.4m wide BUT this is NOT CORRECT. Their measurements are for the commercial drive further north. Also, I/we require 'no parking' signs outside our property in order that we have a clear line of sight when exiting our legal access way.
15844	Dirk De Lu Spokes Canterbury	

## Submissions did not indicate preference

ID	Name (Organisation)	Submission
15311	Warwick Goodson	As a long-time resident and home owner we are aware several of our neighbours have raised the issue of speed towards the northern end of Cranford Street. We thoroughly approve of this initiative to reduce the speed zone from 60 down to 50km/h. Congratulations and move forward on your proposal without delay.

## Submissions not in support

15005	Cherie McGowan	I am happy with the current soles limits in these areas and find it easy to meet the requirement of my speed according to the signage.
15042	Peter and Margaret Kenna	The main North Rd has two lanes and already the traffic will flow even more slowly than it is now so please leave it as it is.
15120	David Johnstone	Now that the new motor way is under construction and I have been denied access to Grimseys Road when heading North, home, slowing down traffic on Main North Road will cause me even longer travel times. The road is twin laned and has been managing the speed limit for years now, it doesn't need to be restricted to 50km/phr.
15404	Lynn Keats	
15444	James McClurg	By the time works are completed for the CNC there will be absolutely no reason for a 50kmh to apply to this area of Cranford Street. There are no areas along the area proposed for change where school age children exit directly onto the affected streets. Papanui primary is well fenced and access is by Winters Road, St Josephs and St Bede's both fall outside the area. All the roads have wide areas outside of the lanes and there are no obstructions affecting visibility. Speed is not an issue for crashes in this area and it has worked well for many years. With the other projects in development this area is going to be less congested and more suitable to this 60kmh speed limit than the recent past you base this proposal on. The stretch of Cranford Street from Placemakers to Main North Road should remain as it is at 60kmh. Main North Road should remain 60kmh from St Josephs School to the Northern Motorway. Northcote Road should remain 50kmh but have 60kmh limit signs only on the approach to the QE2/Main North Intersection. This 60kmh speed limit should continue on QE2 until the 80kmh zone begins.
15448	Michael Taylor	The claimed benefits are speculative and unsupported. It also creates greater inconsistency with the rest of the 4 lane part of Main North Road which is 60kph-why is the section of the proposed change more dangerous? If traffic from the new Northern Bypass connects with Cranford St and that the traffic is doing 100kph, it makes more sense to keep the rest of Cranford at 60 not 50-as 50 requires a more abrupt and pronounced slowdown and will cause bottlenecks and congestion. Reducing a section of Main North Road to 50 will achieve nothing and creates greater inconsistency of speed limits. It will not

		make the road any safer and the reduction will create more congestion as cars are going slower which means cars on the road for longer which means more cars in that area of road.
15497	Laurence Fairley	Reducing the speed limit to 50 km/hr is unjustified as this speed is only attained during periods of light traffic no matter the new junction which in all accounts should accommodate the existing speed limit not determine the speed limit. It has been noted that there is a lack of foresight when it comes to speeds. These are a maximum and the average is below this so the average speed in this complete section will be more in keeping with 50 km/hr. hence there is no need to change the speed limit.
15597	Dr Euan Purdue	I would like to support the existing speed limit on Cranford St from Main North Rd to the current 50km area near Placemakers and then WHEN the northern corridor connects in the future a decision can be made whether 50km or 60km/hr is appropriate. There are many other interchanges that work well at 60km/hr. This area has a rural feel and is safe at 60km/hr I support the section of Main North Rd north of Cranford St being reduced to 50km/hr as there is a lot of congestion in this area from Winters Rd North
15718	Jackson Stuart Christchurch Citizens Collective	The slow down will add to an already congested piece of road and will actually cause more crashes than it will prevent. The Council has not produced any studies showing a huge amount of crashes in this stretch of road caused by cars travelling at 60kph. The alleged benefits of the lower limit are speculative and unproven. There is no need to reduce the limit here and doing so creates further inconsistency as most of Main North Road is 60 and Cranford St needs to stay at 60 to maintain adequate traffic flow. Slower cars means it takes longer which means more cars on the road which means more congestion.