

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16180	do not support the speed limit	<p>Currently policing 50 km zone is not done satisfactorily.</p> <p>I fail to see how making zone 40 km will improve this.</p> <p>I would suggest spending funds on cameras for all entry/exit in to the subdivision. This may curb the 'bad element' who currently enter the subdivision and break into vehicles</p>	Mark Harper	
16178	do not support the speed limit	We do not think this is necessary, considering no issues with current speed limit	D & T Prokop	
16177	do not support the speed limit	<p>Lower Styx Road should be 70 km max.</p> <p>Prestons Road should be 50 km by the supermarket & petrol station</p> <p>Rest can be controlled by speed bumps</p>	Robin A & Kerriane Johnston	
16163	do not support the speed limit	<p>Absolutely ridiculous! Are all suburbs going to be changed to 40 km. If everyone keeps to the speed limit now, I don't see there is a problem!</p> <p>Does this speed limit apply to bikes - I expect it to.</p> <p>We don't see how Preston's subdivision is any different to any other suburb!</p> <p>These comments are made from all three adults that live at this address and drive cars!</p>	Robyn Carter	
16162	do not support the speed limit	We feel that a 40 km/hr limit is appropriate around schools and as that is already in place, we think that extending that to the entire subdivision is over the top	Jodi Borren	
16161	do not support the speed limit	<p>As the driver of a vehicle, it is MY responsibility to ensure I drive to the conditions. If there are lots of parked cars, narrow streets, school signs, playgrounds etc, a driver should drive to the conditions. If no hazards are present, then in most other parts of ChCh City the speed limit is 50km/hr.</p> <p>It would appear that the people employed by ChCh City Council to approve subdivision plans, have been remiss in ensuring that these safety issues were considered, and I believe that this needs to be addressed. As opposed to allowing design where these issues can/should be identified, and therefore creating speed control by stealth!</p>	Ruth Gamblin	
16159	support the speed limit	We have noted that many vehicles use Te Korari as a cut through route, and subsequently many travel at excessive speed particularly through the lower end of Te Korari	Paul & Chris McLachlan	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16157	support the speed limit	<p>I suggest consideration be given to REDUCING the 60km on Preston Rd to 50km.</p> <p>Making the speed limit UNIFORM from Burwood Road, along Preston Rd across Marshland Rd (to a point approximately 100 meters westward) where the speed limit increases to 80km.</p> <p>This will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Assist in reducing speed on Preston Road approaching the Marshland Rd intersection. 2) Should give a protection, more space or time for vehicles egressing from BP Garage or New World Supermarket on to Preston Road. 3) One less speed variation in this area. <p>As a city we are getting FAR TOO MANY speed variations over very short distances which is becoming increasingly more confusing to motorists in general.</p> <p>Thank for the opportunity, good luck, best wishes</p>	John Collins	
16154	do not support the speed limit	<p>I DO NOT support the 40km speed limit for the following reasons:</p> <p>From what I understand this consultation has not been driven by the Prestons community campaigning for a lower limit, or as a result of any specific safety issues/accidents in the suburb but rather, by Council wanting to trial the 40km/h limit mentioned in recent NZTA guidelines.</p> <p>Can you please let me know what factors were taken into consideration by the Council in choosing the Prestons subdivision for this trial?</p> <p>I believe the side roads in Prestons naturally encourage lower speeds due to their narrow nature and the number of cars typically parked on the sides of the roads. I also believe the two main roads in the subdivision (Te Rito and Te Korari) are wide enough to safely facilitate driving at the current 50km/h speed limit.</p> <p>I do not feel the reduction in the speed limit will mitigate driver behavior. People who consider the general road conditions safe to travel in excess of 40km/h, are likely to continue to do so regardless of a reduction in the speed limit.</p> <p>Lowering the speed limit will simply increase the variation in desired speed, between drivers wanting to stick to a reduced limit and those believing 50km/h (or faster) is safe. The disparity in preferred speed and driver behaviour around this, has the potential to increase rather than diminish risk.</p> <p>Finally I feel the 40km/h school zone speed limit, due to being a clearly signposted, and well understood, variation from the typical speed limit, has the effect of focusing driver awareness when in that specific area. Imposing a blanket speed limit of 40km/h in all areas negates the value of the school zone as a tool for moderating driver behaviour and mitigating risk around schools.</p>	None None	
16153	do not support the speed limit	<p>Ross doesn't agree to this submission as these methods have not worked in other cities. What's required is decent width roads with on street parking, Limited parking only leads to frustrated drivers and local residents. On the narrow roads they have installed in Dunedin they have had to rip them up/modify them to cater for emergency services not being able to access particular parts of the city due to insufficient sized roading. I request the speed limit to stay the same as it is.</p>	Ross Houliston	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16150	do not support the speed limit	<p>I am opposed to 40km speed limit within subdivisions. One of the biggest problems is the road design and a narrowing of street width. Most subdivisions have insufficient parking and it is impossible to drive a truck in these streets making it difficult for emergency services (fire and ambulance).</p> <p>Most drivers are law abiding and responsible. They already drive to the conditions by reducing their speed in these subdivisions. I cannot see why our Council Staff are squandering our money on trying to create a new road law. Speed limits should be left to Parliament to amend if they see fit.</p> <p>David Chamberlain</p>	David Chamberlain	
16145	do not support the speed limit	<p>I completely disagree with the proposal to reduce the traffic speed limit to 40km/hr in the Prestons subdivision.</p> <p>I do not believe that there are safety issues within the subdivision that necessitate a complete reduction in the speed of traffic moving through the area. I have lived in the subdivision for almost 4 years and regularly walk and drive in the area. The subdivision was planned and engineered to provide safe travel through the subdivision at the normal speed limit of 50km/hr, to the homes that have been built. The main through roads are wide with good visibility and planned to handle the traffic that is using them. I do not think that there are significant volumes of traffic in the area and I find that drivers are courteous and careful.</p> <p>I was completely surprised to receive the brochure publicising the Consultation in early May as there had been no general information or feedback sought from residents prior to this. I would point out that the brochure is dated 8 May 2018 with consultation being open until 29 May, giving a maximum of 21 days for public consultation which I feel is completely inadequate. In fact, I, and I assume other residents, received the brochure after 8 May 2018 so have not even had a full 21 days to consider and prepare a submission. I would also point out that if any residents did not receive the information brochure there has been no publicity by the Council about the proposal or any attempt to actively seek feedback and canvas the general views of residents. For example, why has there not been a meeting organised by the Council to provide more information as to why it is seen as important to reduce the speed limit in this particular subdivision. I believe that the publicity, consultation, and timeframes around this proposal have been totally inadequate. I would be very surprised if the majority of residents in the subdivision support the speed reduction, but the way that the Proposal and Brochure advise of the process it appears that the decision has already been made and the opportunity to submit is a token gesture, at best.</p> <p>Given that I have lived in the Prestons subdivision for almost 4 years and that residents should be regarded as the primary stakeholders in this proposal, I cannot understand how the statement is made that the "Council has engaged with a number of key stakeholder groups and the responses have been positive". There is also no clarification as to where the idea for the speed reduction came from the Council, or was it from a different source? Why weren't all residents regarded as "key stakeholders" and consulted before the idea became a "Proposal"?</p> <p>The Proposal states that there would be benefits to the subdivision of reduced signage, noise and vehicle emissions. Who has said that there are problems in the subdivision with these elements? I think that this statement is misleading as a reduced speed limit would mean that vehicles would take longer to travel through the subdivision, perhaps with engines not being used as efficiently so in fact there would be more fume emissions. Similarly with the noise. As far as the signage is concerned there is such a multitude of speed limits in surrounding roads that I don't think signs only at the entrances would be adequate and the whole situation would be very confusing.</p> <p>The issues of noise and air pollution in this area originate from surrounding land and road use. Slowing traffic speed within the subdivision will do nothing to mitigate traffic noise which is heard in the area as the noise which is a nuisance is from the volumes of vehicles travelling down Marshlands Rd in particular, but also down Prestons Rd and the vehicles which travel at speed down Lower Styx Rd. The other noise which is a real nuisance to residents is the frequent use of bird scarer devices on nearby properties between the Prestons subdivision and Marshland Rd. The frequency and volume of the noise coming from these devices creates a serious annoyance and disturbance and the use has not been restricted by The Council despite complaints by residents. Other noise which is both annoying and, at times, frightening is that generated by Duck Shooters who are able to shoot on adjacent properties and excessively loud private fireworks events which apparently the Council approves. The air pollution in the area which does affect residents regularly is from nearby landowners eburning off vegetation which generates smoke which can hang over the area on a windless day. One landowner is known to deliberately light a rubbish on a windless day.</p> <p>It is not clear from the Proposal Brochure what the specific concerns are in this subdivision which have required this proposal to reduce the speed throughout the area to 40km/hr. If there is a general concern that traffic is moving too quickly I think it would be more appropriate to use traffic calming measures such as speed humps or bumps which would physically reduce speed. It would also be useful for the Council to review the traffic patterns and ensure that traffic flow is managed well at peak times. For example, exiting Prestons subdivision turning left out of Te Rito Street onto Prestons Rd the timing allows 3 cars only through which is completely inadequate and means that cars are turning on orange lights to exit due to the build up of traffic.</p> <p>I am concerned that the Council has developed and put forward this proposal with what seems to be minimal consultation with the community it will affect. There is no indication as to the costs of the Proposal or the costs to change and relocate all the signage in the area, however I imagine it will be significant. If this is not essential work why has the proposal been created when the Council has issues with expenditure and states it does not have enough money for general maintenance and upkeep around the city such as mowing grass around the Avon etc? If there is not a particular reason why this proposal absolutely has to be put in place then I believe it should not go ahead.</p> <p>The proposal to restrict speed in the Prestons subdivision has been done without any consideration of the speed limits on access roads and at the moment there are multiple limits which give rise to confusion and danger for drivers, pedestrians and cyclists. It would have been more appropriate to review surrounding speed limits and co-ordinate them more appropriately. For example, turning out of Dunlops Rd which is a 50km/hr limit onto Lower Styx Rd takes you directly into traffic travelling at 80 km/hr or faster which is dangerous and made worse by the fact that traffic turns out on a corner where there is limited visibility. Along Prestons Rd from Burwood Hospital to Te Rito St the speed limit is 50km/hr but after Te Rito St it increases to 60km/hr. There are more hazards on the stretch of Prestons Road after Te Rito St with pedestrians and cyclists using this area, cars accessing properties and a busy corner with Marshlands</p>	Jessica Adams	Jessica Adams

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>Rd. This part of Prestons Rd needs to be lowered to 50km/hr and thought given to better traffic management along Prestons Rd, not try and change the speed limit within Prestons which is effectively working.</p> <p>There are a number of subdivisions in the area of Prestons such as Waitikiri, The Limes, Cameo Grove and Tumara Park. As far as I am aware none of these subdivisions have a proposal to reduce the speed limit of their roads to 40km/hr in spite of the fact that in some parts parts their roads are used as shortcuts for traffic moving through the area. Is there a proposal to reduce the speed limit in Prestons Park on the south side of Prestons as well? Given the distance for some residents to travel through the subdivision to exit the Prestons subdivision I believe it is unreasonable to expect traffic to ecrawl out at reduced speed. Residents bought in this area with the understanding that normal 50km/he speed limits provided access in and out of the subdivision, just as it does in surrounding areas and it is unfair to have a change of rules imposed without any particular need to do so. The Council has not been particularly supportive of the residents in this new subdivision, many of whom have relocated from Red Zone areas. It took years to have more than one entry/exit opened into the subdivision even though this restricted access presented a safety hazard for residents in terms of their ability to get out of the area in case of an emergency or to have emergency services get into the area when peak traffic blocked surrounding roads. I think that residents of Prestons should have the same kind of access to their roads and homes as residents in surrounding areas and not have speed restrictions imposed on them which will affect our everyday life unnecessarily.</p>		
16144	support the speed limit	<p>Definitely support change to 40km/hr in Prestons! Please.</p> <p>However, wonder if this would have actual impact on speed limit of some cars, so I would also support speed bumps being added to the roads on Te Rito and Te Korari especially as cars speed down these 2 long straight roads.</p> <p>Very concerned with the number of cars at 50 km/hr and above! At the moment down these 2 major roads in Prestons with lots of young families, schools, parks and pre-schools. Please reduced speed to 40 km/hr and consider speed bumps! Thanks</p>	Vanessa Fitzgerald	
16143	support the speed limit	<p>I support the speed limit change, but would also like to see speed bumps put on Te Korari St as the street is used as a short cut from Lower Styx Road to Prestons Road.</p> <p>We have a real issue with people screaming around the street at great speed most nights and more often Friday, Saturday.</p> <p>Some cameras would be a good deterrent something needs to be done before there is an accident</p>	Shayne Roscario & Lesley Storm	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16142	do not support the speed limit	<p>I do not support the proposed 40 km/h speed limit.</p> <p>NZTA guidelines only permit local authorities to set a speed limit below 50 km/h where there is a need to improve safety, and where engineering measures are used to ensure compliance with the lower limit. I do not believe that this proposal complies with either requirement. There has been no evidence presented to support the idea that the current speed limit is unsafe, and the proposal does not include any measures, other than signage, to encourage or enforce lower speeds.</p> <p>Prestons' population has been growing as more houses have been built and occupied, but it is nearing the end of this growth phase. It has not grown beyond its designed limits, and there is nothing to suggest that it has a disproportionate number of vulnerable road users, or an excessive volume of traffic. If anything, traffic is much lower than in other locations. We are relatively isolated from the rest of the road network, and Prestons is not a convenient shortcut to any destination. Traffic is almost exclusively local, and almost all locals are keenly aware of traffic safety, and especially the need to look out for children crossing roads or running out unexpectedly.</p> <p>People do speed within or through Prestons, but they often appear to be tradespeople connected with building projects, or joyriders passing through to rural Lower Styx Road. These people have no connection to this location. They are already ignoring the posted speed limits; their aggressive and dangerous driving will not be curbed by a lower speed limit. In part, these people speed here because there is nothing to stop them. There are no speed cameras, no traffic patrols, and no traffic calming measures on the two most affected roads, Te Korari Street and Te Rito Street. The current proposal does not include any of these measures, so it is difficult to see how it would change their behaviour.</p> <p>Rather than promoting safety, I believe that a lower limit will reduce it, particularly around the school.</p> <p>The existing school speed zone is prominent, and the electronic signage is difficult to miss. If it is removed, there will be fewer cues to drivers to be careful around this area.</p> <p>The consultation plan shows a variety of speed limits near Prestons, with 80, 70, 60 and 50 km/h zones within a couple of hundred metres. Adding yet another speed limit to this seemingly random collection will serve only to confuse and frustrate drivers. There is no obvious reason for a lower speed limit, such as a high number of pedestrians, high traffic volumes, or a designated cycle route. There is nothing special about Prestons that demands a lower speed limit. I question the need for a lower limit here, when one is not proposed for similar subdivisions, such as neighbouring Waitikiri or Prestons Park.</p> <p>Prestons has not existed for long, and has been subjected to severe traffic disruption for its entire short history, particularly on Prestons Road. The traffic lights between Prestons subdivision and Prestons Road were only installed in October 2016, and the school zone was added in early 2017. It would be wasteful and premature to replace these relatively new traffic controls so quickly. We have not yet had a long enough period of stability in the traffic environment to establish whether there actually is an ongoing problem, and whether any changes are needed.</p> <p>As an alternative to this proposal, I would support the installation of traffic calming on Te Korari and Te Rito Streets only, and the reduction or removal of plantings on street corners, which make it difficult for drivers turning from local roads onto collector roads to see pedestrians and oncoming traffic. These changes would appreciably improve safety for all road users.</p> <p>Addendum to submission on Prestons subdivision speed limit change</p> <p>This submission is in addition to my previous submission document, in which I stated that I do not support the proposed 40 km/h speed limit.</p> <p>The consultation leaflet for this proposed change was surprisingly vague. Unlike other speed limit consultations, it did not state what prompted the change, such as high accident rates or a request from within the community. There was no specific information about what made Prestons suitable for a reduced limit: traffic volume and vulnerable road users were mentioned, and the characteristics of the Prestons road network, but there was no concrete data.</p> <p>Enquiries made by another resident have finally revealed, on the last day for submissions, that this proposal has not come from Council or from residents, but from NZTA, which has identified a number of areas within Christchurch where it thinks a 40 km/h limit is justified. Prestons has essentially been selected to test the waters, and gauge public reactions to lowering speed limits.</p>	None None	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>Residents have not been told what is driving the proposal, or why this specific location has been singled out. It is not clear whether the proposal is intended to align posted speed limits with actual travel speeds, or to encourage lower travel speeds. If it is the latter, we have no reason to believe it would be effective.</p> <p>Prestons residents are giving feedback on whether a speed limit change would be appropriate in our isolated neighbourhood, and are responding in this specific context. However, our responses could be interpreted as support or opposition for speed limit reductions throughout the city.</p> <p>If NZTA wishes local authorities to impose lower speed limits in many suburban areas, Council should clearly cite this in its consultation documentation, and provide supporting data from NZTA.</p> <p>This consultation withholds vital information, and thus ensures that residents cannot give informed opinions. It smacks of mistrust and disrespect, not the open and transparent engagement process that Christchurch City Council claims to follow.</p>		

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16141	do not support the speed limit	<p>I live in the Prestons subdivision. I DO NOT support the 40km speed limit for the following reasons:</p> <p>(a) Drivers already ignore the 50km speed limit and aggressively tailgate down Te Rito in particular. Subjectively, this seems to have decreased since the huge number of tradespeople in the subdivision has dropped however those that still do it don't care what the speed limit is already so why would they care if it were 40km? It is just going to make them MORE aggressive and likely to try tailgate and/or overtake which they do now if I'm travelling at 50km.</p> <p>(b) Why is this change necessary? There does not seem to be any background to this proposal. Prestons is no more special than Waitikiri, or Prestons South - and yet no-one is suggesting their speed limit changes. What triggered this consultation?</p> <p>(c) Who is going to police this new limit? The drivers who ignore a 50km limit will continue to ignore a 40km limit. The practicalities of the proposal just don't seem to have been thought through. Speeding down Te Rito seems to have dropped but if it still happens down Te Korari (from joyriders) then why not put in speed bumps?</p> <p>(d) There are already four speed limits (50, 60, 70 & 80 km) within a few hundred meters of each other around Prestons (seven if you count the school zone of 40km and the 30km zone for the never-ending road works on Prestons Rd). It's already utterly confusing and adding yet another speed limit adds to the confusion.</p> <p>I believe there are two keys areas of road safety in Prestons that are more deserving of attention and funding:</p> <p>(1) The flax (taiore) bushes on the corners of the streets feeding onto Te Rito (eg: Korowai, Taiore, Te Whenu etc) are dangerously high and cut off the view down both ways when you are trying to turn into Te Rito St. I believe these are a far greater daily hazard than the occasional speeding car.</p> <p>(2) Cars parked at the South end of Te Rito outside Prestons Preschool (8 Te Rito St) create a situation where cars have to swerve out and back into the lane to go around them while avoiding the traffic island. I would like to see yellow no parking lines on the East side of the street well North of the pre-school (before the road flares out).</p> <p>So, in summary I don't believe a 40km speed limit is necessary. It adds to the confusion of speed limits and will be ignored by those who ignore the 50km limit now anyway. It will likely ADD to their frustration encouraging even more dangerous behaviour. Money could be better spent on other safety measures. Thank you.</p>	None None	
16131	do not support the speed limit	<p>Prestons is not just a residential subdivision. Te Korari Street functions as a through road to/from Lower Styx Road and is heavily used in this capacity. The Lower Styx Road/Marshland Road intersection is dangerous and unsafe, and motorists can avoid it by travelling through Prestons.</p> <p>Putting a 40km/hr speed limit is frustrating and unnecessary - school children will be safer if the existing school speed zone and electronic signs remain as is. Don't fix something that is not broken - put your efforts into sorting the Lower Styx Road/ Marshland Road intersection - that's the problem area!</p>	Judy van Leeuwen	
16123	do not support the speed limit	While it is true that on our street (and other rode streets) it is rare to reach 50 km/hr; there is no reason to reduce speed limit or Te Rito, Te Korari or Metehau Streets	Tony Ambrose	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16122	do not support the speed limit	<p>It's a silly idea to punish people living here for narrow straight streets approved by the Council City Planners in Prestons.</p> <p>Speed cameras best idea on Te Korari St tope end from Styx Rd.</p> <p>Boy races and people living Spencer Park & further north cut through Prestons & speed past the Reserve area top end of Te Korari St.</p> <p>Speed bumps a cheaper option but camera is best, the Government will reap the benefits and the community will stay safe.</p> <p>There is plenty of joy riders travelling around this subdivision taking in ideas & driving slow already.</p> <p>To legally lower the limit will frustrate most people travelling from A to B will there daily hues.</p> <p>Speed camera will deture dangerous driving at speed through the main Rds.</p>	Nigel Noonan	
16121	do not support the speed limit	<p>I have been resident in the subdivision for 18 mths and drive on an almost daily basis.</p> <p>In this period I have not seen any evidence of speeding, dangerous or eratic driving to warrant lowering the current limit of 50 km/hr.</p> <p>I am fully in favour of the lower limit of 40 km/hr which currently applies morning & afternoon when children are arriving & leaving school.</p> <p>How many accidents if any, have there been in the subdivision since it opened?</p> <p>If it ain't broke, don't fix ti!</p>	Charles Godfrey	
16120	do not support the speed limit	<p>Money would be better spent on maintaining our Roads</p> <p>Have tried 40 mph & think it is ridiculous and the school is well posted @ 40 mph & has flashing sign also most people are sensible.</p> <p>Wish this council would stop wasting our money</p>	Grant Prebble	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16116	do not support the speed limit	<p>Already, you are totally covered with the existing 40 kph speed limit outside the school during arrival and departure times.</p> <p>This has to be the most hair brained idea to come out of Council. We do not have a traffic problem in Prestons. You are just generating one by apparently consulting with mythical stakeholders which you haven't named. The people who raised this nonsense need to be named other wise the justification for the blanket limit is just because you can using whatever regulations you care to name which is exactly what you just stated in the blurb sent to us. There is absolutely nothing wrong with the traffic flow or the roading</p> <p>Your justification of safety and improved levels of amenity associated with reduced signage and lower vehicle noise and fume emissions is just gobly gook speak.</p> <p>There has been no public meeting You don't name the stake holders consulted .It is totally out of stage left, apparently because a Team Leader was at a loose end one day and thought it was a good idea. That is the impression you give for this nonsense in the blurb. ie it sounded such a good idea!! If it was the School then they have absolutely no consultation with the wider Preston's community. You would have to have a child attending to know what they were thinking. Is it driven by parents abrogating any responsibility for their children's safety whether walking cycling or general traffic sense. How on earth does this blanket ban teach children and parents responsibility .</p> <p>I cannot believe our Council has instigated such stupidity with no previous consultation with anyone one excluding these mythical stake holders who are not even named .They have had zilch consultation with us creating a faite accomplie because the people, apparently driving this stupidity, have already rallied their supporters to get this nonsense off the ground this far and will be hell bent on voting it through when the necessity for the whole 40 kph blanket speed limit is not proven. Where on earth is the public meeting so you guys can justify this utter nonsense of a proposal and don't even tell us there was a consultant involved because you then abrogate all responsibility for council decisions as you have may times.</p> <p>I vehemently oppose this blanket 40 kph for Prestons . You have offered absolutely no evidence it is necessary, in fact absolutely no evidence at all!! You imply it is making you all feel good and because of the regulations you quote, you can anyway, which has to be the most stupid reason of all. I cannot believe this proposal gained any oxygen at all and the speed limits in Prestons must stay Status Quo. Surely next time you ask for feed back you must provide facts -talk to people -hold a meeting --what on earth are you doing -You are meant to be the experts --act like you are instead of putting out this wish washy proposal that can only have come from someones hidden agenda of a cycle lobby or a ban all cars lobby or lets have all electric car lobby or walk every where lobby or heavens knows where it came from because it should just fail with its total lack of facts or relevance to the Prestons I know. This is my second comment as it would not let me "resume" my original comments</p> <p>If this 40 kph blanket speed limit proposed for Prestons Subdivision goes ahead, and it is driven by concern for children's safety, then, besides the existing 40 kph limit during arrival and departure times at the School , this stupid blanket speed limit will then apply at all times of the day and night and at weekends for absolutely no benefit to safety or common sense. How stupid is that?! In fact it will probably generate total frustration for most road users, outside of these times, who will treat it as the nonsense it is and possibly ignore it. If road users cannot see the justification for 40 kph blanket speed limit 24 hours a day then it is very bad legislation and a total waste of CCC resources replacing speed limit signs etc etc etc let alone the cost of having it policed. In fact I wonder if the Police were even consulted?? but as I have already said the stakeholders consulted remain mythical and I already have commented about parents abrogating their responsibilities for the safety of their children if that is where the push has come from for this crazy idea. The council, itself, is so so to blame for their total lack of information of who or what has driven this nonsensical proposal and who are the "3" wise men or woman---read Stakeholder's--- that they had earnest consultations with and who thought it was a wonderful idea--Really? -- It is totally without merit and obviously a feel good idea that has escaped common sense boundaries.For heaven sake Can it before the CCC becomes the laughing stock of all City Councils in NZ. However I am not laughing. I am just angry such stupidity can be enacted by so called sane public servants who haven't even got the decency to consult with us and just release this wishy washy idea/have your say and have the temerity to say consultation begins/consultation ends on such and such a date. WHAT CONSULTATION!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Its a joke.It has all the hall marks of the cycle lane debacle being rammed though by this same council, maybe by the same staff? but what do I know -I despair! This is my 3rd submission as I finally have some facts from CCC</p> <p>With all my e mails to CCC and a letter published by the Press I have now received a letter from a CCC Traffic Engineer. Wayne Gallot saying, in effect, they have raised the 40 kph blanket speed restriction for Prestons, because they can, quoting the Nov 2016 NZTA speed management guide and Speed Limits 2017 (The Rule). Apparently Council is legally obliged to give effect to these documents.</p> <p>The Stakeholders consulted were NZTA, NZ Police, NZ Automobile Assn, Marshlands School, Environment Canty-for their Buses-, Ngui Tahu, Coastal Burwood Community Board but not the residents.</p> <p>Well, that is me told but why our Council did not have this information out there so we could all make considered submissions beats me. This whole process is so poorly executed that the Council should collectively apologize to all residents who may have , but probably didn't make one because of the paucity of information, or have made submissions who not only didn't have the facts but absolutely zilch facts at all.</p> <p>So I apologize to all the people i have have upset with my earlier submissions as I was casting a wide net trying to sort who would raise this issue. I now have an answer, no thanks to the original data.</p> <p>However, it will still come down to whether people consider a speed limit or any other regulation stupid so they may ignore it and a blanket 40 kph limit on Prestons 24 hours a day 7 days a week 365 days a year in an extremely light traffic area is going to be classed as stupid and nonsensical by most/some people. Think of traveling through there at midnight for example.</p>	trevor lightfoot	1944

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>Has a traffic count or accident reports been done on any of the streets in Prestons and has a comparison been done with say Parklands, Prestons Road or Greenhaven Drive?? etc etc</p> <p>Tekorari Street, which goes past the Marshlands School, is 2 km long , it is wide, all parking is off the road in parking bays, broken yellow lines are every where to stop parking on the street , there are 2 designated cycle lanes and has the normal School 40 kph speed limit for designated arrival and departure times. School parking is all in the grounds -a perfectly designed main road protected by give ways on all the side streets - a round about on Te Rito Street, a stop sign on Lower Styx Road and lights on Prestons Road. It is also a through road from Prestons Road to Brooklands, or Marshland Road, and Lower Styx Road to Burwood and access to the subdivision . These people, traversing the subdivision, will most likely ignore the 40 kph speed limit as they are on a perfectly designed 2 km long road and in an environment which looks perfectly safe. Unfortunately it will be treated as the nonsense it is by a lot of drivers. I usually obey most speed limits so probably will comply with this but my thoughts are obvious.</p> <p>Te Rito Street, the other through road, is a little narrower with parking on the road side but most people treat it with caution and I have driven it for 2 years and it is perfectly manageable at 50 kph or less whether high or low traffic volumes</p> <p>The side streets are normally traveled at 40 to 50 kph because that is the safe speed for the nature and design of these streets. So people use them with common sense so give them the credit they are due!</p> <p>Enact a blanket 40 kph limit on all streets in Prestons then human nature is such it will rile some people to ignore it all.</p> <p>CCC can do what they like with this proposal of the 40 kph blanket limit on Prestons but a bad law or, in this case a 40 kph speed limit, will be classed as a bad law and will probably be ignored by a lot of people who see it as having no justification or common sense and just a plain stupid speed restriction.</p> <p>Now I have made my submissions the following Questions must be asked , by whoever will decide the out come of this proposal, as just because NZTA says Council must legally consider the proposal doesn't mean they have to instigate it, otherwise, why are you asking for submissions from us, for this Feel Good Social Experiment?</p> <p>I have an attachment of the Letter/e mail sent to me by the Traffic Engineer at the CCC which appears to imply only the NZTA regulations are driving this proposal and not anyone concerned bringing the matter up..</p> <p>1/ What other subdivision has had this inflicted on them or are we the first for this feel good social experiment?</p> <p>2/ What are the traffic volumes recorded for the subdivision-- Are they recorded? and and what date/period were they recorded on as commercial traffic volumes are well down because building in Prestons is almost completed and what are the traffic volumes in similar subdivisions as a comparison?</p> <p>3/ What are the number of speeding infringement notices issued --Are they significant comparable with similar traffic density subdivisions--That is Just the Prestons subdivision --not Prestons Road --It only borders the subdivision</p> <p>4/ How were the questions couched to the various Stakeholders --Did they all agree it was a good safety idea or just a feel good idea--There is such a difference and please tell us what each one said</p> <p>5/ You need to Prove to yourselves,, once and for all , that the low volume of traffic, I see ,does not remotely justify the imposition of a totally unwarranted Blanket 40 kph speed limit on Prestons that I, and maybe others, see as a feel good social experiment and not a safety edit.</p> <p>The law abiding drivers will obey the present 50 kph limit --the hoons will not take any notice of the proposed lower limit--they never will, and that is the danger, as expecting everyone to travel at 40kph will lull everyone- pedestrians-cyclists -school children drivers etc into a false sense of security so when frustration or the hoons break loose the above people will be in danger as they will have presumed they are safe to do what they are doing because there is plenty of time to get out of the way</p> <p>6/ I will try and attach the letter from the Council</p>		

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>7/ I am sure there are many other questions to ask as well?</p> <p>Final comment -probably. My last 3 submissions should have been only one but I was having trouble attaching a relevant letter. This was unsuccessful so i sent it by e mail-and will attempt to attach it to this submission.</p> <p>Okay Monday May 21st 2 oclock in the afternoon, i trundled down the 2 km of Te Korari Street at 40 kph. I saw one other vehicle otherwise the road was clear of all traffic. If you honestly expect drivers to obey this 40 kph imposed speed limit you have more faith in human nature than i have. It honestly felt just stupid driving at that speed on a totally clear well designed road with no apparent reason for the crazy speed limit. It would defy logic!</p> <p>However , I will concede, a lot of the side roads are narrow and a 40 kph limit would work but the very nature of these road and the vehicles parked on them just dictates you proceed at about 40 kph as that is the only speed that is safe. Just give everyone the benefit of the doubt that they are normally safe drivers who can make safety decisions based on the road or traffic conditions instead of imposing such a stupid blanket speed limit on Prestons</p> <p>I was consciously driving at 40 kph but registering all the frustration a lot of drivers would feel for a imposed speed limit with absolutely no justification for it given the extremely well designed road I was on and the low traffic volume normally experienced in Prestons.</p>		
16109	support the speed limit	I would welcome a reduction in the speed limit, as I regularly see drivers obviously travelling faster than the current limit. If the speed limit were to be dropped by 10 km/h, I would then hope that the aforementioned drivers would slow by 10 km/h or so, bringing them down from their current 60 km/h+ speeds.	Dave Hart	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16107	do not support the speed limit	<p>No cogent reason has been given for lowering the speed limit in Prestons but not in surrounding suburbs and subdivisions (ie. Prestons Park, Waitikiri, Redwood and Burwood).</p> <p>The consultation being carried out is inadequate as it contains no details at all of the costs or benefits of the proposal so it is impossible to comment on the costs and benefits in any meaningful way. Two sentences is not nearly enough information. It is not clear who the key stakeholder groups you have spoken to are or what they have actually said. The consultation document distributed to households in Prestons refers to "increasing traffic volumes" and "vulnerable road users". However, Prestons does not have "increasing traffic volumes" as the subdivision is now 99% finished and there are no more "vulnerable road users" than in the surrounding suburbs so these reasons in support of lowering the speed limit are baseless.</p> <p>Council has not done any analysis of the effect that lowering the speed limit would have on the road network outside Prestons. Marshland Road is already very heavily congested at peak times and has a steady flow of traffic outside peak time. If the Prestons' speed limit is lowered then traffic from the north part of Prestons heading south will be more likely to head out the northern entrance of the subdivision onto Styx Mill Road (80km/h) then onto Marshland Road (70km/h) to avoid driving south through the subdivision at 40km/h. This will increase congestion at the Styx Mill Rd/Marshland Rd intersection and on Marshland Rd north of Prestons Rd. The Styx Mill Rd/Marshland Rd intersection does not have traffic lights and is already very busy. Increasing traffic flow at this intersection would be dangerous as it is already difficult to turn onto Marshland Rd from there.</p> <p>A blanket speed limit of 40km/h would not be appropriate for all of Prestons as some roads are wider than others. It is unnecessary to lower the speed limit to 40km/h on the narrower roads as most drivers already drive slowly on these roads. A 40km/h limit would not be appropriate on Te Korari St which has wide lanes and plenty of room for cyclist and park cars. If the speed limit is lowered to 40km/h on other streets, Te Korari St should stay at 50km/h. It would be very annoying to have to drive along Te Korari at 40km/h when the road can clearly sustain much faster speeds without issue.</p> <p>There is no enforcement of speed limits in Prestons so there will be means to enforce a lower speed limit and many people will simply ignore it. Prestons has a major problem with burglaries and thefts which are occurring regularly in the area and are upsetting the residents. Council would be better to use its resources to put up cameras at the three entrances to Prestons and inside the subdivision. This would deter criminals from entering the area and would likely encourage safer driving.</p>	Jackie Frampton	
16093	support the speed limit	<p>The speed limit will be good but who will police it? With the speed limits around Preston's why is Lower Styx Road speed limit 80kmh ,We live next to Lower Styx Road and the speed of some cars is more than 80kmh? There is a lot of boy racers doing burn outs late at night on this road, Most weekends there is a near miss at the Lower Styx and Dunlops junction. Will the speed limit be reduced on this road even its around the junction? Thank you Nigel.</p>	nigel Oliver	
16088	do not support the speed limit	<p>I believe people already drive to conditions especially within subdivisions, so to spend money trying to tell people who already drive to conditions within subdivision may be a waste of ratepayer money when people already travel at 30km - 40km around the subdivisions.</p>	Debora Mora	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16086	support the speed limit	<p>This email is the NZ Transport Agency's formal response to the consultation request on Preston's subdivision from Christchurch City Council.</p> <p>We support the proposed 40km/h speed limits through the subdivision which reflects the intent of the Speed Management Guide, and the form and function of the road network as it has been described.</p> <p>The Agency looks forward to working with the Council to accelerate the implementation of the Speed Management Guide in the Canterbury Region, to address the high benefit opportunities for speed management as detailed in the Safer Journeys Risk Assessment Tool.</p>	Glenn Bunting	NZTA
16076	do not support the speed limit	<p>I am writing as I do not agree with needing to change the speed limit in Prestons subdivision. I think 50km/h is a normal speed limit for most streets in Christchurch. Our subdivision is no different from other streets people drive on everyday. All drivers should be equipped to observe their surroundings while driving and be prepared for all situations, dropping by 10km/h is not going to make any major difference, but just become a ticket revenue for policing, where their resources are so desperately needed elsewhere. All owners that live within the subdivision should be well aware of the school and slowing down as a high percentage have their own children attending. You can not reduce the speed limit for one subdivision in Christchurch and not follow through on doing it for all others. It causes confusion with drivers and is not necessary. The wastage in changing all signage is another cost that could be spent on maintaining the lake areas and walk ways which have been poorly maintained by the council. Over summer it was a high fire risk up near the Dunlop's road end where the weeds were so high. We seem to spend an excessive amount of money readjusting roading constantly and sadly making it more complicated and non road user friendly. Example access to BP Fuel down the road from Preston's. A nightmare to get in and out of now. I think we should be far more concerned about the quick completion of lights at the Marshlands Road and Spencerville Road as this is a death trap waiting to happen. This road has become much busier with owners coming in and out of Preston's. Please do not misunderstand me as I do not want to see anyone injured or sadly killed but I don't think Preston's is at any higher risk than many other streets in Christchurch. As we all know other streets with homes on them are far busier than our's and still remain at 50km/h.</p>	Joanne Field	
16075	support the speed limit		Aaron Fear-Ross	
16065	support the speed limit		Ghassaw Maghzal	
16064	support the speed limit	<p>Te Korari Street - keep at 50 as 40 is to slow might as well walk.</p> <p>Ok for the rest at 40 as the streets are quite narrow.</p>	R Edwards	
16063	support the		Amie Lines	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
	speed limit			
16062	support the speed limit		Rob Davidson	
16061	support the speed limit	<p>Very happy to see speed limit reduced.</p> <p>The speed of some vehicles on Te Rito Street is atrocious, and cars using it as a short cut from Marshland Rd via Lower Styx Rd to Burwood Hospital way show no respect for residents in terms of speed or presence of children.</p> <p>Narrowness of roads also causes problems if cars are parked on both sides of road. it only allows 1 vehicle to proceed through gap. Two vehicles oncoming to each other at speed meet reduced vision & indecision as to who gives way.</p> <p>A slower speed limit would definitely be beneficial in all cases for safety, noise reduction etc.</p> <p>Unsure of how limit will be enforced as currently there doesn't seem to be any deterrent to excessive speed.</p>	Mrs S Merry	
16060	support the speed limit		Bassam Maghzal	
16024	do not support the speed limit	<p>Within 1 km of our address, traffic speed limits are 40/50/60/70/80 kms / hr if the new speed limit comes into effect - Confusing and frustrating.</p> <p>We don't need yet another change.</p> <p>Money would be better spent educating parents and children in Prestons that roads are not playgrounds. Children are often seen playing on the side roads (including ours), often with no parents in sight.</p>	S P & K M Logan	
16022	support the speed limit	I feel that it is necessary to lower the speed as there is a lot of traffic on the road there so because of the 'day Care' because their cars are parked on the road and when one is trying to back out on to the road it can be very dangerous. Places like the 'Child Care' should have plenty of parking of their own on their properties	P M Griffin	
16021	do not support the speed limit		Dale Lilley	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16020	do not support the speed limit	<p>The current speed limit is perfectly fine. I have never had an issue, and the traffic seems to flow without any problems, despite the streets being too narrow.</p> <p>The increasing traffic volumes you mention must have been realised prior to the subdivision being built, after all it's what the Council approved at the time with the number of sections being sold. In other words, it was always going to ha[[en, so must have been taken into account.</p> <p>The reduction of speed around the schools etc. is fine, but reducing it to 40 kph is overkill - I usually go that fast on my bike!</p> <p>Reduction in noise & emissions is a nonsense, and is a poor excuse to use in justifying the reason for the 40 kph limit.</p> <p>50 kph is fine, it fits in with every other subdivision & should not be messed with</p>	Terry McIntyre	
16014	support the speed limit	<p>Thank you for lowering speeds in this residential neighborhood. Council needs to get drivers attention to slow down significantly. Coming from high speed roads signage alone is unlikely to be enough. Speed humps throughout the area may well be required.</p> <p>Speed humps at either end of the existing school zone on Te Korari Street are a minimum requirement. With Edukids just down the road near Raranga Street speed humps there may also be advised.</p> <p>We know that the police do not have the resources to patrol properly. We also know that too many drivers fail to slow down. Lowering the speed limit is a good step, but will not be adhered to simply by placing signage.</p>	Dirk De Lu	Spokes Canterbury
16013	do not support the speed limit	<p>I honestly think 50 km/hr is quite a safe speed for the area.</p> <p>I use the streets in this area a lot and I have never seen any incident where doing 50 km/hr posed a danger.</p> <p>I have noticed that residents are courteous and slow down where condition necessitates.</p> <p>I do support the limit being 40 km/hr on school zone</p>	Mrs Judith Gainsford	
16012	support the speed limit	<p>Most traffic travels to fast in this area</p>	David Buchanan & Aileen Spencer	
16011	support the speed limit	<p>Given the high proportion of powerful 4WD & SUV's in the subdivision who ignore the current 50 kms limit you will need to instigate random speed cameras in the initial stages of a reduction to 40 kms to ensure any meaningful adherence to this limit particularly on Te Korari & Te Rito Streets</p>	Robert Duckworth	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
16010	support the speed limit	<p>How will this be monitored?</p> <p>We do feel there is a need for this. For example, we were overtaken in the subdivision doing 50km/hr.</p> <p>Is this going to apply to all traffic including buses?</p> <p>Is this going to be applied to the southern end of the subdivision i.e. Prestons Park?</p>	Kathryn Nielsen	
16009	do not support the speed limit		Jill Baker	
16008	support the speed limit		Julian Berryman	
16006	do not support the speed limit	Marshlands Road and Lower Styx (is a dangerous Road should both be 70 km. Prestons Road and Sub-Division should be 50 km but school zone to remain at 40 km.	Angela Rewha	
15990	do not support the speed limit	<p>I do not see how lowering the speed limit will help. What evidence is there of this method working?</p> <p>What are the exact issues the Council is trying to solve?</p> <p>If the answer is speed all you are doing is penalising law abiding citizens. In my opinion the problem is boy racers - they do not care what the speed limit is they will still drive as fast as they like.</p> <p>40 km will increase frustration for residents who are already impacted by slow traffic at Marshlands Prestons intersections which is badly designed (no free left turn) and light phases that aren't long enough - hence cutting through NW & BP. THAT is a much bigger issue</p> <p>How is Prestons different to any other residential area?</p>	Adele Mitchell	
15989		<p>Why penalise the people that live in Prestons for a few stupid drivers that use the subdivision as a shortcut.</p> <p>Speed bumps on the major roads should be sufficient</p>	Mr & Mrs Holland	
15988	do not support the speed limit	<p>If the purpose is to lower the speed, enforcement is the answer. A 40 kph limit will only be obeyed by those residents (I am one) that currently abide by the rules. Te Korari Street from the Te Rito St roundabout is like a racetrack sometimes.</p> <p>I would support the new limit if some speed cameras were placed by the school AND between Te Rito St and Lower Styx on Te Korari</p>	F M Ash	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15978	support the speed limit	I live close to Lower Stix road. So in the mornings people use Te Korari Street to miss the traffic on Marshlands and shoot through to Preston's east side of Marshlands. A lot of these people go well excess of 60km/h which is scary when coming out of my drive. Not only that both our next door neighbors have young children, I'd hate to see a accident due to people speeding. Thanks Shaun	Shaun Allan	
15976	support the speed limit	Good to see the growing number of lower speed limits in residential areas. Suggest that the school zone remains as a trial 30km/h part-time limit, given the even greater safety benefits at this speed.	Glen Koorey	
15969	do not support the speed limit	I understand why we have the speed limit around the school but having it throughout the whole sub division is unnecessary. 40 km is too slow.	Anne Sanders	
15968	do not support the speed limit	The 50 km limit is fine. Stop bureaucratic interfering in something that is not broken!	Daniel Sanders	
15966	support the speed limit	Fully support this speed limit change, as roads narrow. Would like to see greater police enforcement of both current and proposed speed limits in Prestons, particularly around schools & side streets	Jason Walker	
15965	do not support the speed limit	Unless you are going to change all speed limits around the whole of NZ - why would you change the limit for one subdivision? I was the fourth resident living in the Prestons subdivision and have realey seen excessive speed. Leave it as 50. I would instead propose that you put white dividing lines in middle of road for the main streets, as many cars go on wrong side of road - thus being more danger	Chantal Uden	
15964	support the speed limit	My suggestion though would be to have either speed cameras installed or cameras in vans in the area to deter the cars that travel faster than the speed limit. Changing the speed limit is not going to make any difference unless you hit them in the pocket with speed fines. Not only on the main roads but the others as well. Cars on our road travel faster than 50 km/hr	Michelle McCulloch	
15962	support the speed limit	We are at the north end of Te Korari St and cars go extremely fast between Dunlops Rd and the roundabout so a lower speed limit is a necessity	Gerald & Rebecca Hack	
15961	support the speed limit	We support 40 km speed limits as described in proposal. There are young and old drivers who disregard the current 50km limit, treating the streets as a race track or some where they can test their rally skills. Many - most are local and have children - they're always in a hurry. Narrows in the streets doesn't help. Creating bottlenecks. SUV's rule here. The three cars onto Prestons at the lights causes driver frustration as well.	Michael Fransen	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15960	do not support the speed limit	<p>We believe the speed limit is fine as it is. To my knowledge there hasn't been any incidents or accidents.</p> <p>The problem is a couple of idiots that do exceed the existing speed limit.</p> <p>Possibly a better option could be to install one of those signs that show up the drivers speed, especially on Te Rito St. Maybe speed bumps in certain areas.</p>	Jenna Huxford	
15959	do not support the speed limit	<p>We totally OBJECT to the speed change. It is no different from any other urban area where 50km is appropriate.</p> <p>If there are concerns re > 50km speed on the long straights then speed bumps would suffice.</p> <p>The current variable school zone is adequate.</p>	Chris & Michelle Walker	
15958	support the speed limit	<p>We think it would be a good idea to change speed limit to 40 kmph in this area. Would make it safer and quieter for residents.</p> <p>I hope that if this is done that it is regularly policed. Otherwise it will be a waste of time and money carrying out this change.</p> <p>By bringing the speed limit back to 40 kmph most people will end up doing 50 kmph not 60 or 70 kmph as we sometimes get</p>	Pauline & Paul Bailey	
15957	support the speed limit		None None	Joyce Properties
15956	do not support the speed limit	<p>I have seen no evidence of excessive speed in sub division</p> <p>The cost of changing could be put to better use.</p> <p>The school area is already protected by existing laws regarding speed.</p>	Graham & Theresa Snook	
15955	support the speed limit	<p>Prestons subdivision has a lot of children in it, many of whom are often outside using the pavements & roads on scooters and bikes.</p> <p>Any reduction in the speed limit will improve safety and help keep the area a child friendly zone.</p> <p>I cannot see any benefit to keeping the limit at 50 kph.</p>	David Kelly	
15954	support the speed limit	Is this going if it gets in to be a permanent change or just until new motorway are finished	Murray & Anne-Marie Woldbore	
15953	support the speed limit	Please ensure that the 40km speed limit is enforced	Anne & John Delaney	
15952	do not support the	I think the Council showed gross ineptitude approving a plan for a subdivision that has such narrow streets. To have to admit after only a few years that you want the speed limit reduced seems to indicate that the street width was wrong. Already cars move in and out of parked cars in order to pass	Paul Kennedy	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
	speed limit			
15951	support the speed limit		Margaret Reid	
15950	support the speed limit	Safer for all concerned young & old as it is has become a thorough fare	Kent & Shirley Berryman	
15949	do not support the speed limit	Outside the school is ok for speed change but the remainder of the subdivision should stay the same. Possibly some more monitoring of speeds i.e. speed cameras	Brett & Maureen Shelley	
15948	do not support the speed limit	Seriously!! You approve a subdivision with standard 50 km/hr zones and now want to reduce it. You knew the vehicles movements expected. The Council need to relook at their approval process and road width requirements. Also, the traffic light sequence to get on to Prestons Road needs to increase. At most times a vichicle turning against traffic only 1 can enter. And two at most with the traffic. The 50 km zone on Prestons between Te Rito Street heading to Burwood hostpital needs to increase to 60 km/hr most traffic does already. Centre lines down main roads of Te Rito St and Te Korai St would greatly help, as many vehicles travel down the centre of the road. The Council has traffic reports for subdivision process, so expected vechicle volumes would have been known at approval stage	Alan Bagrie	
15947	support the speed limit	The speed limit is great news. Can I suggest the middle islands down Te Rito needed attention. Weeds etc Thank you	Pauline & Ken Grassam	
15946	support the speed limit	How do you intend to stop the traffic now using Prestons as a shortcut between Marshlands Rd - Lower Styx Rd to Prestons Rd. With the streets in Prestons been so narrow speed humps could be another way of slowing down the idiots.	Ashley Hammond	
15944	support the speed limit		Scott & Shelley Anderson	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15935	do not support the speed limit	<p>Ridiculous idea to have yet another speed zone in the area. Already a 50,60,70 and 80 km zone currently.</p> <p>The nature of the narrow streets already naturally reduces the speed in Prestons subdivision.</p> <p>Traffic volumes will be NO more than were expected when the subdivision was planned and design approved by council.</p> <p>Volume of traffic passing the school will be significantly less now than in its previous location. And significantly less than other schools on main roads. ie: Waitikiri School on Burwood Road, Heaton Intermediate etc...</p> <p>Prestons is NOT a suburb that you need to drive thur to get to another part of the city. Like St Albans, Riccarton, Merivale, Papanui. Our traffic volumes will be significantly less than other areas.</p> <p>My suggestion leave Prestons subdivision at 50km, make all of Prestons Road 50km, this will eliminate the need for so many speed zone signs in the area. If the number of signs is the concern for residents.</p> <p>If fumes and noisy cars are a concern for residents, not living on main streets, back sections and the double glazing in new homes helps reduce this.</p>	Niki De Pina	
15934	do not support the speed limit	<p>roads in the subdivision narrow so naturally reduces the speed limit in the area</p> <p>confusing already with 50,60,70 and 80 KM hr speed zones already in the area, should be working to reduce the number of limit changes not increasing by adding in a 40k zone</p>	Gary De Pina	
15931	support the speed limit	The streets are too narrow to support a higher speed limit than the newly proposed 40kmh.	Angela Drumm	
15922	support the speed limit	I feel that the intersection of marshland rd and lower Styx rd and Hawkins road needs to be updated to lights which will defer traffic from going through the subdivision if they are able to turn right out of lower Styx road towards the north.	Kahlia Sutherland	
15904	do not support the speed limit	Leave it at 50 please. The chopping and changing of speeds that you are plonking everywhere is frustrating and honestly you can't be a nanny to everyone. People need to use their brains most people would go slower where appropriate anyway. You can't fix stupid and that doesn't mean that everyone should b penalised! How about putting up actual street name signs in all of the streets around the city? There seems to be a large amount with no street names at all (or they are covered up by shrubbery) extremely frustrating! Lets see, what is the cost of removing all the current speed signs around the school and putting up new ones? Is it cost effective and shouldn't this have been sorted BEFORE the existing signs went up? REMEMBER THE RATE PAYERS ARE ON A BUDGET AND SO ARE YOU!!!	S Croft	
15876	support the speed limit		Tracy Stringer	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15875	support the speed limit	If the speed limit is to change ensure plenty of speed limit signs are posted because in the attached map with this flyer there are 4 different limits, and a change to 40 km/h would make 5 different limits in a small locality	P M Harrison	
15874	do not support the speed limit	Would rather these resources were spent adjusting or re-phasing the Marshland/Prestons traffic signals to allow more traffic through particularly during peak times (morning & evening)	Amanda Nolan	
15873		This would be an advantage for Prestons. People drive very fast throughout the subdivision and the concern is, there are so many children living here - and elderly. Wish something could be done about 'the boy racers', who have discovered the very long two main roads here. Speed bumps would be great!!	Deb Templeton	
15872	do not support the speed limit	This is a total waste of money & time. Nobody is going to take any notice of going down to 40 km/h from 50 km/h. Stop wasting council money on things that are not broken	Adrienne Hill	
15871	support the speed limit		Kevin Price	
15870		The reduce speed limit is a brilliant idea. We live @ [REDACTED] & we get cars racing in from Lower Styx Rd. It is only a matter of time before someone is killed - either a child or a cyclist or even the driver as they have been seen to lose control as they approach our corner doing speeds nearing 80kms. I think there should be speed humps along the length of Te Korari St & also Te Rito Street - this would eliminate much of the speeding & dangerous driving that we see on a daily basis	Kirsten Reuben	
15869	support the speed limit	No judder bars please	Mr/Ms Winstanley	
15854	support the speed limit	More than happy to support the change in speed limit. It is a shame though that the overall speed limit was not considered/consulted on before money was spent erecting school zone signage etc. Also would be good to know to whom we should report those who travel greater than the 40km/hr if the new speed limit is adopted (otherwise what is the point of having a limit).	Danielle Thompson	
15852	support the speed limit	Speed bumps would also be an asset to the area as well as 40k speed signs. Te Rito narrows after korowai intersection and so many people speed through this bit even though there are parked cars on the road and its narrower and alot of children are in this area so speed definitely needs to be reduced and i feel speed bumps would also work well!!	Roz Campbell	
15851	do not support the speed limit	I see no reason whatsoever to reduce the speed limit at Prestons, I am not aware of any vehicle emissions or noise. I also have issue that this is the first the residents of Prestons have heard about this proposal, sounds like fait accompli to me. For what it is worth, I totally disagree with this proposal.	Mary Boddy	
15842	support the		Logan Harris	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
	speed limit			
15841	do not support the speed limit	<p>I wish to object to this proposal for the following reasons -</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This is just another suburb in ChCh and doesn't need special treatment. There is nothing here that isn't in any other suburb to warrant a speed restriction. What next? Will all suburban streets have a 40 kp/h restriction? 2. People already drive slow enough around here as it is without encouraging more slow pokes. 3. This sort of thing can lead to motorist frustration, road rage, overtaking on suburban streets which ironically creates a more dangerous environment. <p>Increasing traffic volumes are a fact of life in a growing city and as for "vulnerable road users", people/motorists just have to get used to driving in this area/in these conditions as they would anywhere else. This is not downtown New York!</p>	Matthew Swain	
15839	do not support the speed limit	<p>I consider this should have been put in place when Prestons was being built.</p> <p>I don't consider it any different from other suburbs.</p> <p>40k around schools & 50 elsewhere is perfectly fine.</p> <p>Leave as is.</p>	H Bolch	
15838	support the speed limit	<p>Great initiative, thank you. There's a lot of traffic on our street (Te Rito) with drivers currently doing above 50!</p> <p>I wish the city also did something about old cars with noisy exhausts!!</p>	Art Martinson	
15837	support the speed limit		Young Sook Kim	
15836	do not support the speed limit	<p>Is any statistics are available to justify and already approved speed limit then that statistic needs to be applied to the to the ratio of children being run over by reversing traffic out of driveways.</p> <p>e.g. Are there any death reductions by reducing the speed 10km from 50</p> <p>Are there any known vehicle accidents in Prestons, where speed 50km or less is the cause</p> <p>Is there a reduced noise reduction</p> <p>The list goes on. But in short. No measurable improvement in traffic suffers (?) by reducing speed limits</p>	Daniel Smith	
15835	do not support the speed limit		Terry O'Reilly	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15834	support the speed limit	This can't come soon enough - absolutely sick of speeding drivers down Te Rito Street. Also think more. Speed Zone will be required! - They should be every km or so on the Main Roads e.g.: Te Rito & Te Korari St Also why is there so many variances of speed in the local area - e.g.: Prestons 50 & 60 km (should all be 50km) up to Marshlands Road!!!	Anne & Kevin O'Sullivan	
15833	do not support the speed limit	Put is sped humps?	John Calverley	
15832	support the speed limit	This is a great proposal & our family of 4 support this reduction. The streets are too narrow for any faster	Demelza Pearey	
15831	support the speed limit		Jill & Bruce Lamb	
15830	support the speed limit		Brent & Sue Cook	
15829	support the speed limit	It appears that some of the streets are slightly narrower than some in other sub-division. if this is true, a slower speed is even more important for the safety of residents	Toni & David Dearsley	
15828	support the speed limit	Totally agree - esp down Te Korari St and Te Rito St	Paul Webster	
15827	support the speed limit		L & M Rennell	
15826	do not support the speed limit	We have not found the speed of 50km/h a problem in our neighbourhood. I think SPEED BUMPS would be appropriate in certain areas of our neighbourhood. (the main through streets perhaps). Thank you for your consideration	Philippa Brown	
15825	support the speed limit	It would be safer for both drivers and pedestrians. People would feel safer when crossing near corners. Living in Prestons is more pleasant as people are not 'hooning' around. Prestons is attractive - a safer haven to return to after being among traffic on motorways	J & R Love	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15824	support the speed limit	Who is going to police the new 40k limit, as they drive well over the 50k now and nothing is done. Te Rito Street is used as a racetrack and a short cut to Spenserville & Brooklands	Roger Stare	
15808	support the speed limit		Stephen Cruttwell	Salamander Limited
15801	do not support the speed limit	I live in the Prestons subdivision and I have no problem with the current speed provided it is enforced. I suggest the erection of signs at all entrances/exits to the subdivision simply saying "50 k/h SPEED STRICTLY ENFORCED" would be more beneficial as my thought is if you put a 40 k/h limit the speedsters will still speed and the speed limit will still need to be enforced. Why should you penalise those that stick to the speed by making them travel slower. I thought you would have learnt from the disastrous 30 k/h limit in the central city which encompasses some of the one way system and now many people don't want to go anywhere near the CBD. Further more the new roading in the CBD is diabolical not to mention the cycle ways when there are few cyclist to use them.	Ken Cowles	
15799	support the speed limit	The proposed change is an excellent suggestion. Prestons is a built up area with many young children walking or using scooters. There are also many dogs being exercised. Most roads are quite narrow with very few off street parking bays. It is quite common to see cars parked on both sides of the road. This reduces the free road width from two, to one lane; and also greatly restricts a driver's view of oncoming cyclists or children crossing the road or chasing a ball. We have already imposed a 40km/h speed limit on our own driving in this area; we believe this is the maximum safe speed	Douglas & Christine MACKINTOSH	
15794	support the speed limit	Our household thinks that the proposed speed limit is a great idea, particularly with many homes in Prestons having young children (ourselves included). We hope the proposed speed limit is approved.	Sean Rees	
15793	do not support the speed limit	We have just purchased a house in Prestons and strongly object to a proposed 40km limit in the area. We can see no good reason to impose this limit, only to frustrate residents and visitors, this will have a negative effect on all. The 50km limit is adequate, with the school having speed limitations at appropriate times. The council need to more proactive in other areas with the third world roads in Christchurch and stop trying to wrap the public in cotton wool.	Ross Williamson	
15785	support the speed limit	Preston's road need to be 50km all the way to marshland road. Now the the new world and other shops have open and that you can turn right on to prestons rd it needs to be 50km. I also feel that Prestons rd has to many speed limit 50km 60 km 80km 50km. I feel that 2 speed limits is enough i get confused sometimes as to what the speed limit is. I feel if you are going to make preston subdivision 40km as so many people drive very fast in here. That as soon as you laev it should be 50km on preston not 50km. Thanks	Barbara Davern	
15782	do not support the speed limit	We think it is a pointless exercise to lower the speed limit in the subdivision, who is going to enforce it? Is this just so that we can have every conceivable speed limit in this area? We already have 50k, 60k, 70k and 80K, now 40K as well?! Really? It is not going to stop the crime in the area or the boy racers at night. We would much prefer resources to be put into crime prevention, this area is plagued by thefts, vehicles are broken into on a regular basis and tools stolen.	Annie & Jason Sail	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15780	support the speed limit	This speed limit will be great for the kids in the area. A more long term solution may be prudent that makes the cars have to slow down, like traffic calmers, or speed humps, especially on the long straight roads where cars can be seen travelling at 70-80kph, obviously this is just a select number of drivers, but a nuisance nevertheless. A 40 limit might at least bring the general limit down to 50...which would be progress.	Carolyn Davies	
15779	support the speed limit	Limits are pointless unless they are enforced. The racers who are flaunting the existing 50kph will continue to flaunt the 40 limit as they always have. When considering the costs of policing the new limits, you should also consider the more permanent one off cost of traffic calmers such as speed bumps or other additions to force traffic to slow down. combined with the new 40kph limit, this would help keep our children safe.	Alun Davies	Alun Davies
15778	do not support the speed limit	I don't see the difference in our subdivision compared to other suburbs in Christchurch.	Sarah Giles	
15777	do not support the speed limit	<p>I strongly disagree with this proposal for the reasons below;</p> <p>I consider that the current speed limit, with restrictions around Marshland school, is safe and totally adequate and fit for purpose for the Prestons Road network.</p> <p>I believe that those people who currently break the speed limit now, will simply continue to do so in the future, regardless of any new speed restrictions.</p> <p>It is enforcement of the current limit, not a reduction that is required. Furthermore, I feel that law abiding drivers will simply be penalised because of the those who think nothing of breaking the law and with no thought of the consequences of doing so.</p> <p>For those of us that live in the northern area of Prestons, travelling down the length of either Te Rito St or Te Korari St, would be an unnecessary long and laborious experience at 40km/ph.</p> <p>I and many others are forced to take this route as It is simply not safe to exit via Lower Styx road onto Hawkins or north onto Marshland Roads due to the appallingly dangerous Lower Styx, Hawkins & Marshland road intersection (Perhaps the council should be expending more time working with the appropriate agencies to expedite a solution to this potential death trap).</p> <p>As for congestion, I would think that a lower limit would promote congestion, not reduce it, especially with the traffic signal pacing as it is now.</p> <p>I would also be interested to find out why Prestons Sun-Division has been singled out for this reduction and not Prestons Park or for that matter, the rest of Christchurch.</p> <p>Again, If speed is the issue, then surely, it's enforcement that is required not a reduction of the limit.</p> <p>I also consider that the reasons for this proposed reduction are, as per the letter that I received, nonsensical and vague at best. As a rate payer for many decades, I would urge the council to spend my hard earned money on something more worthwhile. (If the council deems this as being truly worthwhile, then one may well wonder why it is not proposed for all of Christchurch).</p> <p>Thank you for the opportunity to have my say.</p>	Russ Main	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>Kind regards,</p> <p>Russ Main</p>		
15771	do not support the speed limit	<p>The problem is actually ENFORCEMENT of the current speed limit of 50kph.</p> <p>Suggest leave the speed as it is but enforce the 50kph speed limit.</p> <p>Also reduce some of the foliage of the trees,shrubs and flax's at by some of the footpath crossings. When walking children to school some places very restricted to see traffic moving down Te Korari and Te Rito Streets.</p>	Graeme KENNEDY	n/a
15769	support the speed limit	<p>I am ok with the speed limit change but I don't think it will make much difference for those who are driving through the subdivision to by-pass Marshland Road. At present 50kms is not policed and 40kms won't be either but at least if the shoe subdivision is at 40kms, those of us who will abide by the law will know where we are at - difficult at the moment as we are surrounded by five different speed limits - from 80-40kms. The proposed speed limit will be safer for streets like Te Rito where it is very tight with cars parked on both sides. Some drivers do not display defensive driving at all along that road.</p>	Vicki Thomson	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15761	support the speed limit	We support the speed limit change to 40 km/h in the subdivision for the following reasons. Most vehicles regularly speed through the subdivision, with trades being the worst offenders. The narrowness of the streets along with kerbside parked vehicles allows one vehicle through at times, so driver courtesy has to be exercised (if there is such a thing). I don't know how 40 km/h would be enforced as there seems to be little regard to speed now, perhaps speed humps would be a suggestion. We would also support a 50 km/h NOT 60 km/h on Prestons road between Te Rito Street and Marshlands Road. Traffic management and road design in that area has been very poorly thought out. With two set of lights, bus stops, Marshlands School and entry and exits to the NW Supermarket and BP Service Station does not support does 50 km/h let alone 60 km/h. It is a dangerous area to navigate. The New World supermarket car park has now become a shortcut from Prestons Road through to Marshlands Road to avoid the lights, a shortcut was previously through the Service Station. We would also recommend a speed limit change on Lower Styx Road at the subdivision entrance to Marshlands Road from 80 km/h to 60 km/h. It is a very dangerous corner and turn off.	Verona Harris	Verona Harris
15740	support the speed limit		Anatoly Khokhlov	
15739	support the speed limit	To many people speeding doe Te Korari St and Te Rito be a good idea to have a speed camera, or even more traffic cops around the area. Having so many young children in the area its only a matter of time before something tragic happens	Marcus Irvine	
15738		Excellent news - sooner the better	Norman & Lorna Trimble	
15737	support the speed limit		Philip John Wenn	
15736	support the speed limit	Yes we support this change as we have many young children going to the skate park & generally biking/scooting around the area	Justine Devos	
15735	support the speed limit	We strongly support this proposal. We live on [REDACTED] and constantly see cars speeding along here. I have also noted that people use Te Korari & Te Rito Streets to bypass Prestons/Marshland Road intersection & these cars often speed - slowing the speed limit may help deter these cars & limit traffic to sub-division residents only	Nicola Neville	
15734	do not support the speed limit		Barbara Ann Kirk	
15733	support the speed limit		Ian & Janice Kirkpatrick	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15732	support the speed limit		K & L Tkatchenko	
15731	support the speed limit		Hayden & Kylie McMenamin	
15721	support the speed limit	I think the proposed speed limit would make a lot of sense as there is a primary school and also two pre schools within the subdivision, also a number of streets are short and narrow, totally on board for reduction of speed limit.	Maxine Wekepiri	
15720	do not support the speed limit	<p>Changing the speed limit will not change the driving habits of those who presently speed and it will penalise those you could safely drive at 50km at times during the 24hr day when the road is clear and free of pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>The narrowness of the main two roads, especially when cars are parked both sides are a sufficient deterrent to slow down law abiding and socially responsible drivers. The side roads already act as a deterrent.</p> <p>More effort should be put in by the Police to catch those who speed.</p>	John Gamblin	
15717	support the speed limit	<p>I support the new proposed speed limit. Perhaps 30km on some of the smaller roads inside the subdivision is also appropriate.</p> <p>I also believe you should update the speed limit to 50km from the BP heading East towards Prestons. Now with the supermarket complex in place i think 60km is to fast here.</p>	Steven Hartley	
15716	support the speed limit		malcolm walkingshaw	
15715	do not support the speed limit	It won't stop the real issue which is people who are driving 60 - 70km per hour through the subdivision	Stephanie Densem	
15713	support the speed limit	We need to slow down traffic to keep kids around marshland school safe.	Terri Collier	
15712	support the speed limit	I would support reducing the speed limit on lower Styx road as well. The intersection to te korari street is a nightmare and an accident just waiting to happen.	Mark Willard	
15710	support the	I don't believe that this will slow the traffic down though there needs to be at least 3 speed calmers on Te Korari st and a couple on Te rito. One Evening I was over taken while do 50 on Te korari by someone using it us a short cut from spencerville	Sue Patrick	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
	speed limit			
15701	support the speed limit		Heather Jones	
15686	do not support the speed limit	The limit should stay at 50km/hr, past the school obviously 40kph, those who speed above 50km/h at the moment will continue to do so if the limit is reduced to 40km/h so it will make no sense changing it unless it is policed somehow in its present state and in the future if it is changed .	Ian Smallman	
15683	support the speed limit	The current speed limit of 50km/h is working well. I have two young daughters that scooter and bike down to the park while I walk. I have never felt unsafe or concerned for my daughters' safety by cars being driven too fast. If the speed limit is lowered, it will just take longer to drive home with no actual benefit to other road users. We've also never had an animal hit by a car in the subdivision.	Ali Wickham	
15680	support the speed limit		Kelly Smith	
15677	do not support the speed limit		Bryce Thomson	
15676	support the speed limit	Sooner the better.	Kevin Osullivan	
15674	support the speed limit	40 kph is an appropriate speed limit considering the narrow width of the subdivision's road network	David Winton	
15667	support the speed limit	good for the community. Most of the roads in Prestons are narrow.	ling liang	
15666	do not support the speed limit	Why would you put it down to 40km! That's so slow and ridiculous. 50km is slow enough. Don't ruin it for everyone. Just because parents worry about their idiot children running around out there.	Megan Pateman	
15665	support the speed limit	We are currently building in Prestons Park & would like to see the same restrictions proposed for that sub division also please	Michelle & Rik Rogers	
15664	do not support		Holly Adams	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
	the speed limit			
15663	do not support the speed limit	Keep it the same as every other area.	Nicola Smith	
15662	support the speed limit		Paula Revell	
15661	support the speed limit	I support the speed limit reduction as I am often shocked by the speeds with which local residents and visitors drive along Te Rito St and Te Korari St, and again on skinny streets, with limited visibility, especially near the newly opened flax cafe and the playground on the corner of Raranga Street.	Jan Ng	
15660	support the speed limit		Aaron Watson	
15659	do not support the speed limit	<p>First of all let's start with the obvious. People drive above the speed limit all the time on main roads where there is a far higher chance of getting caught than driving in a subdivision that very rarely if ever has traffic police roaming (for obvious reasons). Can you really be naive enough to believe people would stick to 40km/h? Probably should put that on a Tui billboard.</p> <p>I also think it would be incredibly stupid to remove the School Zone 40km/h zone lights as people actually do pay attention to these signs for good reason. I believe you would be doing a huge disservice by removing these.</p> <p>I am a resident in Prestons and I see people speed in here daily and I'm not talking your standard 10 km/h over, a lot of people zoom through here at 70-80km/h especially on the two main drags.</p> <p>The biggest problem is Prestons and in all of NZ for that matter is the poor driving skills of many people. Again, daily I see people pull out of side streets without ever looking for oncoming traffic which is astounding, many people don't indicate and probably the most dangerous of all people do not slow down when their field of view is compromised by cars parked on one or both sides of the road (especially around corners). Semi regularly I have to make evasive maneuvers on the corner on Te Rito street in between Te Rau A Kaka Street and Hineaari Street. There are cars regularly parked on both sides of the road creating blind spots but people will still fly around these corners, 40km/h would still be too fast. The most frustrating and downright stupid piece of driving that occurs on a daily basis is people refusing to enter the flush median on Prestons Road turning right into Te Rito Street. Every day you will get someone who suddenly enters the flush median JUST before the right turning bay at the lights. It's probably one of the largest Flush Medians in Christchurch and if people used it correctly it would free up A LOT of congestion on Prestons Road during busy periods. Now when I enter the nice, empty flush median early I can't speed up to the 60km/h speed limit because I always have to be prepared for some donkey to pull out at the last second.</p> <p>The only thing that will make our roads safer is a higher standard of driving and that won't be happening until we raise the requirements for getting a license to that of Germany or Finland and even then it will take a generation before we see the results. We'll probably be saved by automated vehicles before this happens.</p>	David Pettit	David Pettit

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
		<p>There are far more pressing issues in Prestons like the incredibly dangerous intersection on Lower Styx road.</p> <p>No one will obey a 40km/h speed limit and certainly no one will be here to police it. Lets get real guys.</p>		
15658	do not support the speed limit	<p>We believe this proposal is unwarranted. We do not believe there are increasing travel volumes within the subdivision. There are never large amounts of traffic at any time of the day or night with the exception at peak time in the morning trying to turn right onto Preston's Road - the problem being the traffic volume on Preston's Road - not the subdivision. Who are the vulnerable road users and who are the stake holders? Children travelling to and from school on scooters are on the footpath and there are cycle lanes on the main routes. The only time a speed limit is needed is around the school opening and closing times. They have road patrols on duty at both times. If we need a 40 km limit so does everywhere else. Preston's Park has children needing to cross Preston's Road, Burwood Road has children from Waitakiri school on a very busy route, Tumara Park has roundabouts and lots of traffic on Rothesay Road. St Francis of Assisi and Mairehau primary are on majorly busy Innes road, Queenspark and Parkview schools are on or using busy Queenspark drive - we could go on and on. The question is - why us? Have there been any accidents reported in Prestons? The most dangerous area is crossing from Hawkins Road across Marshland Road to Lower Styx Road and that is in urgent need of traffic lights. Also how on earth are you going to make people obey a 40 km limit. Do you think the police are going to patrol the speed limit in the subdivision? Most weekdays there are very few vehicles in the subdivision apart from peak times at the school and even the weekend is not particularly busy. There are far more important things needing the council's attention than this! Also you need to provide clearer information about vehicle numbers, vulnerable people and stake holders so we can try understand why this issue has even been brought up at Council.</p>	Lynne Pettit	homeowner in prestons

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15656	do not support the speed limit	Can understand some of the sentiment regarding this change and to a degree feel this is in part a result of the increasing determination of developers to reduce the size of streets. If reducing the speed limit as a result is a consideration, then this needs to be undertaken and advertised when subdivisions are put up for sale. Regardless i would not be entirely against a reduction if the main thoroughfares were to remain at 50km/hr. and all the smaller side streets were reduced to 40km/hr. This would mean that Te Rito Street and Te Korari Street would remain at 50km/hr. A problem with no easy answer is also the early morning traffic taking a shortcut out of Marshlands Road and through Te Rito to Prestons Road. Again, its a problem but with no easy solution, although if there was a solution (not speed reduction) it would reduce traffic volume.	Ross Aitken	NA
15653	support the speed limit		Karen Isaacs	Karen Isaacs
15652	support the speed limit	<p>It is not possible to simply reduce the speed limit to 40kmh and expect road users to stick to that speed limit when the road geometry supports (there are a number of long straight road lengths) much higher operating speeds.</p> <p>The reduction in speed limit can only be achieved by the addition of speed management measures which reduce the comfortable operating speed to 40kmh.</p> <p>This can include speed tables at the priority cross junctions CCC has allowed in the roading layout & which ideally should not exist & the addition of gentle speed humps at appropriate spacings, or other traffic management measures.</p> <p>If CCC is going to adopt 40kmh speed limits on local streets then it ideally should change its infrastructure standard to ensure they are built to that operating speed in the first instance.</p>	David Robinson	
15619	do not support the speed limit	<p>Our first option would be to not change the current speed limits within the subdivision. If you drive through some of the narrower roads, you already have to reduce your speed due to cars parked on the roads. It's self regulating.</p> <p>Second option would be to change the speed limit to 40km/hr, with the exception of the two main roads - Te Korari and Te Rito Streets. This would reduce the speed where there are more "vulnerable roads users" in the area, but still keep the flow of traffic moving at a reasonable rate down the main streets.</p> <p>I don't believe any other new subdivision in Christchurch has reduced their speed down to 40km/hr and it should be consistent.</p>	Lloyds Scully	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15605	support the speed limit	<p>My wife and I completely support this reduction in speed limit. As residents of Prestons, we often see motorists using the area as a thoroughfare between Prestons and Lower Styx Road. Often the types doing this are driving at completely unreasonable speeds (and noise levels). We have one school, two daycares and a playground within the community, so plenty of children walking/cycling around streets that in our view do not have the design to support a 50km speed limit. The change to reduce the speed limit to 40kmh makes complete sense.</p> <p>As an aside, the statements in the poll above were a little ambiguous - I'm assuming the option "I/We support the speed limit" means we support the proposed speed limit of 40kmh and not the current speed limit of 50kmh?</p> <p>Thanks,</p> <p>Hayden Bakkum</p>	Hayden Bakkum	
15604	support the speed limit	Excellent proposal and fully support this change, just need to police it.	Jayden Moore	
15599	do not support the speed limit	I'd like to know the estakeholders who support this proposal as I believe none of them are residents of our subdivision. This proposal is clearly a waste of time and will do nothing to slow down those who choose to speed. Next proposal I am now expecting from council is judder bars, which will not receive my support either	Chris Andis	C Lund & Son Ltd
15598	do not support the speed limit	With the increasing traffic in our area maybe you should sort out lights at lower stix road and change the timing on the lights at Marshlands and Preston's Rd. Focus on things that need to be done instead of changing things that dont need to be changed!!	Antonia Graham	
15595	do not support the speed limit	There really isn't enough traffic in our suburb to justify imposing this speed limit. At Prestons school, there is a slow limit for obvious reasons, however imposing a 40kph limit is excessive. Have there been a sting of high speed accidents?	Linell Lottering	

Submission ID	I / We	Comments - please be as specific as possible to help us understand your views	Name	Name of organisation
15591	support the speed limit	<p>We have a large number of families and elderly within this subdivision and this would help encourage them to walk and cycle safely to local amenities and therefore enhance the the feeling of a safe community.</p> <p>The extra time spent travelling by vehicle with the reduced speed limit would only add seconds to a journey so there would be almost no impact on motorists.</p> <p>It would seem to be sensible to extend the speed limit through to the neighbouring Prestons Park for continuity, it would also save confusion as people travel across Prestons Road.</p> <p>A great idea.</p>	Warren Yardley	
	93			
	4			
	71			