

Submission ID	Kilmore street Changes	Cranmer Square changes	Chester Street West changes	Do you have any comments?	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)
17585	support the change	support the change	support the change		Mark Davidson	
17465	support the change	support the change	support the change	These changes will provide a significant improvement to the safety of our students, staff and parents. They will also provide parking options for families with children of an age whom cannot just be dropped at the gate, such as those in the Pre-School. It would be very unusual for any early childhood facility to have no parking for parents - which is our current situation when considering the congestion in our area with school parents also using the spaces. Thank you for this work, we very much appreciate it and sincerely hope you can get it over the line. It will be of benefit for local residents who will now have parking options for visitors.	Scott Thelning	The Cathedral Grammar School
17452		support the change	do not support the change	<p>I am a director of Cranmer Court Ltd that owns the flats at 24, 26, 28 Chester St West and 19 Cranmer Square. My focus is on the tenants of the properties and their needs re parking. The council is trying to make inner city living attractive, so it is important that any changes make this type of living a positive choice.</p> <p>Currently, there is unrestricted parking in front of the flats. My submission is to keep this unrestricted.</p> <p>With the current situation, the tenants have some ability to park in front of the flats all day as they should. If you add restrictions, they will be breaking the new rules between 8-9.30pm and 2-4pm, which is ridiculous.</p> <p>Are they supposed to move their cars between these times? Move them where??</p> <p>I would also experience increased problems. I have gardeners, builders, scaffolders, tree pruners, washing machine repairers etc. who start their day at 7.30-8.00am. If there was a park in front of the flats at this time, they would also be breaking the new rules.</p> <p>Admittedly, it is hard to beat the all-day parkers who take advantage of the no restrictions area in front of the flats making it hard for the tenants, but the P3 will make it even worse! It is already difficult to rent the flats (they are not expensive) - please do not make it HARDER!</p> <p>Please be aware that Cathedral Grammar is only interested in themselves. They do not give a toss about others living in the area. I know that others neighbouring residents feel bullied by the school. My family has owned the flats for 40 years or more and we have seen the schools influence grow steadily.</p> <p>I have also seen parents park in front of the flats and on the other side of the road and be gone for 20 minutes or more. They do not care about the current P5 anyway.</p> <p>Perhaps you should also consider changing the all-day parking on the east side of Cranmer Square (right up against the park) to P120 as well as the side next to the school. It is ridiculous that this is all-day parking given that it is in the city centre. I can't even visit a tenant at the moment without parking illegally. I might stand a chance, if this parking was limited. At the moment, these perpendicular parks are already full by 7.45am.</p> <p>In summary, I would like/strongly advise that the unrestricted parking remain unchanged in front of our address at 24-28 Chester St West and 19 Cranmer Sq, (all 20 metres of it hardly a huge amount), plus the perpendicular parks over the road on the east side of Cranmer Sq (but on the west side of the street) be reduced to P120 (maybe until 5.00pm - so that when the Town Hall is finished, people can park there for a concert without worrying.)</p> <p>OUR TENANTS NEED UNRESTRICTED PARKING OPTIONS!</p> <p>PLEASE, PLEASE consider other people living in the area.</p>	Joanna Beadle	
17394	support the change	support the change	support the change		John Luhrs	
17348	support the change	support the change	support the change	I do support the 120min parks however these are used a lot by people driving to work. There needs to be more car parking buildings or better cheaper public transport options.	Josef Gattsche	
17347	support the change	support the change	do not support the change	<p>3P would be far too short especially if parents are taking their children into the junior school.</p> <p>I support the Kea crossing proposal though.</p>	Vicki Shaw	

Submission ID	Kilmore street Changes	Cranmer Square changes	Chester Street West changes	Do you have any comments?	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)
17343	support the change	support the change	support the change	<p>As a parent of children who attend the Cathedral Grammar School, I support this proposal but only if it is adopted in its entirety. The safety of the children is my paramount concern. The adoption of a kea crossing and the associated parking changes will work well together, but not separately.</p> <p>As a separate issue, it is currently difficult to park near the school to attend a meeting or a function due to people who take the opportunity to park all day. The proposed changes to Kilmore Street and Cranmer Square will make it much fairer and easier for all who wish to use the amenities in the area.</p>	Amelia Simpson	
17311	support the change	support the change	support the change	I feel very strongly that Cathedral Grammar School needs a more easily identified and safer crossing place for children as they cross the road and move between the two sides of the school. The school has over 200 primary school aged children and a Kea Crossing will go a long way towards improving safety for the school children. I fully support the council proposal to install a Kea crossing on Chester Street West.	juliet gray	
17292	support the change	support the change	support the change	<p>I see that Cathedral Grammar School are wanting a "kea crossing" as "staff and parents have raised concerns about the safety of students using Chester Street West during drop off and pick up times".</p> <p>Firstly, I am pleased to have been consulted, it is not the School's usual M O regardless of their marketing blurb.</p> <p>Secondly, I have to ask why they should be worried about their picking up and dropping off.</p> <p>It seems the parents angle park over the footpath and garden beds (one of which has been tar sealed over after the the azaleas succumbed), they park two or three cars deep and have a morning "Liquid Velvet" coffee cart operating...which renders the P5 (now to be P3) parking pointless anyway.</p> <p>An email to all parents to quit these naughty habits and to respect all of the children and not just the ones in their own vehicle would go a long way to remedy the problem that they have created yet seem powerless to fix.</p> <p>If they are so concerned about their driving they should take lessons and, of course, pay for this consultation and any works undertaken to mitigate the hazard that they have identified themselves to be.</p> <p>I would be keen to see additional signs which might read "No parking in residents driveways....they don't park in yours" . Just kidding, this lot is going to cost enough as is!!</p> <p>Basically, if they think they are SO bad then let them have their crossing and new parking times.</p> <p>I seem to recall that the School used to have a crossing in that area.</p>	Vanessa Merritt	
17287	support the change	support the change	support the change		Natalie Sneddon	
17264	support the change	support the change	support the change	Inner city schools are a critical part of the re-establishment of the City's CBD. The traffic risk to children at Cathedral Grammar is unnecessary and I support the changes as they mitigate part of this risk.	Stuart Foote	
17254	support the change	support the change	support the change	My support for the proposed changes is for it in its entirety.	James Pearse	
17253	support the change	support the change	support the change	Many Thanks - a very positive step	Virinia Luhrs	
17252	support the change	support the change	support the change		Ben Vink	
17249	support the change				Wendy Carpenter	
17237	support the change	support the change	do not support the change		Jullianne Hwang	
17228	support the change	support the change	support the change		Sophie Toutain	
17227	support the change	support the change	support the change		Jude Gray	

Submission ID	Kilmore street Changes	Cranmer Square changes	Chester Street West changes	Do you have any comments?	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)
17224	support the change	support the change	support the change	There should be more 120 min spaces in Cranmer Sq. . 3 min for drop off is OK. However when picking up children more parking time and space is needed (pick up arrival time cannot be accurately planned, then teachers to be seen, children in different classes, weather etc.) so the 120 min. places are very important (they will create more available parks). To the many regular park, gardens and museum users like ourselves, the 120 min spaces will be very very welcome - the parking area near the Botanic gardens kiosk is at capacity.	Robert Black Black	
17213	support the change	support the change	support the change		Tara Wingfield	
17212	support the change	support the change	support the change		Melanie Crase	The Cathedral Grammar School
17161	support the change	support the change	support the change	Yes agree with all the proposed changes to parking etc in that area	Andrew McFarlane	Fairfax Media
17160	do not support the change	support the change	support the change	I think some 24 hours free parkings should be reserved in this area as for those who visit family or friends and require a longer stay should have a place to park their vehicles FREE during their visit. Also, it always comes handy if there are some free 24 hours parking available in busy inner city area, we all have experienced parking over time, the last thing we want is a parking ticket after we run into an unexpected situation when longer than 2 hours of parking needed.	Michelle Feng	
17159	support the change	support the change	support the change	As a parent whose children go to the school, I wholeheartedly agree with the proposal to be accepted in entirety as per Mr Thelning's letter. The propose changes would benefit all concerned in and out of school hours and make the area safer all around.	Urszula Bedggood	
17158	support the change	support the change	support the change	It seems unfair that the parking area around Cranmer Square is not regulated for time and money currently when the majority of the rest of the central city is tightly regulated. People starting work early and bagging those parks are getting a unfair subsidy over those who come later and those who park elsewhere in the city.	Rhonda Mitchell	
17157	support the change	support the change	support the change		Cameron Dellar	
17156	support the change	support the change	support the change	Our son goes to the preschool at the Cathedral Grammar. We agree with what Scott is proposing as it is always difficult to find a parking space when we try to visit our son during the schooling hours.	Weila Li	
17154	support the change	support the change	support the change	The introduction of a kea crossing and associated parking changes will significantly improve the safety for children. We note however that changing the parking from P5 to P3 may not allow sufficient time for parents with very young children to deliver their child to either the preschool or junior school classrooms.	Graeme Rothera	
17153	support the change	support the change	support the change		Sara Hearne	
17150	support the change	support the change	support the change	My child is at Cathedral Grammar and the parking/crossing situation is very poor, and can be dangerous. Our family also frequently visit the museum, art gallery and the gardens, so more access to 2 hour parking within the area would be a huge benefit.	tessa Kain	
17149	support the change	support the change	support the change		William Howard	
17148	support the change	support the change	support the change		Ineke Fergusson	
17147	support the change	support the change	do not support the change	I think it should be kept at P5.	Cara Burford	
17144	support the change	support the change	support the change		Ian Stevenson	
17143	support the change	support the change	support the change		Caroline Marsh	
17142	support the change	support the change	support the change	Fantastic idea as a mother who finds it difficult to find parking during the day for school events or even to, simply walk into town I love the idea of being able to find a 120 minute park during the day .	Libby Avery	
17141	support the change	support the change	support the change		Leigh Greening	
17140	support the change	support the change	support the change	I support the school submission, in particularly the requirement for all proposed changes to be implemented simultaneously.	Brendan Anstiss	
17139	support the change	support the change	support the change		Diana Wylie	
17138	support the change	support the change	support the change	About time, particularly the parking on Cranmer square as it's practically impossible to find a car park here after 08:00 am.	Chris Barlow	

Submission ID	Kilmore street Changes	Cranmer Square changes	Chester Street West changes	Do you have any comments?	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)
17122	support the change	support the change	support the change	Changes will certainly ease the pain for occasional residential parking on road side. Please proceed with changes.	Richard Bluett	Director Cranmes Park Ltd
17047	support the change	do not support the change	support the change		Di McLellan	
17023	do not support the change	do not support the change	support the change	The P3 in Chester St West is a good idea for safe drop off for pupils with longer times permitted during the day for visitors to the school. The reference to providing businesses in the area with short term parking is misguided, there are very few businesses in the area and the short term parking would only benefit the school. I suspect these changes are driven by the school - they are continually asking for change for their sole benefit. Pressure is put on the parking due to construction workers in the central city and hospital, this pressure will drop of as construction winds down so the changes are not necessary. There is adequate parking already provided for visitors in the gardens by the car park within Hagley Park. There is paid parking under the Art Gallery. This area provides safe parking for nurses. This area provides off street parking for residents, it is not fair to limited the parking time allowed.	Stuart McLeod	
16989	support the change	support the change	support the change		Reuben Cresswell	
16985	do not support the change	do not support the change	support the change	Reason is to provide short-term parking for the school and surrounding businesses (Mon- Fri) and for those wanting to visit the museum and Botanical Gardens. Thoughts: Agree with Mon - Fri timeline. Agree with drop off zone Chester Street West. The point re visiting Botanical Gardens & Museum - there is car parking right next to the gardens in Nth Hagley and a stone's throw from the museum. There is also the Art Gallery car park that provides more than enough short-term car parks to meet these needs as well. As well as Westend Carpark on Cashell Street. This is a 5 minute walk to the Botanical gardens and even shorter walk to Art Gallery, Botanical Gardens , Museum and many Cafes in the city. There is little or nil surrounding businesses in this area. If concerned about the safety around the school and parking required for parents visiting the school (not all at the same time) maybe halve the area of the P120 parking. The pressure on parking in this area is related to tradesmen rebuilding our fair city working on construction sites in the vicinity to Cranmer Square; therefore this pressure is likely to reduce over the short to medium term reducing safety concerns of the school . The nurses use this area for parking as it is short walk to the hospital and the area is considered safe. My daughter is a Midwife at Christchurch Woman's Hospital and finds this a very safe place to park in the mornings. And returning in the evenings	Julian Clark	
16949	do not support the change	do not support the change	do not support the change	Ridiculous. There's already not enough parking for working people, how about you consider those people too. Maybe the parents could get out and walk!	Maarata Holland	
16933	support the change	support the change	support the change	While I support the proposal, it doesn't address one of the most significant issues, which is the inappropriate layout and excessive width of Park Terrace between Kilmore and Armagh (including the junction for the school traffic at Chester Street West). After the 30kmh threshold heading south, Park Terrace remains visually and functionally a road with an environment for a much higher speed, and I'm sure survey data would confirm most people are speeding. Park Terrace between Kilmore and Armagh should be changed to be a single narrow lane in each direction. The current vast expanse of excessively wide straight asphalt in this area should be broken up with median planting or similar. Perhaps use some of the excess width for angled parking between new build-outs on the east side (these should safely operate in a 30kmh environment once that is actually achieved).	Stephen Chiles	

Submission ID	Kilmore street Changes	Cranmer Square changes	Chester Street West changes	Do you have any comments?	Name	Name of organisation (if applicable)
			and please make a safe right cycle turn heading north from Rolleston Ave into Armagh Street to avoid the carnage in the tram tracks...		
16926	do not support the change	do not support the change	do not support the change	I have no problem with the crossing or p5 to p3 but the loss of all day parking would be significant given how little is available within the area. P120 is not sufficient and it seems ridiculous to make the wider community suffer for the sake of parents visiting the school.	Kristina Mead Mead	
16922	do not support the change	do not support the change	do not support the change		George Rankin	McConnell Dowell Constructors Limited
16909	do not support the change	do not support the change	support the change	I work in town and it is hard enough to find free parking as it is with the Council continuing to take off street parking and free parking away to make bigger footpaths, garden areas, cycle lanes & P120 areas. I believe with them having like they do now drop off area in Chester Street this allows safe area for Children to be drop off for school. If you want to have any P120 parks, then make a few available in Chester Street and don't take away the free parks on Cranmer square & Kilmore Street.	Robert Carlisle	
16905	do not support the change	support the change	support the change	Parking for the Townhouses at the Park Terrace end of Kilmore st are shared co operatively. There are sometimes issues during the working week for residents and visitors to them to find parking close to their residences. Cathedral Grammer already control chester st west (by blocking access of a public road) during school hrs, why cant parents of the school children park there for any neccessary school visits ie between the gates.	Robin Meier	
16880	support the change	do not support the change	do not support the change	There is not enough free parking in town, I am walking 15 minutes into town each day as there is no parking closer and now you have also made parking P60 down Disraeli street. You are driving people out of the city I know a number of people that refuse to come into town with their families as a result of the cost of parking, I know you are trying to drive people to use public transport or cycling but I think you are missing the fact that people have families and need to pick there children in cars and also most daycare centres are not open till 7/7.30 by the time we do drop offs there is hardly any parks left. Maybe you should spend your time trying to work out how you can provide affordable assessable parking.	Haley Manning	
16879	do not support the change	do not support the change	do not support the change	It's getting very difficult to find any reasonable parking in this city, it's too expensive, and too far away - I have arthritis in my hips and lower back, I find it quite unreasonable that people who drive their kids to school have priority over people who have to travel to work. There are thousands of more people working in the city, and no adequate cheap parking - I spend on average \$60.00 a week, and that's cheap by comparison to some parking places, public transport is not convenient for me, takes too long, and works out more expensive. I don't come into the city any more at weekends, I don't feel safe, and there's nothing here for me any way. I am not the only person who's tired of this, tourists, and drop off for school kids are taking priority over - what about the people who work and live in Christchurch - my kids are grown now, but we used to walk - old fashioned concept maybe, but we at least respected those who needed to travel further, no one seems to bother trying to sort out decent, safe affordable parking for everyone, not just the mums, dads and tourists. I used to bike halfway from home - which was good, but again I don't feel safe riding a bike any more, and not happy having to leave my car, what would help is if there was some where in between home and city where I could safely leave my bike overnight, I would use it far more often.	julie hand	